

# Parallel Merge Sort with Fork/Join and GUI Visualization

## Abstract

This report presents the design and implementation of a modular Java project that implements, visualizes, and evaluates sequential and parallel versions of merge sort. The parallel implementation is based on Java's Fork/Join framework, while Java's built-in `Arrays.sort` and `Arrays.parallelSort` are used as baselines. A Swing GUI allows users to experiment with different array sizes, input patterns, and parallel thresholds, while a benchmarking module collects quantitative performance data. Results show that, for the tested problem sizes, all algorithms have similar runtimes, and parallel speedups are limited by task-creation overhead and relatively small input sizes.

---

## Introduction

Sorting is a fundamental operation in computer science with applications in databases, graphics, and scientific computing. Merge sort is a classic divide-and-conquer algorithm with predictable  $O(n \log n)$  complexity that lends itself naturally to parallelization. With multi-core processors now standard, understanding how to transform a recursive algorithm such as merge sort into an efficient parallel implementation is an important skill. This project focuses on:

- Implementing a **sequential merge sort** for integer arrays.
  - Designing a **parallel merge sort** using the **Fork/Join** framework.
  - Comparing both against Java's optimized **`Arrays.sort`** and **`Arrays.parallelSort`**.
  - Providing a **graphical user interface (GUI)** that makes these algorithms easy to explore.
  - Conducting a **performance evaluation** and analyzing when parallelism is beneficial.
- 

## Problem Statement

The project requirements can be summarized as follows:

- **Sequential merge sort**
- Implement merge sort for `int[]`.
- Correctly handle:

- Empty arrays.
  - Single-element arrays.
  - Already sorted arrays.
  - **Parallel merge sort using Fork/Join**
  - Express merge sort as a recursive task that:
  - Splits an array segment into two halves.
  - Recursively sorts both halves in parallel.
  - Merges the results.
  - Use a **threshold**: for small segments, fall back to sequential sort.
  - **Benchmarking & comparison**
  - Compare:
  - Custom sequential merge sort.
  - Custom parallel merge sort.
  - Arrays.sort.
  - Arrays.parallelSort.
  - On at least two input patterns:
  - Random.
  - Reverse-sorted.
  - **Object-oriented design**
  - Interface SortAlgorithm with a single sort(int[] array) method.
  - SequentialMergeSort and ParallelMergeSort implementing this interface.
  - SortBenchmark for data generation and performance measurement.
  - SortGUI for interactive experiments, optionally as a bonus visualization feature.
- 

## Sequential Merge Sort

### Conceptual Description

Sequential merge sort follows the divide-and-conquer pattern:

- **Divide:** split the array into two halves until each segment has size one.
- **Conquer:** recursively sort each half (base case: single element).
- **Combine:** merge two sorted halves into a larger sorted segment.

Key properties:

- Time complexity:  $O(n \log n)$  for all input orders.
- Space complexity:  $O(n)$  additional memory for temporary storage.
- Stability: equal elements preserve their relative order.

The implementation in SequentialMergeSort:

- Checks for null and very small arrays.
- Detects already-sorted arrays to avoid unnecessary work.
- Uses a reusable temporary buffer.
- Implements a standard recursive mergeSort and a two-pointer merge.

**Screenshot for sequential merge sort code**

•

```
private boolean isSorted(int[] array) { //to check array sorted or not
    for (int i = 1; i < array.length; i++) {
        if (array[i - 1] > array[i]) {
            return false;
        }
    }
    return true;
}
```

•

•

```

@Override
public void sort(int[] array) {

    if (array == null || array.length <= 1) { //edge case
        System.out.println("array has no elements or only one element");
        return;
    }

    if (isSorted(array)) { //edge case
        System.out.println("array is already sorted");
        return;
    }
    int[] temp = new int[array.length];
    mergeSort(array, 0, array.length - 1, temp);
}

```

- 

- 

```

private void mergeSort(int[] array, int left, int right, int[] temp) {
    if (left < right) {
        int mid = left + (right - left) / 2;
        mergeSort(array, left, mid, temp);
        mergeSort(array, mid + 1, right, temp);
        merge(array, left, mid, right, temp);
    }
}

```

- 

- 

```

private void merge(int[] array, int left, int mid, int right, int[] temp) {
    System.arraycopy(array, left, temp, left, right - left + 1);

    int i = left;
    int j = mid + 1;
    int k = left;

    while (i <= mid && j <= right) {
        if (temp[i] <= temp[j]) {
            array[k++] = temp[i++];
        } else {
            array[k++] = temp[j++];
        }
    }

    while (i <= mid) {
        array[k++] = temp[i++];
    }

    while (j <= right) {
        array[k++] = temp[j++];
    }
}

```

-

---

## Parallel Merge Sort

### Parallelization Strategy

The parallel merge sort keeps the same high-level algorithm but executes independent recursive calls in parallel using Java's ForkJoinPool. Core ideas:

- Represent “sort subarray from left to right” as a **Fork/Join task**.
- For a **large subarray**:
  - Compute a midpoint.
  - Create two subtasks for the left and right halves.
  - Run them in parallel and then merge their results.
- For a **small subarray** (length below the threshold):
  - Switch to a **sequential merge sort** on that subrange.
- Use a shared **temporary buffer** and a shared **ForkJoinPool**.

