

## Experiment 5

**Aim: To apply explainable AI (XAI) methods (SHAP & LIME) for interpreting model predictions and evaluate fairness using Fairlearn.**

### Objective

- Generate **global** (SHAP feature importance) and **local** (LIME) explanations of model predictions.
- Audit bias in ML models across sensitive features (e.g., gender, race).
- Propose fairness mitigation strategies if bias is detected.

### Detailed Steps

#### 1. Dataset & Model Selection

- Use dataset with potential fairness aspects (e.g., COMPAS, Adult Income, or synthetic dataset).
- Train a classifier (Logistic Regression, Random Forest, XGBoost).

#### 2. Explainability with SHAP

- Install and apply SHAP (`shap.TreeExplainer` or `KernelExplainer`).
- Generate **global explanations**: feature importance summary plots, dependence plots.
- Interpret which features most influence predictions.

#### 3. Explainability with LIME

- Install and run LIME (`lime.lime_tabular`).
- Generate **local explanations** for individual predictions.

- Visualize contribution of features for specific samples.

#### 4. Fairness Audit with Fairlearn

- Define sensitive attribute(s) (e.g., gender, race).
- Use **Fairlearn's metrics** (demographic parity difference, equalized odds difference).
- Generate a fairness report comparing performance across groups.

#### 5. Bias Mitigation

- If bias detected, propose strategies such as:
  - Pre-processing (reweighting data, sampling).
  - In-processing (fairness constraints in training).
  - Post-processing (threshold adjustments).

### Open-Source Tools

- **SHAP** – Global feature importance.
- **LIME** – Local explanation of predictions.
- **Fairlearn** – Fairness metrics and bias mitigation.

### Deliverables

- SHAP plots (summary, dependence).
- LIME local explanation visualizations.
- Fairness audit report (metrics, visualizations).

### Conclusion