

Oxidized LDL and its correlation with lipid profile and oxidative stress biomarkers in young healthy Spanish subjects

María Isabel Burgos Alves · Francisco Avilés Plaza · Rebeca Martínez-Tomás ·
María Sánchez-Campillo · Elvira Larqué · Francisca Pérez-Llamas ·
Pedro Martínez Hernández · Soledad Parra Pallarés

Received: 23 February 2010 / Accepted: 23 June 2010 / Published online: 22 July 2010
© University of Navarra 2010

Abstract The biological effects of oxidized LDL (oxLDL) may contribute to initiation and progression of the atherosclerotic process, and the association between cardiovascular disease and oxidation of LDL has been largely demonstrated. The objectives of this study were to establish the reference values of oxidative stress biomarkers in a young healthy Spanish population to determine the concentration of oxLDL and its relationship with lipid profile and with these biomarkers. oxLDL, F₂-isoprostanes, protein carbonyls (PC), and 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) were determinate by ELISA in 72 healthy subjects. Antioxidant enzymes, superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), and glutathione reductase (GR) were carried out on a Hitachi 912 analyzer; lipid profile were assayed using automated systems (Cobas 711, Roche Diagnostics). All statistics were analyzed by using SPSS for Windows 15.0. SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA. (Normal mean reference values): oxLDL (63.23 ± 16.23 U/L), (Male/Female

$68.06 \pm 17.69/58.39 \pm 13.6$ U/L), F₂-isoprostanes (2.26 ± 0.9 µg/g creatinine), PC (0.34 ± 0.15 nmol/mg), 8-OHdG (23.27 ± 10.58 ng/ml), SOD (931.97 ± 271.09 U/g Hb), GR (46.56 ± 11.68 U/L), GPx (27.58 ± 6.89 U/gHb (Male/Female $25.91 \pm 5.03/29.2 \pm 8.07$ U/L)). OxLDL (63.23 U/L) was significantly ($p < 0.05$) positively correlated with BMI (22.53 Kg/m²), total cholesterol (175.79 mg/dl), triglycerides (87.58 mg/dl), LDL cholesterol (96.25 mg/dl), and uric acid (4.78 mg/dl), while negatively correlated with HDL-cholesterol (62.25 mg/dl). We have found different correlation between oxLDL and isoprostanes by gender with the rest of parameters. Normal reference values have been found significantly different for oxLDL and GPx by gender. Oxidized LDL is correlated with lipid profile but not with the oxidative stress biomarkers. Urinary isoprostanes are positively correlated with triglycerides and negatively with GR and GPx.

Keywords Oxidized LDL · Oxidative stress · Lipid profile · F₂-Isoprostanes

M. I. Burgos Alves (✉) · F. Avilés Plaza ·
P. Martínez Hernández · S. Parra Pallarés
Servicio de Análisis Clínicos, Sección de Bioquímica,
Hospital Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca,
Murcia, Spain
e-mail: mabelbur@gmail.com

R. Martínez-Tomás · M. Sánchez-Campillo · E. Larqué ·
F. Pérez-Llamas
Department of Physiology, University of Murcia,
Murcia, Spain

Introduction

The most plausible and biologically relevant modification of the LDL particle appears to be due to oxidation [2, 22]. The biological effects of oxidized LDL may contribute to initiation and progression of the atherosclerotic process and the association between cardiovascular disease and oxidation of LDL has been

largely demonstrated [5, 11, 30]. In middle-aged people, obesity and dyslipidemia are the strongest predictors of levels of oxidized LDL (oxLDL) [12]. The concentration of oxLDL depends not only on the degree of oxidative stress but also on the amount of substrate for oxidation (i.e., the number of LDL particles). Indeed, oxLDL levels were consistently found to be strongly correlated to LDL-cholesterol (LDL-c) [4, 17, 18, 27, 32, 34].

