## Correct discretization of process noise

## Sverre Velten Rothmund

November 27, 2018

When discretizing a continuous state space model as shown in Eq. (1), extra care must be shown when discretizing the white noise term **Ew**. The discrete counterpart of Eq. (1) is shown in Eq. (2).

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{E}\mathbf{w}$$

$$E\{\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\} = \mathbf{Q}\delta(0)$$
(1)

$$\mathbf{x}[k+1] = \mathbf{A_d}\mathbf{x}[k] + \mathbf{B_d}\mathbf{u}[k] + \mathbf{w_d}[k]$$

$$E\{\mathbf{w_d}\mathbf{w_d}^{\mathsf{T}}\} = \mathbf{Q_d}$$
(2)

The  $A_d$  and  $B_d$  term can as previously be calculated using exact discretization. In MATLAB this can simply be done as follows where  $\Delta t$  is the discretization time-step.

$$[\mathbf{A_d} \quad \mathbf{B_d}] = c2d(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \Delta t) \tag{3}$$

It is not possible to discretize  ${\bf E}$  using exact discretization. This is caused by exact discretization assuming the input constant over the time-interval  $\Delta t$ . This holds for the input,  ${\bf u}$ , since  ${\bf u}$  is driven by a controller with the same time-step. But for the process noise  ${\bf w}$ , this does not hold. Instead van Loans method has to be utilized. It is important to note that after the discretization the  ${\bf E}$  term is no longer present, as shown in equation 2. The information from  ${\bf E}$  is instead contained in the new  ${\bf Q_d}$ , which might be of a different dimension than  ${\bf Q}$ .  ${\bf w_d}$  will have the same size as the rows or columns in the square matrix  ${\bf Q_d}$ . See the example below for further details.

## 1 Van Loans method

1. Generate a matrix M as follows. In MATLAB remember to use a zero matrix of correct dimension.  $\Delta t$  is the discretization time-step.

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{E}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{E}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \Delta t \tag{4}$$

(5)

2. Calculate  $\mathbf{N} = e^{\mathbf{M}}$ . This can in MATLAB simply be done as follows

$$\mathbf{N} = \exp(\mathbf{M}) \tag{6}$$

 ${f N}$  will have the following form

$$\mathbf{N} = \begin{bmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{A_d}^{-1} \mathbf{Q_d} \\ 0 & \mathbf{A_d}^{\top} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (7)

3. Extract  $\mathbf{A_d},$  which will be equal to  $\mathbf{A_d}$  from exact discretization.

$$A_d$$
 = "transpose of lower-right partition of N" (8)

4. Extract  $\mathbf{Q_d}$ 

$$\mathbf{A_d}^{-1}\mathbf{Q_d}$$
 = "upper-right partition of  $\mathbf{N}$ " (9)  
 $\mathbf{Q_d} = \mathbf{A_d}$  "upper-right partition of  $\mathbf{N}$ " (10)

[Example on next page]

## 2 Example

The following system with a scalar input u that is affected by a scalar Gaussian white noise process is to be discretized with a time-step  $\Delta t = 0.1$ .

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1\\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} + \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} w \tag{11}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}u + \mathbf{E}w$$

$$E\{w^2\} = q = 4$$
(12)

In discrete form this system can be written as

$$\mathbf{x}[k+1] = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{d}}\mathbf{x}[k] + \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{d}}u[k] + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{d}}[k]$$

$$E\{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{d}}\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{d}}\top\} = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}$$
(13)

We have to calculate  $A_d$ ,  $B_d$ , and  $Q_d$ .  $A_d$  and  $B_d$  can be calculated using exact discretization in MATLAB

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A_d} & \mathbf{B_d} \end{bmatrix} = c2d(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, 0.1) \tag{14}$$

$$\mathbf{A_d} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0.09 \\ 0 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix} \tag{15}$$

$$\mathbf{B_d} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.005\\ 0.09 \end{bmatrix} \tag{16}$$

The  $\mathbf{Q_d}$  is calculated using van Loans method.

1. Generate the M matrix

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{E}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{E}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \Delta t \tag{17}$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & -0.1 & 0.004 & 0.036 \\ 0 & 0.1 & 0.036 & 0.32 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.1 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

2. Calculate  $\mathbf{N} = e^{\mathbf{M}}$ .

$$\mathbf{N} = \exp(\mathbf{M}) \tag{19}$$

$$\mathbf{N} = \begin{bmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{A_d}^{-1} \mathbf{Q_d} \\ 0 & \mathbf{A_d}^{\top} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (20)

$$\mathbf{N} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1 & -0.11 & 0.0033 & 0.019 \\ 0 & 1.1 & 0.052 & 0.32 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.09 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (21)

3. Extract  $\mathbf{A_d},$  which is equal to  $\mathbf{A_d}$  from exact discretization.

$$\mathbf{A_d} = \mathbf{N}(3:4,3:4)^\mathsf{T} \tag{22}$$

$$\mathbf{A_d} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0.09 \\ 0 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (23)

(24)

4. Extract  $\mathbf{Q_d}$ 

$$\mathbf{Q_d} = \mathbf{A_dN}(1:2,3:4) \tag{25}$$

$$\mathbf{Q_d} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0077 & 0.0468 \\ 0.0468 & 0.2937 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (26)

Note that  $\mathbf{Q_d}$  is noe a 2x2 matrix. This means that the new discrete time disturbance  $\mathbf{w_d}[\mathbf{k}]$  is a 2x1 vector instead of a scalar.