### Execution Model and Thread Logic

- The project uses ForkJoinPool.commonPool():
- A pool of worker threads (typically one per core).
- Uses work-stealing to balance tasks across threads.
- Each MergeSortTask:
  - Either splits into two child tasks and calls invokeAll, or
  - Directly calls a sequential sequentialMergeSort when below the threshold.
- Synchronization:
  - Handled implicitly by Fork/Join: when compute returns, both child tasks have completed.
- No explicit locks are needed because each task works on a distinct subrange of the array.

### Memory Usage

- A single temp array is allocated at the top level and reused across all tasks for merging.

- Each task only reads and writes within its own [left..right] range.
- Recursion depth is logarithmic in array size, so stack and task overhead remain reasonable.

### Screenshot placeholders for parallel merge sort code

```
private final int threshold;
private final ForkJoinPool pool;

/**
 * Creates a ParallelMergeSort with a default threshold.
 */
public ParallelMergeSort() {
    this(10_000); // reasonable default threshold for int[]
}

/**
 * Creates a ParallelMergeSort with a custom threshold.
 *
 * @param threshold minimum segment size to process in parallel
 */
public ParallelMergeSort(int threshold) {
    if (threshold <= 0) {
        throw new IllegalArgumentException("Threshold must be positive");
    }
    this.threshold = threshold;
    this.pool = ForkJoinPool.commonPool();
}
```

```
@Override
public void sort(int[] array) {
    if (array == null || array.length <= 1) {
        return;
    }

    int[] temp = new int[array.length];
    MergeSortTask rootTask = new MergeSortTask(array, temp, 0, array.length - 1, threshold);
    pool.invoke(rootTask);
}
```

```
private static class MergeSortTask extends RecursiveAction {

    private final int[] array;
    private final int[] temp;
    private final int left;
    private final int right;
    private final int threshold;

    MergeSortTask(int[] array, int[] temp, int left, int right, int threshold) {
        this.array = array;
        this.temp = temp;
        this.left = left;
        this.right = right;
        this.threshold = threshold;
    }
}
```

```
@Override
protected void compute() {
    int length = right - left + 1;

    // For small segments, use sequential merge sort to reduce overhead
    if (length <= threshold) {
        sequentialMergeSort(array, temp, left, right);
        return;
    }

    int mid = left + (right - left) / 2;
    MergeSortTask leftTask = new MergeSortTask(array, temp, left, mid, threshold);
    MergeSortTask rightTask = new MergeSortTask(array, temp, mid + 1, right, threshold);

    // Sort halves in parallel
    invokeAll(leftTask, rightTask);

    // Then merge the sorted halves
    merge(array, temp, left, mid, right);
}
}
```

```
private static void sequentialMergeSort(int[] array, int[] temp, int left, int right) {
    if (left < right) {
        int mid = left + (right - left) / 2;
        sequentialMergeSort(array, temp, left, mid);
        sequentialMergeSort(array, temp, mid + 1, right);
        merge(array, temp, left, mid, right);
    }
}
}
```

```

/**
 * Merges two sorted subarrays: [left..mid] and [mid+1..right] in-place using a temp buffer.
 */
private static void merge(int[] array, int[] temp, int left, int mid, int right) {
    System.arraycopy(array, left, temp, left, right - left + 1);

    int i = left;    // pointer in left half
    int j = mid + 1; // pointer in right half
    int k = left;    // pointer in merged array

    while (i <= mid && j <= right) {
        if (temp[i] <= temp[j]) {
            array[k++] = temp[i++];
        } else {
            array[k++] = temp[j++];
        }
    }

    while (i <= mid) {
        array[k++] = temp[i++];
    }

    while (j <= right) {
        array[k++] = temp[j++];
    }
}

```

---

## Tools & Environment

- **Programming language:** Java (JDK 8 or later).
  - **Parallel framework:** java.util.concurrent.ForkJoinPool, RecursiveAction.
  - **Standard utility classes:**
    - java.util.Arrays for built-in sorts and helpers.
    - java.util.Random for random data generation.
  - **GUI framework:** Java Swing:
    - JFrame, JPanel, GridBagLayout, JTextArea, JScrollPane, JButton, JComboBox, JTextField.
  - **Target platform:** Windows 11 (development and testing).
  - **Project structure:**
    - All .java files declare package algorithms;
    - Compilation with javac -d . \*.java creates an algorithms folder with .class files.
-



## Full Implementation Details

### Overall Class Structure

- SortAlgorithm – interface (sorting abstraction).
- SequentialMergeSort – sequential merge sort implementation.
- ParallelMergeSort – parallel merge sort using Fork/Join tasks.
- SortBenchmark – benchmarking and correctness validation.
- SortGUI – interactive user interface.
- Driver – simple development driver for quick manual tests.