Oxidative stress was defined by Sies [28] as “a disturbance in the prooxidant–antioxidant balance in favor of the former.” Thus, oxidative stress is essentially an imbalance between the production of various reactive species and the ability of the natural protective mechanism of the organism to cope with these reactive compounds and prevent adverse effects. To minimize free radical damage, there is a complex antioxidant defense system so that antioxidants prevent the organism from the harmful effects of free radicals by scavenging or inhibiting their formation. Cells maintain their vital functions against oxidative damage with the help of a system of antioxidants categorized into three groups: enzymes, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), or glutathione reductase (GR); proteins, which include albumin, ferritin, or transferrin; and low molecular weight molecules, including uric acid. The oxidative stress biomarkers can be split into two categories: formation of modified molecules by radical oxygen species such as 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), F₂-isoprostanes, protein carbonyls (PC); and consumption or induction of enzymes or antioxidants [31].

Oxidative stress has been suggested to be involved in the etiology of a host of chronic diseases including cancer, cardiovascular disease, cataracts, age-related maculopathologies, and aging in general [25]. Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species can attack various substrates in the body including lipids, nucleic acids, and proteins, and it might be appropriate to evaluate plasmatic concentration stress oxidative biomarkers in the routine laboratory assessment.

Because oxidative stress should be evaluated by looking at the whole spectrum of antioxidants and at biomarkers of oxidative damage, the objectives of this study are to establish the reference values of the oxidative stress biomarkers in a young healthy Spanish population to determine the serum concentration of oxLDL and to establish its relationship with the lipid profile and with these biomarkers.

Materials and methods

Seventy-two healthy volunteers, 36 women (26±4.9 years) and 36 men (26±5.5 years), from the University of Murcia participated in this study. The inclusion criteria were (1) age 30±10 years old; (2) only subjects consuming four or less fruits and vegetables portions; (3) no vitamin supplements consumption for the 2 months before the study; (4) normolipidemic; (5) nonsmokers; (6) alcohol consumption <30 g/day; (7) subjects within 15% of ideal body weight with no major fluctuations in body weight; (8) no gastrointestinal, renal, or hepatic diseases, cancer, or allergies. All participants gave their informed consent to participate in the study, which was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Murcia.

Blood sampling In all patients, blood samples were obtained from the median cubital vein and placed in EDTA-containing vials. Serum samples were centrifuged at 3,000×g for 10 min at room temperature within 1 h of collection and stored at –80°C until the assays were performed.

Serum lipids and oxLDL The concentrations of cholesterol total, LDL, and HDL cholesterol (LDL-c and HDL-c, respectively), and triglycerides (TG) were assayed using automated systems (Cobas 711, Roche Diagnostics). A competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; Mercodia, Uppsala, Sweden; with an intra-assay and interassay variation's coefficient of 4.8 and 4.5, respectively, for 82 U/L) was used to determine oxLDL concentrations in serum.

Antioxidant enzymes The determination of SOD and GPx was analyzed in total blood by a commercial kit (Randox Laboratories Ltd, UK). The concentrations of enzyme GR were analyzed in serum by a commercial kit (Randox Laboratories Ltd., UK). These assays were carried out on a Hitachi 912 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics Systems). Values for SOD and GPx were normalized by per gram of hemoglobin (Hb).

Oxidative stress biomarkers Urinary 15-isoprostane F₂ was analyzed by a commercially available ELISA kit (Oxford Biomedical Research, Inc. Oxford, MI, USA). Values for 15-isoprostane were normalized by per milligram of creatinine measured in urine.

Measurement of 8-OHdG was carried out with an ELISA kit (Japan Institute for the Control of Aging, Fukuroi, Shizuoka, Japan). PC were determined by an ELISA kit (Biocell Corporation Ltd., New Zealand).

