

1 Exponential

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{C} a category. For any $B, C \in \mathcal{C}$, (C^B, ev) forms an exponential where $C^B \in \mathcal{C}$ and $ev : C^B \times B \rightarrow C$, if for any object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f : A \times B \rightarrow C$, there is a unique $u : A \rightarrow C^B$ such that $f = ev \circ (u \times 1_B)$. In other words, the follow diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times B & & \\
 \downarrow u \times 1_B & \searrow f & \\
 C^B \times B & \xrightarrow{ev} & C
 \end{array}$$

Suppose we are in **Set**, we know for any function $f : A \rightarrow B$, there is an element in some set that represents this function. In programming perspective, we know it is an element of type $A \rightarrow B$. What if we generalize it to other category? In order to represent an element of some set/type/object, we can use global element, that is, a morphism from terminal object. We denote the object that represents the morphisms from a to b by b^a , then a morphism $f : a \rightarrow b$ should be represented by $g : 1 \rightarrow b^a$. Furthermore, we can apply the function to some argument, that is, $\epsilon : b^a \times a \rightarrow b$, we should require such g respects this behaviour, and we get this diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 1 \times a & & \\
 \downarrow \langle g, \text{id}_a \rangle & \searrow f & \\
 b^a \times a & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & b
 \end{array}$$

It says, if we take an element g that represents f , then we can apply g to for any "element" of a , it should behave like we apply f to that "element" of a .

We may loose the requirement that 1 exists, we can use any object c :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 c \times a & & \\
 \downarrow \langle g, \text{id}_a \rangle & \searrow f & \\
 b^a \times a & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & b
 \end{array}$$

The morphism g corresponds to the *currying* in programming.

Then we can choose some elements of a and c :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 1 \times 1 & & \\
 \downarrow \langle i, j \rangle & \searrow k & \\
 c \times a & & \\
 \downarrow \langle g, \text{id}_a \rangle & \searrow f & \\
 b^a \times a & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & b
 \end{array}$$

The diagram says: For a function object $g \circ i$, apply it to element j by ϵ , should equivalent to we apply f to them, and the result is the element k :

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \epsilon \circ \langle g \circ i, j \rangle & \text{(applying through function object)} \\
 = f \circ \langle i, j \rangle & \text{(applying directly)} \\
 = k & \text{(the result)}
 \end{array}$$

Furthermore, we can observe that the morphism f and the "currying" morphism g is one-one corresponding. It notices us that there is a underlying adjunction:

$$\mathcal{C}(c \times a, b) \cong \mathcal{C}(c, b^a)$$

It would be more clear if we rewrite them:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_a c &= c \times a \\
 R_a b &= b^a \\
 \mathcal{C}(L_a c, b) &\cong \mathcal{C}(c, R_a b)
 \end{aligned}$$

But we need to show that they are functors. L_a is a functor cause $- \times -$ is a functor (see chapter product). For any morphism $h : s \rightarrow t$, we need to provide a morphism $h^a : s^a \rightarrow t^a$, one reasonable choice is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 s^a \times a & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_s} & s \\
 \downarrow \langle h^a, \text{id}_a \rangle & & \downarrow h \\
 t^a \times a & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_t} & t
 \end{array}$$

It is easy to check the functoriality by the uniqueness of h^a .