### SortAlgorithm Interface

Defines the contract for any sorting algorithm used in this project: a single `sort(int[] array)` method. This allows the benchmark and GUI to treat different algorithms uniformly.

```
package algorithms;  
public interface SortAlgorithm {  
    void sort(int[] array);  
}
```

•

### SortBenchmark – Benchmark and Helpers

Responsibilities:

- Generate input arrays:
- Random arrays via `generateRandomArray`.
- Reverse-sorted arrays via `generateReverseSortedArray` (sort + reverse).
- Validate correctness via `isSorted`.
- Run each algorithm on identical input copies and compute average runtime.

Key logic:

- In main, arrays of algorithms and algorithm names are built:
- `SequentialMergeSort`.
- `ParallelMergeSort`.
- `ArraysSortAlgorithm` (wrapper around `Arrays.sort`).

- ArraysParallelSortAlgorithm (wrapper around Arrays.parallelSort).
- For each array size and pattern:
- A base array is generated once.
- For each algorithm:
- A clone of the base array is passed to benchmarkAlgorithm.
- Average time (in milliseconds) is printed with one decimal place.

Screenshot placeholders:

```
private static final int[] SIZES = {10_000};
private static final int RUNS_PER_CASE = 5;
private static final Random RANDOM = new Random();

public static void main(String[] args) {
    SortAlgorithm seq = new SequentialMergeSort();
    SortAlgorithm par = new ParallelMergeSort(10_000);
    SortAlgorithm arraysSort = new ArraysSortAlgorithm();
    SortAlgorithm arraysParallelSort = new ArraysParallelSortAlgorithm();

    SortAlgorithm[] algorithms = {seq, par, arraysSort, arraysParallelSort};
    String[] algorithmNames = {"SequentialMergeSort", "ParallelMergeSort", "Arrays.sort", "Arrays.parallelSort"};

    String[] patterns = {"Random", "Reverse"};

    System.out.println("=== Sort Benchmark ===");
    System.out.println("Runs per case: " + RUNS_PER_CASE);
    System.out.println();
}
```

```
for (int size : SIZES) {
    for (String pattern : patterns) {
        int[] baseArray;
        if ("Random".equals(pattern)) {
            baseArray = generateRandomArray(size);
        } else {
            baseArray = generateReverseSortedArray(size);
        }

        System.out.println("Size = " + size + ", Pattern = " + pattern);
        for (int i = 0; i < algorithms.length; i++) {
            long avgNanos = benchmarkAlgorithm(algorithms[i], baseArray, RUNS_PER_CASE);
            double avgMillis = avgNanos / 1_000_000.0;
            System.out.printf("%-20s : %.1f ms%n", algorithmNames[i], avgMillis);
        }
        System.out.println();
    }
}
```

```

public static long benchmarkAlgorithm(SortAlgorithm algorithm, int[] original, int runs) {
    long totalNanos = 0L;
    for (int r = 0; r < runs; r++) {
        int[] copy = Arrays.copyOf(original, original.length);
        long start = System.nanoTime();
        algorithm.sort(copy);
        long end = System.nanoTime();

        if (!isSorted(copy)) {
            throw new IllegalStateException("Array is not sorted correctly by " + algorithm.getClass().getSimpleName());
        }

        totalNanos += (end - start);
    }
    return totalNanos / runs;
}

```

```

public static int[] generateRandomArray(int size) {
    int[] array = new int[size];
    for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
        array[i] = RANDOM.nextInt();
    }
    return array;
}

/**
 * Generates a reverse-sorted int array of the given size.
 */
public static int[] generateReverseSortedArray(int size) {
    int[] array = generateRandomArray(size);
    Arrays.sort(array);
    // Reverse to get descending order
    for (int i = 0; i < array.length / 2; i++) {
        int tmp = array[i];
        array[i] = array[array.length - 1 - i];
        array[array.length - 1 - i] = tmp;
    }
    return array;
}

```

```

public static boolean isSorted(int[] array) {
    if (array == null || array.length <= 1) {
        return true;
    }
    for (int i = 1; i < array.length; i++) {
        if (array[i - 1] > array[i]) {
            return false;
        }
    }
    return true;
}

```

```

/**
 * Wrapper around Arrays.sort implementing SortAlgorithm, for comparison.
 */
static class ArraysSortAlgorithm implements SortAlgorithm {
    @Override
    public void sort(int[] array) {
        Arrays.sort(array);
    }
}

/**
 * Wrapper around Arrays.parallelSort implementing SortAlgorithm, for comparison.
 */
static class ArraysParallelSortAlgorithm implements SortAlgorithm {
    @Override
    public void sort(int[] array) {
        Arrays.parallelSort(array);
    }
}

```

## Driver – Simple Test Harness

A compact class with a main method that:

- Creates a small integer array.
- Prints it before sorting.
- Calls SequentialMergeSort.sort.
- Prints it after sorting.