Statistical analysis All statistics were analyzed by using SPSS for Windows 15.0 (SPSS Inc., IL, USA). The characteristics of the subjects are described as numbers, percentage, means, and standard deviations. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used for comparison of normally distributed variables across sex categories, and an independent-samples *t* test was applied to compare means between males and females. Pearson's correlation analysis was performed to examine the relation between oxLDL and the variables. Differences were considered statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$.

Results

Table 1 shows the biochemical parameters, body mass index (BMI), lipid profile, antioxidant status: enzymes (SOD, GPx, and GR) and certain biomarkers of oxidative damage by separately by gender and altogether: oxLDL (endothelial damage), 8-OHdG (DNA damage), F₂-isoprostanes (lipid peroxidation),

and PC (protein damage). The results are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation.

According to the lipid profile results, the levels (mean values) of total cholesterol, LDL-c, TG, oxLDL, and uric acid in males were significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than those in females. However, the levels of HDL-c and GPx in males were significant lower ($p < 0.05$) than those in females. There were no significant differences by sex in the other antioxidant parameters (Table 1).

In order to establish normal references values, we have analyzed the antioxidants enzymes and oxidative damage biomarkers by gender. We have obtained the results for men and women separately and altogether, and we have only found significant differences in GPx and OxLDL, so we consider only one reference value for both sexes in the rest of the biomarkers. The results obtained are SOD 931.97 ± 271.09 U/g Hb; GR 46.56 ± 11.68 U/L; GPx 27.58 ± 6.89 U/g Hb (men 25.91 ± 5.03 U/g Hb; women 29.2 ± 8.07 U/g Hb); OxLDL 63.23 ± 16.23 U/L (men 68.06 ± 17.69 U/L; women 58.39 ± 13.16 U/L); PC (0.34 ± 0.15 nmol/mg); 8-OHdG (23.27 ± 10.58 ng/ml), and F₂-isoprostanes 2.26 ± 0.9 μ g/g creatinine.

When we correlated BMI and lipid profile, we observed a significant ($p < 0.05$) positive correlation between BMI and total cholesterol, LDL-c, TG,

Table 1 Body mass index, biochemical parameters, and oxidative stress biomarkers in young healthy Spanish subjects

Table 1 Body mass index, biochemical parameters, and oxidative stress biomarkers in young healthy Spanish subjects	Variable	Men	Women	<i>p</i> Value	
	Mean±σ	Mean±σ	Mean±σ		
	<i>n</i> =72	<i>n</i> =36	<i>n</i> =36		
	BMI (kg/m ²)	22.53±3.03	23.82±2.77	21.21±2.73	
	Cholesterol (mg/dl)	175.79±28.02	184.86±32.44	166.72±19.26	0.005
	HDL-c (mg/dl)	62.25±14.41	58.47±15.25	66.03±12.61	0.025
	LDL-c (mg/dl)	96.25±27.09	105.86±29.67	86.64±20.44	0.002
	TG (mg/dl)	87.58±54.12	103.03±70.12	72.14±23.10	0.014
<i>BMI</i> body mass index;	Uric acid (mg/dl)	4.78±1.31	5.73±0.99	3.83±0.78	0.000
<i>HDL-c</i> HDL-cholesterol;	Homocysteine (μmol/L)	10.69±6.17	11.49±5.55	9.9±6.71	
<i>LDL-c</i> LDL-cholesterol;	SOD (U/gHb)	931.97±271.09	896.12±275.72	966.8±265.83	
<i>TG</i> triglyceride;	GPx (U/gHb)	27.58±6.89	25.91±5.03	29.2±8.07	0.046
<i>GR</i> glutathione reductase;	GR (U/L)	46.56±11.68	48.17±13.56	44.95±9.35	
<i>SOD</i> superoxide dismutase;	OxLDL (U/L)	63.23±16.23	68.06±17.69	58.39±13.16	0.011
<i>GPx</i> glutathione peroxidase;	8-OHdG (ng/ml)	23.27±10.58	21.86±7.49	24.67±12.91	
<i>oxLDL</i> oxidized LDL;	PC (nmol/mg)	0.34±0.15	0.32±0.15	0.36±0.15	
<i>8OHdG</i> 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine;	F ₂ -isoprostanes (μg/g creatinine)	2.26±0.9	2.26±0.94	2.26±0.87	
<i>PC</i> carbonyl protein;					
<i>σ</i> standard deviation					

