Genome-wide scan for linear mixed models

Danilo Horta

April 4, 2017

1 Least squares

We apply the method of least squares to estimate the fixed-effect sizes.

Single block 1.1

Let

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \mathbf{K})$$
 (1)

be the marginal likelihood of a LMM with a single covariate block F. The maximum likelihood estimation of the fixed effects is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (\mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{K}^{\dagger} \mathbf{F})^{\dagger} \mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{K}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y}.$$

$$(\mathbf{X}^\intercal\mathbf{X})^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{L}^\intercal\mathbf{L})^\dagger + (\mathbf{L}^\intercal\mathbf{L})^\dagger (\mathbf{L}^\intercal\mathbf{R}) \mathbf{W}^\dagger (\mathbf{L}^\intercal\mathbf{R})^\intercal (\mathbf{L}^\intercal\mathbf{L})^\dagger & -(\mathbf{L}^\intercal\mathbf{L})^\dagger (\mathbf{L}^\intercal\mathbf{R}) \mathbf{W}^\dagger \\ -\mathbf{W}^\dagger (\mathbf{L}^\intercal\mathbf{R})^\intercal (\mathbf{L}^\intercal\mathbf{L})^\dagger & \mathbf{W}^\dagger \end{bmatrix},$$

from Eq. 3 of [1], where $W = R^{\intercal}R - R^{\intercal}L(L^{\intercal}L)^{\dagger}L^{\intercal}R$. Defining $A = L^{\intercal}L$ and $B = L^{\intercal}R$ leads us to

$$(X^\intercal X)^\dagger X^\intercal = \begin{bmatrix} A^\dagger L^\intercal + A^\dagger B W^\dagger B^\intercal A^\dagger L^\intercal - A^\dagger B W^\dagger R^\intercal \\ - W^\dagger B^\intercal A^\dagger L^\intercal + W^\dagger R^\intercal \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally,

$$(X^\intercal X)^\dagger X^\intercal K^{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger \mathbf{y} \! = \!$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^{\dagger}F^{\intercal}K^{\dagger}\mathbf{y} + A^{\dagger}BW^{\dagger}B^{\intercal}A^{\dagger}F^{\intercal}K^{\dagger}\mathbf{y} - A^{\dagger}BW^{\dagger}G^{\intercal}K^{\dagger}\mathbf{y} \\ W^{\dagger}G^{\intercal}K^{\dagger}\mathbf{y} - W^{\dagger}B^{\intercal}A^{\dagger}F^{\intercal}K^{\dagger}\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}.$$

A robust implementation of the above equation has to: (i) associate matrix multiplications in such a way that a sequence of $K^{\dagger}...K^{\dagger}$ is avoided; and (ii) handle low-rank matrices W. A better association of matrix multiplications is given by

$$\begin{split} &(X^\intercal X)^\dagger X^\intercal K^{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger \mathbf{y} \! = \\ & \begin{bmatrix} A^\dagger F^\intercal K^\dagger \mathbf{y} \! + \! A^\dagger B W^\dagger (B^\intercal A^\dagger F^\intercal K^\dagger \mathbf{y} \! - \! G^\intercal K^\dagger \mathbf{y}) \\ & W^\dagger (G^\intercal K^\dagger \mathbf{y} \! - \! B^\intercal A^\dagger F^\intercal K^\dagger \mathbf{y}) \end{bmatrix} \! . \end{split}$$

 W^{\dagger} can be found via economic SVD decomposition.

1.3 Batch scan

Given a $n \times p$ covariate block G, we want to quickly infer the maximum likelihood estimations of α_i and β_i , for $i \in \{1,...,p\}$ denoting the G columns. We define a diagonal matrix

 $W = dotd(G^{\intercal}, K^{\dagger}G) - dotd(G^{\intercal}, K^{\dagger}F(L^{\intercal}L)^{\dagger}F^{\intercal}K^{\dagger}G),$ where $dotd(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a function that returns the diagonal elements of a matrix multiplication with asymptotically lower computational cost and memory use.

Clearly,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\beta}_p \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{W}^{\dagger} (\mathbf{G}^{\intercal} \mathbf{K}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{B}^{\intercal} \mathbf{A}^{\dagger} \mathbf{F}^{\intercal} \mathbf{K}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y}).$$

We know that

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_i = \mathbf{A}^{\dagger} \mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{K}^{\dagger} (\mathbf{y} + (\mathbf{G}_i \mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{G}_i^{\mathsf{T}}) (\mathbf{K}^{\dagger} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{A}^{\dagger} \mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{K}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{K}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y})),$ where G_i is the *i*-th column of G. For one-shot computation

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathbf{A}^{\dagger} \mathbf{F}^{\intercal} \mathbf{K}^{\dagger} \left(\mathbf{y} \oplus \mathbf{G}_{i} \otimes \left((\mathbf{W}_{i} \mathbf{G}_{i}^{\intercal}) (\mathbf{K}^{\dagger} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{A}^{\dagger} \mathbf{F}^{\intercal} \mathbf{K}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{K}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y}) \right)^{\intercal} \right),$$

In practice, the method of least squares can be used to solve the above equation without explicitly finding pseudoinverses.

Double block 1.2

Let

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{K})$$

be the marginal likelihood of a LMM with two covariate blocks F and G. We want to solve

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \end{bmatrix} = (\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{K}^{\frac{1}{2}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y}$$

for

$$L=K^{\frac{1}{2}\dagger}F$$
, $R=K^{\frac{1}{2}\dagger}G$ and $X=[L \ R]$.

We have

$$(\mathbf{W}^{\dagger})^{\dagger}$$

where \oplus and \otimes are element-wise summation and multiplication with broadcasting.

2 Marginal likelihood

Replace K by σ^2 K in Eq. (1). Log of the marginal likelihood is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2} (\log(2\pi) - \log\det|\sigma^2 K| - (\mathbf{y} - F\boldsymbol{\beta})^{\mathsf{T}} (\sigma^2 K)^{\dagger} (\mathbf{y} - F\boldsymbol{\beta})).$$

The maximum likelihood estimation and the restricted likelihood estimation of σ^2 are give by $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{F}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{K}^\dagger (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{F}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})}{k}$ for k = n and k = n - n respectively.

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{F}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{K}^\dagger (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{F}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})}{k}$$

for k=n and k=n-p, respective

3 Likelihood ratio test

Suppose that the null hyphotesis is given by $\mathcal{H}_0: \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \Theta_0$ and the alternative one is given by $\mathcal{H}_1: \beta \in \Theta_1$, where Θ_0 is a subspace of Θ_1 , and let d be the difference between the Θ_1 and Θ_0 dimensions. It follows from classical likelihood theory that

$$\tilde{\chi}_d^2 \sim -2 \mathrm{ln} \Bigg(\frac{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0, \hat{\sigma}_0^2)}{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1, \hat{\sigma}_1^2)} \Bigg),$$

asymptotically, for maximum likelihood parameter estimation¹ subject to $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0 \in \Theta_0$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 \in \Theta_1$ [2].

References

- Charles A Rohde. "Generalized inverses of partitioned matrices". In: Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics 13.4 (1965), pp. 1033–1035.
- Geert Verbeke and Geert Molenberghs. Linear mixed models for longitudinal data. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.

 $^{{}^{1}\}mathrm{This}$ is not true for rescricted maximum likelihood estimation.