Used during development as a sanity check.

```

package algorithms;
import java.util.Arrays;
public class Driver {
    public static void main (String[] args) {
        int[] testArray = {1,1};
        System.out.println("Before sorting: " + Arrays.toString(testArray));
        new SequentialMergeSort().sort(testArray);
        System.out.println("After sorting: " + Arrays.toString(testArray));
    }
}

```

---

## GUI Module

## Framework and Layout

The GUI is implemented in SortGUI using Swing:

- A JFrame titled “Parallel Merge Sort Demo”.
- A top JPanel with GridBagLayout for controls:
- Algorithm selector.
- Array size field.
- Pattern selector.
- Parallel threshold field.
- Run button.
- A central JTextArea wrapped in a JScrollPane for logs.

## Controls and User Inputs

- **Algorithm dropdown (JComboBox<String>)**
- Options:
- Sequential Merge Sort
- Parallel Merge Sort
- Arrays.sort
- Arrays.parallelSort
- **Array size (JTextField)**
- Default value: 100000.
- Must be a positive integer; otherwise, an error dialog is shown.
- **Pattern dropdown (JComboBox<String>)**
- Options:
- Random
- Reverse
- **Parallel threshold (JTextField)**
- Default: 10000.
- Only used when Parallel Merge Sort is chosen.

- Must be a positive integer; otherwise, an error dialog is shown.
- **Run button (JButton)**
- When clicked, initiates one experiment run and logs the results.

### **Data Flow Between GUI and Backend**

On clicking **Run Sort**:

1. Reads algorithm name, pattern, array size, and threshold from the controls.
2. Validates numeric inputs and shows an error dialog if invalid.
3. Uses `SortBenchmark.generateRandomArray` or `generateReverseSortedArray` to create input data.
4. Clones the input array for sorting, keeping a copy for “Before” preview.
5. Chooses the appropriate `SortAlgorithm` implementation based on the selected algorithm.
6. Measures execution time in nanoseconds, converts to milliseconds (double with one decimal).
7. Verifies that the output is sorted using `SortBenchmark.isSorted`.
8. Appends a log entry to the text area including:
  - Algorithm.
  - Pattern.
  - Size.
  - Time in ms.
  - Sorted flag.
  - First 20 elements before and after sorting.

### **GUI Screenshot Placeholders**

Code screenshots:

```
private static void createAndShowGUI() {  
    JFrame frame = new JFrame("Parallel Merge Sort Demo");  
    frame.setDefaultCloseOperation(JFrame.EXIT_ON_CLOSE);  
    frame.setSize(800, 600);  
    frame.setLocationRelativeTo(null);
```

- ```
JPanel controlPanel = new JPanel(new GridBagLayout());
```

```
JPanel controlPanel = new JPanel(new GridBagLayout());
GridBagConstraints gbc = new GridBagConstraints();
gbc.insets = new Insets(5, 5, 5, 5);
gbc.anchor = GridBagConstraints.WEST;
```

```
int row = 0;

gbc.gridx = 0;
gbc.gridy = row;
controlPanel.add(algorithmLabel, gbc);
gbc.gridx = 1;
controlPanel.add(algorithmCombo, gbc);

row++;
gbc.gridx = 0;
gbc.gridy = row;
controlPanel.add(sizeLabel, gbc);
gbc.gridx = 1;
controlPanel.add(sizeField, gbc);

row++;
gbc.gridx = 0;
gbc.gridy = row;
controlPanel.add(patternLabel, gbc);
gbc.gridx = 1;
controlPanel.add(patternCombo, gbc);

row++;
gbc.gridx = 0;
gbc.gridy = row;
controlPanel.add(thresholdLabel, gbc);
gbc.gridx = 1;
controlPanel.add(thresholdField, gbc);

row++;
gbc.gridx = 0;
gbc.gridy = row;
gbc.gridwidth = 2;
gbc.anchor = GridBagConstraints.CENTER;
controlPanel.add(runButton, gbc);
```



```

runButton.addActionListener((ActionEvent e) -> {
    String algorithmName = (String) algorithmCombo.getSelectedItem();
    String patternName = (String) patternCombo.getSelectedItem();

    int size;
    try {
        size = Integer.parseInt(sizeField.getText().trim());
        if (size <= 0) {
            throw new NumberFormatException();
        }
    } catch (NumberFormatException ex) {
        JOptionPane.showMessageDialog(frame, "Please enter a positive integer for array size.",
            "Invalid Input", JOptionPane.ERROR_MESSAGE);
        return;
    }

    int threshold = 10_000;
    if ("Parallel Merge Sort".equals(algorithmName)) {
        try {
            threshold = Integer.parseInt(thresholdField.getText().trim());
            if (threshold <= 0) {
                throw new NumberFormatException();
            }
        } catch (NumberFormatException ex) {
            JOptionPane.showMessageDialog(frame, "Please enter a positive integer for threshold.",
                "Invalid Input", JOptionPane.ERROR_MESSAGE);
            return;
        }
    }

    int[] original;
    if ("Random".equals(patternName)) {
        original = SortBenchmark.generateRandomArray(size);
    } else {
        original = SortBenchmark.generateReverseSortedArray(size);
    }
}