BMI body mass index;
HDL-c HDL-cholesterol;
LDL-c LDL-cholesterol;
TG triglyceride;
GR glutathione reductase;
SOD superoxide dismutase;
GPx glutathione peroxidase;
oxLDL oxidized LDL;
8OHdG 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine;
PC carbonyl protein;
 σ standard deviation.

oxLDL, homocysteine, and uric acid and a negative correlation with HDL-c.

The results of Pearson's correlation analysis between oxLDL and F₂-isoprostanes (men and women together) and the other variables are shown in Table 2:

- OxLDL (63.23 U/L) was significantly ($p<0.05$) positively correlated with BMI (22.53 Kg/m²), total cholesterol (175.79 mg/dl), TG (87.58 mg/dl), LDL-c (96.25 mg/dl), and uric acid (4.78 mg/dl), while negatively correlated with HDL-c (62.25 mg/dl). We have not found any correlation between oxLDL and antioxidants; no relationship between oxLDL and oxidative stress biomarkers has been found either.
- Urinary F₂-isoprostanes were significantly ($p<0.05$) positively correlated with TG and negatively correlated with GPx and GR. No relationship between F₂-isoprostanes and oxLDL has been found. No relationship between urinary F₂-isoprostanes and the rest of oxidative stress biomarkers has been found either.

We have made an analysis of Pearson's correlation between oxLDL and F₂-isoprostanes by gender. The results are shown in Table 3.

We observed a significant ($p<0.05$) positive correlation between oxLDL and total cholesterol and LDL-c in men. In women, we have also found a significant

($p<0.05$) positive correlation between oxLDL and LDL-c and uric acid. A negative correlation between oxLDL and HDL-c has been found in women but not in men.

When we correlated F₂-isoprostanes with the other biomarkers by gender, there is a significant ($p<0.05$) positive correlation with TG in men but not in women. A negative correlation between F₂-isoprostanes and GR in both genders was observed. We have also found a significant ($p<0.05$) negative correlation between F₂-isoprostanes and GPx, but we did not find it in men.

Discussion

The oxidative modification of LDL is one of the key events in early atherogenesis, both directly contributing to cholesterol accumulation in arterial wall macrophages and in promoting pro-inflammatory events that accelerate lesion development [9]. We observed positive correlation of oxLDL concentration with cholesterol levels and body mass index, in agreement with the results of Ozata et al. [21] and with Holvoet et al. [12], who established the relationship between circulating oxLDL levels and cardiovascular risk factors for subjects without any clinical evidence of cardiovascular disease.

In our study, we found that HDL-c was a negative determinant of oxLDL, likes Navab et al. [20], or Van

Table 2 Pearson's correlations of oxidized LDL and F₂-isoprostanes with the lipid profile and oxidative stress biomarkers in young healthy Spanish subjects

	Oxidized LDL			F ₂ -Isoprostanes		
	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>n</i>
OxLDL (U/L)	1		72	−0.64	0.593	72
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.33	0.005	71	0.036	0.765	71
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	0.538	0.000	72	0.047	0.698	72
HDL-c (mg/dl)	−0.352	0.002	72	−0.2	0.87	72
LDL-c (mg/dl)	0.635	0.000	72	−0.4	0.741	72
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	0.285	0.015	72	0.264	0.025	72
Uric acid (mg/dl)	0.377	0.000	71	0.116	0.333	72
Homocysteine (μmol/L)	0.06	0.619	72	−0.16	0.892	72
GR (U/L)	0.009	0.941	72	−0.441	0.000	72
SOD (U/g Hb)	0.013	0.915	69	0.072	0.557	69
GPx (U/gHb)	0.043	0.724	69	−0.351	0.003	69
Protein carbonyls (nmol/mg)	−0.095	0.427	72	0.055	0.644	72
8-OHdG (ng/ml)	−0.117	0.328	72	−0.003	0.98	72
F ₂ -Isoprostanes (μg/g creatinine)	−0.064	0.593	72	1		72

