

# SMART CONTRACT CODE COMPARISON REPORT

This report may contain confidential information about IT systems and the intellectual property of the Customer. This report is not meant to verify the secureness of the Customers' system. If the original system contains any security vulnerabilities, the same vulnerabilities can be present in the reviewed code.

The report can be disclosed publicly after prior consent by another Party. Any subsequent publication of this report shall be without mandatory consent.

## Document

<b>Name</b>	Smart Contract Code Comparison Report for HorizonDEX
<b>Type</b>	ERC20 token; Pools
<b>Platform</b>	EVM
<b>Language</b>	Solidity
<b>Methodology</b>	<a href="#">Link</a>
<b>Website</b>	-
<b>Changelog</b>	11.07.2023 - Initial Review



## Table of contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Scope</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Differences</b>	<b>6</b>
D01. Added SwapFeeEnabled options	6
D02. ERC20 name and symbol were changed	6
<b>Disclaimers</b>	<b>7</b>

## Introduction

Hacken OÜ (Consultant) was contracted by HorizonDEX (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contract Code Comparison. This report presents information about the conformity of a customer code with an original fork.

## Scope

The scope of the project includes review and security analysis of the following smart contracts from the provided repository:

### Initial review scope

<b>Original Code</b>	<a href="https://github.com/Horizon-Dex/contracts">https://github.com/Horizon-Dex/contracts</a>
<b>Original Code Commit</b>	888c8d2d6cb37e7b2e79eb2f5ce51551098d439a
<b>Forked Code</b>	<a href="https://github.com/KyberNetwork/ks-elastic-sc">https://github.com/KyberNetwork/ks-elastic-sc</a>
<b>Forked Code Commit</b>	528ba22fb8d237790b187182bdc172be871ba225
<b>Contracts</b>	File: contracts/Factory.sol SHA3: 8c88970b517102ce6ae0086b5c0a665b8491325d2d0f6dd0160c616c468dd8c0  File: contracts/PoolStorage.sol SHA3: 1d58b9684bedbca511dec4e5e6185bd2a87aa0207eae2b69c2549d5543e8b7f8  File: contracts/Pool.sol SHA3: 50c0b03a0674223e77787fd93c8332cf2e437652322c3fc741feb61da2e78f30  File: contracts/PoolTicksState.sol SHA3: a7b6e71f443eb2285efd23a37653c2baa1784ed5ac740b412f3166ca5573b990

## Executive Summary

The customer code is intended to be a fork of KyberSwap. All the differences, if any, are mentioned in the Difference section of the report, and their secureness and correctness cannot be guaranteed by this review.

### Summary

The code is not an exact copy of the HorizonDex protocol.  
During the review, auditors found 2 differences between the source of the fork and the fork itself.

## Difference

### D01. Added SwapFeeEnabled options

In the forked repo 3 additional fee swap options were added.

**Path:** ./contracts/Factory.sol : constructor()

**Found in:** 528ba22

### D02. ERC20 name and symbol were changed

In the inheritance list, ERC20 arguments were changed.

Forked repo - ERC20('KyberSwap v2 Reinvestment Token', 'KS2-RT')

Main repo - ERC20("HorizonDEX Reinvestment Token", "HRZN-RT")

**Path:** ./contracts/Pool.sol : contract inheritance

**Found in:** 528ba22

## Disclaimers

### Hacken Disclaimer

The report contains no statements or warranties on the identification of all vulnerabilities and security of the code. The report covers the code submitted and reviewed, so it may not be relevant after any modifications. Do not consider this report as a final and sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bug-free status, or any other contract statements.

While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only – we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

English is the original language of the report. The Consultant is not responsible for the correctness of the translated versions.

### Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on a blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the Consultant cannot guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contracts.