```

```

int[] arrayToSort = Arrays.copyOf(original, original.length);

SortAlgorithm algorithm;
if ("Sequential Merge Sort".equals(algorithmName)) {
    algorithm = new SequentialMergeSort();
} else if ("Parallel Merge Sort".equals(algorithmName)) {
    algorithm = new ParallelMergeSort(threshold);
} else if ("Arrays.sort".equals(algorithmName)) {
    algorithm = new SortBenchmark.ArraysSortAlgorithm();
} else { // Arrays.parallelSort
    algorithm = new SortBenchmark.ArraysParallelSortAlgorithm();
}

```

```

long start = System.nanoTime();
algorithm.sort(arrayToSort);
long end = System.nanoTime();

long durationMs = (end - start) / 1_000_000;
boolean sorted = SortBenchmark.isSorted(arrayToSort);

int previewLength = Math.min(20, arrayToSort.length);
int[] beforePreview = Arrays.copyOf(original, previewLength);
int[] afterPreview = Arrays.copyOf(arrayToSort, previewLength);

outputArea.append("Algorithm: " + algorithmName + "\n");
outputArea.append("Pattern : " + patternName + "\n");
outputArea.append("Size    : " + size + "\n");
outputArea.append(String.format("Time      : %.1f ms%n", (double) durationMs));
outputArea.append("Sorted   : " + sorted + "\n");
outputArea.append("Before (first " + previewLength + "): " + Arrays.toString(beforePreview) + "\n");
outputArea.append("After  (first " + previewLength + "): " + Arrays.toString(afterPreview) + "\n");
outputArea.append("-----\n");

```

GUI behavior screenshots:

Parallel Merge Sort Demo

Algorithm:

Sequential Merge Sort

Array size:

100000

Pattern:

Random

Parallel threshold:

10000

Run Sort

•

Parallel Merge Sort Demo

Algorithm:

Sequential Merge Sort

Array size:

100000

Pattern:

Random

Parallel threshold:

10000

Run Sort

Algorithm: Sequential Merge Sort

Pattern : Random

Size : 100000

Time : 20.0 ms

Sorted : true

Before (first 20): [-279336500, 1163559939, 1321814807, -331907225, 1783705809, -527085897, 1994929655, 23021

After (first 20): [-2147387610, -2147367281, -2147309948, -2147286835, -2147262281, -2147121831, -2147105479

-----

Parallel Merge Sort Demo

Algorithm: **Parallel Merge Sort**

Array size: 100000

Pattern: **Random**

Parallel threshold: 10000

**Run Sort**

Algorithm: Sequential Merge Sort  
Pattern : Random  
Size : 100000  
Time : 20.0 ms  
Sorted : true  
Before (first 20): [-279336500, 1163559939, 1321814807, -331907225, 1783705809, -527085897, 1994929655, 23021  
After (first 20): [-2147387610, -2147367281, -2147309948, -2147286835, -2147262281, -2147121831, -2147105479  
-----  
Algorithm: Parallel Merge Sort  
Pattern : Random  
Size : 100000  
Time : 20.0 ms  
Sorted : true  
Before (first 20): [-1415636917, 210896189, 2019813961, -201725197, 1033448204, -1768754379, -1709357534, -16  
After (first 20): [-2147283700, -2147163727, -2147064956, -2147064458, -2147007000, -2147002435, -2146879860  
-----

Parallel Merge Sort Demo

Algorithm:
Arrays.sort

Array size:
100000

Pattern:
Reverse

Parallel threshold:
10000

Run Sort

```

Time      : 20.0 ms
Sorted    : true
Before (first 20): [-279336500, 1163559939, 1321814807, -331907225, 1783705809, -527085897, 1994929655, 230
After  (first 20): [-2147387610, -2147367281, -2147309948, -2147286835, -2147262281, -2147121831, -21471054
-----

Algorithm: Parallel Merge Sort
Pattern   : Random
Size      : 100000
Time      : 20.0 ms
Sorted    : true
Before (first 20): [-1415636917, 210896189, 2019813961, -201725197, 1033448204, -1768754379, -1709357534, -
After  (first 20): [-2147283700, -2147163727, -2147064956, -2147064458, -2147007000, -2147002435, -21468798
-----

Algorithm: Arrays.sort
Pattern   : Reverse
Size      : 100000
Time      : 2.0 ms
Sorted    : true
Before (first 20): [2147461500, 2147321916, 2147281680, 2147234423, 2147103543, 2147085380, 2147015465, 214
After  (first 20): [-2147433331, -2147422435, -2147404254, -2147401020, -2147370235, -2147337592, -21473117
-----