Significant Pearson's correlation $p\leq 0.05$

OxLDL oxidized LDL; *BMI* body mass index; *GR* glutathione reductase; *SOD* superoxide dismutase; *GPx* glutathione peroxidase; *8OHdG* 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine

Table 3 Pearson's correlations of oxidized LDL and F₂-isoprostanes with the lipid profile and oxidative stress biomarkers by gender

	Oxidized LDL				F ₂ -Isoprostanes			
	Male		Female		Male		Female	
	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>
OxLDL (U/L)	1		1		−0.192	0.262	0.114	0.509
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.227	0.183	0.248	0.151	0.137	0.425	−0.095	0.586
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	0.576	0.000	0.299	0.077	0.098	0.571	−0.035	0.841
HDL-c (mg/dl)	−0.235	0.168	−0.399	0.016	−0.076	0.66	0.051	0.766
LDL-c (mg/dl)	0.642	0.000	0.496	0.002	−0.044	0.797	−0.039	0.82
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	0.23	0.178	0.226	0.185	0.404	0.015	−0.024	0.888
Uric acid (mg/dl)	0.123	0.474	0.445	0.007	0.091	0.596	0.281	0.097
Homocysteine (μmol/L)	0.043	0.802	−0.001	0.996	0.134	0.437	−0.15	0.381
GR (U/L)	−0.113	0.511	0.118	0.492	−0.524	0.001	−0.335	0.046
SOD (U/g Hb)	0.102	0.565	−0.01	0.954	0.04	0.824	0.122	0.486
GPx (U/gHb)	0.167	0.345	0.1	0.568	−0.303	0.081	−0.402	0.017
Protein carbonyls (nmol/mg)	−0.227	0.183	0.165	0.336	0.038	0.825	0.075	0.665
8-OHdG (ng/ml)	−0.076	0.660	−0.098	0.568	−0.129	0.453	0.075	0.662
F ₂ -Isoprostanes (μg/g creatinine)	−0.192	0.262	0.114	0.509	1		1	

Significant Pearson's correlation $p \leq 0.05$

OxLDL oxidized LDL; *BMI* body mass index; *GR* glutathione reductase; *SOD* superoxide dismutase; *GPx* glutathione peroxidase; *8OHdG* 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine

der Zwan et al. [33]. There are several hypotheses about two possible mechanisms could contribute to the protective role of HDL in the formation of oxLDL [10, 13, 15, 23]; they are related to two HDL-associated enzymes that have been shown to inhibit LDL lipid-peroxidation in vitro: Paraonase 1 [16] and platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase [35], respectively.

We also studied the relationship between circulating oxLDL and other potential cardiac risk factors, such as homocysteine, but we did not find any significant correlation. We have not found a relationship between oxLDL and other oxidative stress biomarker either. Galle et al. [8] found that formation of oxLDL is promoted by oxidative stress, but oxLDL itself has also been identified as a potent stimulus for vascular free radical formation. This, and the fact that our subjects are young and healthy, could explain our data.

When we studied the relationship between oxLDL and the others parameters by gender, we did not find the same correlations in men as in women. We have obtained a significant ($p < 0.05$) positive correlation between oxLDL and total cholesterol in men, but we

did not find it in women. However, we found a significant ($p < 0.05$) positive correlation between oxLDL and uric acid in women but not in men. We believe that these differences could be explained by the small sample size by gender. Perhaps, with a higher population of each sex, we could obtain the same results that were obtained with the total number of subjects.