```

```

Algorithm: Sequential Merge Sort
Pattern   : Random
Size      : 100000
Time      : 20.0 ms
Sorted    : true
Before (first 20): [-279336500, 1163559939, 1321814807, -331907225, 1783705809, -527085897, 1994929655, 230
After  (first 20): [-2147387610, -2147367281, -2147309948, -2147286835, -2147262281, -2147121831, -21471054
-----

Algorithm: Parallel Merge Sort
Pattern   : Random
Size      : 100000
Time      : 20.0 ms
Sorted    : true
Before (first 20): [-1415636917, 210896189, 2019813961, -201725197, 1033448204, -1768754379, -1709357534, -
After  (first 20): [-2147283700, -2147163727, -2147064956, -2147064458, -2147007000, -2147002435, -21468798
-----

Algorithm: Arrays.sort
Pattern   : Reverse
Size      : 100000
Time      : 2.0 ms
Sorted    : true
Before (first 20): [2147461500, 2147321916, 2147281680, 2147234423, 2147103543, 2147085380, 2147015465, 214

```

Performance Evaluation

## Benchmark Configuration

The main automated benchmark (SortBenchmark) uses:

- **Array size:** 10,000 elements.
- **Patterns:**
  - Random.
  - Reverse-sorted.
- **Algorithms:**
  - SequentialMergeSort (custom).
  - ParallelMergeSort (custom, threshold 10,000).
  - Arrays.sort.
  - Arrays.parallelSort.
- **Runs per case:** 5; averages are reported.

## Measured Results (Size = 10,000)

Random input:

- SequentialMergeSort: approximately 1.2 ms.
- ParallelMergeSort: approximately 1.5 ms.
- Arrays.sort: approximately 1.4 ms.
- Arrays.parallelSort: approximately 1.7 ms.

Reverse input:

- SequentialMergeSort: approximately 0.3 ms.
- ParallelMergeSort: approximately 0.5 ms.
- Arrays.sort: approximately 0.3 ms.
- Arrays.parallelSort: approximately 0.3 ms.

These values are based on your console runs and rounded to one decimal place. Screenshot placeholder:

- [IMAGE\_PLACEHOLDER: Insert screenshot of terminal output from running `java algorithms.SortBenchmark` (showing results for size=10000, Random and Reverse) here]

## Interpretation

- At 10,000 elements, all algorithms complete in roughly 0.3–1.7 ms.
- ParallelMergeSort is slightly slower than SequentialMergeSort:
- Overhead of task creation and coordination is not amortized at this problem size.
- Built-in Arrays.sort and Arrays.parallelSort are highly optimized and often among the fastest.

This behavior is expected: parallelism is most useful when each task has enough work to compensate for coordination overhead.

## GUI-Based Observations (Size = 100,000, Representative)

From your GUI runs:

- Random, 100,000 elements:
- SequentialMergeSort: roughly on the order of 10–20 ms.
- ParallelMergeSort: sometimes around a few ms, sometimes larger due to variability.
- Arrays.sort and Arrays.parallelSort: similarly a few to tens of ms.
- Reverse, 100,000 elements:
- All algorithms often complete in a few milliseconds or less.

These numbers demonstrate:

- Greater runtime variation due to:
- OS scheduling.
- GC pauses.
- Single run measurements (as opposed to averaging).
- ParallelMergeSort can become competitive or faster in some runs, but results are not uniformly dominated by any single algorithm.

## Extended Experiments



- Custom algorithms: SequentialMergeSort, ParallelMergeSort
- Java built-ins: Arrays.sort, Arrays.parallelSort

All times are average over 5 runs, in milliseconds (ms).

---

## 1. Average Time by Size and Pattern (Random Input)

Table 1 – Random pattern

| Size      | SequentialMergeSort | ParallelMergeSort | Arrays.sort | Arrays.parallelSort |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 10,000    | 1.131               | 1.731             | 1.610       | 1.865               |
| 100,000   | 10.514              | 3.971             | 8.671       | 6.119               |
| 500,000   | 58.521              | 16.303            | 27.115      | 8.572               |
| 1,000,000 | 119.410             | 32.522            | 57.144      | 17.632              |

### Observations (Random pattern):

- **Small size (10,000):**
  - SequentialMergeSort is fastest; parallel versions are slightly slower due to **thread creation/synchronization overhead**.
- **Medium & large sizes (≥100,000):**
  - ParallelMergeSort clearly outperforms your SequentialMergeSort.
  - For very large arrays (500,000 and 1,000,000), **Arrays.parallelSort is the fastest overall**, beating both your custom algorithms and Arrays.sort.
- **Built-ins vs custom:**
  - For random input, Java built-ins (Arrays.sort, Arrays.parallelSort) are **very competitive**, especially at larger sizes where Arrays.parallelSort dominates.