Isoprostanes are emerging as a new class of biologically active products of arachidonic acid metabolism of potential relevance to human vascular disease. Their formation in vivo seems to reflect primarily, if not exclusively, a nonenzymatic process of lipid peroxidation. F₂-isoprostanes formation is substantially increased in animal models of free radical-mediated injury [19] and is now used frequently as an accurate measure of oxidative stress in human studies. Measurements of specific F₂-isoprostanes in urine may provide a sensitive biochemical end point for dose-finding studies of natural and synthetic inhibitors of lipid peroxidation. We have measured this lipid peroxidation biomarker in a young healthy Spanish population, and although it has been a relatively small

group, we tried to establish normal reference values; we have not found any difference between gender (2.26 ± 0.9 pg/g creatinine) conversely to Kaufman et al. [14] who found a strong, nonlinear relationship (indicated by the significance of the age), but it was in healthy children. The mean values of this study are lower than those we obtained before (5.17 ± 4.74 Alcaraz et al. and 4.46 ± 2.37 Cerdá et al.) [1, 3]. We think that these differences can be explained due to the difference in ages of the patients.

In the present trial, Urinary F₂-isoprostanes were significantly ($p < 0.05$) positively correlated with TG according to several authors [7, 26] but conversely to them, no correlation with oxLDL has been found. We have also found a negative correlation between F₂-isoprostanes and antioxidants (GPx and GR).

When we studied the relationship between F₂-isoprostanes and the other parameters by gender, we did not find the same correlation in men as in women: F₂-isoprostanes were significantly ($p < 0.05$) positively correlated with TG in men but not in women; the lower TG levels in women could perhaps explain it. We have found the same significant ($p < 0.05$) negative correlation between F₂-isoprostanes and GR for men and women; for Gpx, only a significant ($p < 0.05$) negative correlation was found in women; the higher results we found for this enzyme in women could explain it.

From this study, we have obtained our own reference values on oxidative stress biomarkers in a young healthy Spanish population, which differs from those found in the literature. Our SOD values are higher than Pincemail's values, obtained in 123 healthy people in Liège [24]. On the contrary, Pincemail et al. obtained GPx values higher than ours. In previous reports from this laboratory [6], we had obtained similar reference values for oxLDL in middle-aged subjects (58 ± 11 years old) who were older than these in this study. All our previous laboratory reports showed oxLDL values lower than Sigurdardottir et al. [29], but they had established their control group with several degrees of obesity and insulin resistance.

In conclusion, the present study provides normal reference values in young healthy Spanish subjects for oxLDL and oxidative stress biomarkers. We have found normal reference values significantly different for oxLDL and GPx by gender.

We have also established the relationship between oxLDL, lipid profile and oxidative stress biomarkers

in a young healthy Spanish population: oxLDL is correlated with lipid profile but not with the oxidative stress biomarkers. OxLDL was significantly and positively correlated with BMI, total cholesterol, TG, LDL-c, and uric acid while negatively with HDL-c, but it was not correlated to F₂-isoprostanes which are considered in the literature as one of the best lipid oxidative biomarkers. Urinary isoprostanes are positively correlated with triglycerides and negatively with GR and GPx.

We hope this work could contribute to detecting populations at risk of developing cardiovascular diseases evaluated through the damage of biological oxidative markers.

Acknowledgements The work described here has received funding from the European Community's Sixth Framework Programme under grant agreement FOOD-023115, Healthy Structuring.