## 2. Average Time by Size and Pattern (Reverse Input)

Table 2 – Reverse pattern

| Size      | SequentialMergeSort | ParallelMergeSort | Arrays.sort | Arrays.parallelSort |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 10,000    | 0.551               | 0.506             | 0.383       | 0.285               |
| 100,000   | 4.434               | 1.668             | 0.051       | 0.061               |
| 500,000   | 24.402              | 7.821             | 0.282       | 0.306               |
| 1,000,000 | 51.486              | 13.500            | 0.721       | 0.627               |

### Observations (Reverse pattern):

- **For all sizes**, the **Java built-ins are dramatically faster** than your custom merge sorts on reverse-ordered input.
- Arrays.sort and Arrays.parallelSort stay **well below 1 ms** even for **1,000,000 elements**, while custom merge sorts take **tens of milliseconds**.
- This suggests that Java's implementations are **highly optimized** and likely contain **specialized handling** for monotonic patterns (already sorted or reverse-sorted).
- Between the built-ins:
  - Arrays.sort is slightly faster for **100,000 and 500,000 (reverse)**.
  - Arrays.parallelSort is slightly faster at **1,000,000 (reverse)**.

## 3. Best Algorithm per Configuration

Table 3 – Fastest algorithm for each Size & Pattern

| Size      | Pattern | Fastest Algorithm   | Avg Time (ms) |
|-----------|---------|---------------------|---------------|
| 10,000    | Random  | SequentialMergeSort | 1.131         |
| 10,000    | Reverse | Arrays.parallelSort | 0.285         |
| 100,000   | Random  | ParallelMergeSort   | 3.971         |
| 100,000   | Reverse | Arrays.sort         | 0.051         |
| 500,000   | Random  | Arrays.parallelSort | 8.572         |
| 500,000   | Reverse | Arrays.sort         | 0.282         |
| 1,000,000 | Random  | Arrays.parallelSort | 17.632        |
| 1,000,000 | Reverse | Arrays.parallelSort | 0.627         |

### Key points from Table 3:

- **Parallelization helps as data grows:**
  - At **10,000 random**, parallelism does **not** pay off; sequential is best.
  - From **100,000 random and above**, parallel algorithms (ParallelMergeSort, Arrays.parallelSort) become **significantly faster**.
- **Java built-ins dominate on structured (reverse) input:**
  - For every reverse case, a **Java built-in** (Arrays.sort or Arrays.parallelSort) is the fastest.
- **For very large sizes (500,000–1,000,000 random):**
  - **Arrays.parallelSort is the best choice**, combining Java's optimized implementation with effective use of multiple cores.

## 4. High-Level Algorithm Comparison

- **SequentialMergeSort (your implementation):**
  - Predictable ( $O(n \log n)$ ) behavior.

- Competitive at **small sizes random (10,000)**.
- Becomes **much slower** than parallel versions as size grows, especially for random input.
- **ParallelMergeSort (your implementation):**
  - Shows clear **speedup over SequentialMergeSort** for random input at **≥100,000 elements**.
  - Overhead makes it **slower on small arrays** (10,000 random).
  - Still noticeably **slower than Java's built-in parallel sort** for large random arrays.
- **Arrays.sort (Java):**
  - Very strong **baseline performance**.
  - On reverse input, it is **extremely fast** (e.g., 0.051 ms at 100,000 elements), far ahead of custom algorithms.
  - On large random inputs, it is good but usually **slower than Arrays.parallelSort**.
- **Arrays.parallelSort (Java):**
  - For **large random arrays**, it is often the **overall best performer**.
  - For **reverse input**, it stays under 1 ms even at 1,000,000 elements, and is usually the fastest or very close.
  - Demonstrates how a **well-tuned parallel algorithm in the standard library** can outperform both custom sequential and custom parallel implementations.

## 5. Summary of What We Notice

- **Parallelism is beneficial only beyond a certain size:**

- At **10,000 elements (random)**, the **sequential** version is still best.
- From **100,000 elements onward**, parallel versions offer **2–4× speedups** over sequential custom merge sort.
- **Java's standard library is highly optimized:**
  - Both `Arrays.sort` and `Arrays.parallelSort` are **hard to beat**, especially on **reverse** and **very large random** inputs.
- **Best choices based on the data:**
  - **Small inputs ( $\approx 10,000$ ):**  
use **SequentialMergeSort** or `Arrays.sort` (differences are small).
  - **Medium to large random inputs ( $\geq 100,000$ ):**  
prefer **`Arrays.parallelSort`**, then `ParallelMergeSort`.
  - **Reverse/structured inputs:** always prefer **Java built-ins**, especially `Arrays.sort` / `Arrays.parallelSort`.

## 6. Detailed Per-Run Timings (5 Runs + Average)

This section lists the **5 individual runs** for each configuration, along with the **average** (already used in the earlier tables).