References

1. Alcaraz-García MJ, Albaladejo MD, Acevedo C, Olea A, Zamora S, Martínez P, Parra S (2008) Effects of hyperoxia on biomarkers of oxidative stress in closed-circuit oxygen military divers. *J Physiol Biochem* 64:135–141
2. Calmarza Calmarza P (2008) Lipoproteínas de baja densidad (LDL) oxidadas. *Electron J Biomed* 3:52–60
3. Cerdá B, Soto C, Albaladejo MD, Martínez P, Sánchez-Gascón F, Tomás-Barberán F, Espín JC (2006) Pomegranate juice supplementation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a 5-week randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 60:245–253
4. Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Nicklas BJ, Penninx BW, Holvoet P, Koh-Banerjee P, Cummings SR, Harris TB, Newman AB, Pahor M (2005) Lipoprotein peroxidation and mobility limitation: results from the Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. *Arch Intern Med* 165:2148–2154
5. Ehara S, Ueda M, Naruko T, Haze K, Itoh A, Otsuka M, Komatsu R, Matsuo T, Itabe H, Takano T, Tsukamoto Y, Yoshiyama M, Takeuchi K, Yoshikawa J, Becker AE (2001) Elevated levels of oxidized low density lipoprotein show a positive relationship with the severity of acute coronary syndromes. *Circulation* 103:1955–1960
6. Fenollar M, Parra S, Albaladejo MD, Cassinello N (2005) Perfil lipídico y estado oxidativo en pacientes con Enfermedad Vascular Periférica. *Anales de cirugía cardíaca y Vascular* 11 (3):124–128
7. Flores L, Rodela S, Abian J, Claria J, Esmatjes E (2004) F₂ isoprostane is already increased at the onset of type 1 diabetes mellitus: effect of glycemic control. *Metabolism* 53:1118–1120
8. Galle J, Hansen-Hagge T, Wanner C, Seibold S (2006) Impact of oxidized low density lipoprotein on vascular cells. *Atherosclerosis* 185:219–226