### 6.1 Size = 10,000

#### Pattern: Random

| Algorithm           | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Run 4 | Run 5 | Average |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| SequentialMergeSort | 1.657 | 0.960 | 0.951 | 0.943 | 1.143 | 1.131   |
| ParallelMergeSort   | 4.028 | 1.180 | 1.252 | 1.106 | 1.088 | 1.731   |
| Arrays.sort         | 3.584 | 1.805 | 0.830 | 1.118 | 0.710 | 1.610   |
| Arrays.parallelSort | 1.911 | 1.690 | 2.250 | 1.419 | 2.056 | 1.865   |

#### Pattern: Reverse

| Algorithm           | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Run 4 | Run 5 | Average |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| SequentialMergeSort | 0.481 | 0.660 | 0.640 | 0.486 | 0.486 | 0.551   |
| ParallelMergeSort   | 0.385 | 0.346 | 0.768 | 0.655 | 0.375 | 0.506   |
| Arrays.sort         | 0.429 | 0.408 | 0.412 | 0.382 | 0.285 | 0.383   |
| Arrays.parallelSort | 0.282 | 0.303 | 0.288 | 0.271 | 0.280 | 0.285   |

### 6.2 Size = 100,000

#### Pattern: Random

| Algorithm           | Run 1  | Run 2  | Run 3  | Run 4  | Run 5  | Average |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| SequentialMergeSort | 11.881 | 9.843  | 9.001  | 10.547 | 11.300 | 10.514  |
| ParallelMergeSort   | 4.009  | 4.253  | 3.771  | 3.753  | 4.066  | 3.971   |
| Arrays.sort         | 7.029  | 8.914  | 10.311 | 8.473  | 8.630  | 8.671   |
| Arrays.parallelSort | 11.335 | 11.459 | 3.690  | 2.207  | 1.906  | 6.119   |

### Pattern: Reverse

| Algorithm           | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Run 4 | Run 5 | Average |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| SequentialMergeSort | 3.442 | 5.716 | 3.601 | 4.163 | 5.250 | 4.434   |
| ParallelMergeSort   | 1.644 | 1.701 | 1.534 | 1.457 | 2.001 | 1.668   |
| Arrays.sort         | 0.053 | 0.052 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.051   |
| Arrays.parallelSort | 0.066 | 0.065 | 0.056 | 0.057 | 0.059 | 0.061   |

### 6.3 Size = 500,000

#### Pattern: Random

| Algorithm           | Run 1  | Run 2  | Run 3  | Run 4  | Run 5  | Average |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| SequentialMergeSort | 62.270 | 59.734 | 60.884 | 51.473 | 58.243 | 58.521  |
| ParallelMergeSort   | 16.242 | 15.967 | 16.643 | 16.540 | 16.126 | 16.303  |
| Arrays.sort         | 26.532 | 26.910 | 26.316 | 28.898 | 26.918 | 27.115  |
| Arrays.parallelSort | 8.767  | 8.843  | 8.500  | 8.051  | 8.700  | 8.572   |

## Pattern: Reverse

| Algorithm           | Run 1  | Run 2  | Run 3  | Run 4  | Run 5  | Average |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| SequentialMergeSort | 29.430 | 20.131 | 25.238 | 19.542 | 27.669 | 24.402  |
| ParallelMergeSort   | 7.593  | 8.615  | 7.317  | 8.126  | 7.452  | 7.821   |
| Arrays.sort         | 0.309  | 0.286  | 0.285  | 0.275  | 0.255  | 0.282   |
| Arrays.parallelSort | 0.280  | 0.267  | 0.295  | 0.389  | 0.299  | 0.306   |

## 6.4 Size = 1,000,000

### Pattern: Random

| Algorithm           | Run 1   | Run 2   | Run 3   | Run 4   | Run 5   | Average |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| SequentialMergeSort | 120.097 | 108.420 | 129.753 | 121.633 | 117.145 | 119.410 |
| ParallelMergeSort   | 32.672  | 32.303  | 31.658  | 33.572  | 32.404  | 32.522  |
| Arrays.sort         | 57.596  | 56.792  | 57.204  | 56.843  | 57.286  | 57.144  |
| Arrays.parallelSort | 16.164  | 17.912  | 17.567  | 18.299  | 18.219  | 17.632  |

### Pattern: Reverse

| Algorithm           | Run 1  | Run 2  | Run 3  | Run 4  | Run 5  | Average |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| SequentialMergeSort | 63.797 | 61.548 | 39.944 | 39.370 | 52.770 | 51.486  |
| ParallelMergeSort   | 13.002 | 13.277 | 15.516 | 12.586 | 13.117 | 13.500  |
| Arrays.sort         | 0.578  | 0.541  | 1.362  | 0.544  | 0.577  | 0.721   |
| Arrays.parallelSort | 0.613  | 0.721  | 0.603  | 0.588  | 0.610  | 0.627   |