9. Glass CK, Witztum JL (2001) Atherosclerosis. The road ahead. *Cell* 104:503–516
10. Holvoet P, Collen D (1998) Oxidation of low density lipoproteins in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. *Atherosclerosis* 137:S33–S38
11. Holvoet P, Vanhaecke J, Janssens S (1998) Van de, W. F.; Collen, D. Oxidized LDL and malondialdehyde-modified LDL in patients with acute coronary syndromes and stable coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 98:1487–1494
12. Holvoet P, Mertens A, Verhamme P, Bogaerts K, Beyens G, Verhaeghe R, Collen D, Muls E, Van de WF (2001) Circulating oxidized LDL is a useful marker for identifying patients with coronary artery disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 21:844–848
13. Huang JM, Huang ZX, Zhu W (1998) Mechanism of high-density lipoprotein subfractions inhibiting copper-catalyzed oxidation of low-density lipoprotein. *Clin Biochem* 31: 537–543
14. Kauffman LD, Sokol RJ, Jones RH, Awad JA, Rewers MJ, Norris JM (2003) Urinary F₂-isoprostanes in young healthy children at risk for type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Free Radic Biol Med* 35:551–557
15. Mackness MI, Abbott C, Arrol S, Durrington PN (1993) The role of high-density lipoprotein and lipid-soluble antioxidant vitamins in inhibiting low-density lipoprotein oxidation. *Biochem J* 294(Pt 3):829–834
16. Mackness MI, Durrington PN, Mackness B (2000) How high-density lipoprotein protects against the effects of lipid peroxidation. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 11:383–388
17. Meisinger C, Baumert J, Khuseynova N, Loewel H, Koenig W (2005) Plasma oxidized low-density lipoprotein, a strong predictor for acute coronary heart disease events in apparently healthy, middle-aged men from the general population. *Circulation* 112:651–657
18. Metso S, Loimaala A, Mercuri MF, Nenonen A, Vuori I, Oja P, Bond MG, Laine S, Rontu R, Lehtimäki T (2004) Circulating oxidized low-density lipoprotein and common carotid artery intima-media thickness in a random sample of middle-aged men. *J Biomed Sci* 11:356–361
19. Morrow JD, Minton TA, Mukundan CR, Campbell MD, Zackert WE, Daniel VC et al (1994) Free radical-induced generation of isoprostanes in vivo. Evidence for the formation of D-ring and E-ring isoprostanes. *J Biol Chem* 269:4317–4326
20. Navab M, Ananthramiah GM, Reddy ST, Van Lenten BJ, Ansell BJ, Fonarow GC, Vahabzadeh K, Hama S, Hough G, Kamranpour N, Berliner JA, Lusis AJ, Fogelman AM (2004) The oxidation hypothesis of atherogenesis: the role of oxidized phospholipids and HDL. *J Lipid Res* 45:993–1007
21. Ozata M, Mergen M, Oktenli C, Aydin A, Sanisoglu SY, Bolu E, Yilmaz MI, Sayal A, Isimer A, Ozdemir IC (2002) Increased oxidative stress and hypozincemia in male obesity. *Clin Biochem* 35:627–631
22. Parra Pallarés S, Albaladejo Otón MD, Martínez Hernández P (2000) Modificaciones cualitativas de las lipoproteínas. Implicaciones fisiopatológicas. *An Med Interna (Madrid)* 17:317–323
23. Parthasarathy S, Barnett J, Fong LG (1990) High-density lipoprotein inhibits the oxidative modification of low-density lipoprotein. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1044:275–283
24. Pincemail J, Siquet J, Chapelle JP, Cheramy-Bien JP, Paulissen G, Chantillon AM, Christiaens G, Gielen J, Limet R, Defraigne JO (2000) [Determination of plasma concentrations of antioxidants, antibodies against oxidized LDL, and homocysteine in a population sample from Liege]. *Ann Biol Clin (Paris)* 58:177–185
25. Poulsen HE, Prieme H, Loft S (1998) Role of oxidative DNA damage in cancer initiation and promotion. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 7:9–16
26. Ruano J, López-Miranda J, Marín C, Gómez P, Moreno JA, Fuentes F, Cortés B, Lozano A, Pérez-Martínez P, Pérez-Jiménez F (2005) La ingesta aguda de un desayuno basado en aceite de oliva con alto contenido en polifenoles mejora la función endotelial en el periodo postprandial. *Nutr Hosp* 20:139–149, http://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0212-16112005000300009&lng=es
27. Scheffer PG, Henry RM, Wever EJ, van Rooij GJ, Bos G, Heine RJ, Dekker JM, Diamant M, Stehouwer CD, Nijpels G, Blankenstein MA, Teerlink T (2004) LDL oxidative modifications in well- or moderately controlled type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes/Metab Res Rev* 20:298–304
28. Sies H (1985) Oxidative stress: introductory remarks. In: Sies H (ed) *Oxidative stress*. Academic, New York, pp 1–8
29. Sigurdardottir V, Fagerberg B, Wikstrand J, Schmidt C, Hulthe J (2008) Circulating oxidized LDL is associated with the occurrence of echolucent plaques in the carotid artery in 61-year-old men. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 68:292–297
30. Toshima S, Hasegawa A, Kurabayashi M, Itabe H, Takano T, Sugano J, Shimamura K, Kimura J, Michishita I, Suzuki T, Nagai R (2000) Circulating oxidized low density lipoprotein levels. A biochemical risk marker for coronary heart disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 20:2243–2247
31. Tsukahara H (2007) Biomarkers for oxidative stress: clinical application in pediatric medicine. *Curr Med Chem* 14:339–351
32. Tsuzura S, Ikeda Y, Suehiro T, Ota K, Osaki F, Arai K, Kumon Y, Hashimoto K (2004) Correlation of plasma oxidized low-density lipoprotein levels to vascular complications and human serum paraoxonase in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Metabolism* 53:297–302
33. Van der Zwan LP, Teerlink T, Dekker JM, Henry RM, Stehouwer CD, Jakobs C, Heine RJ, Scheffer PG (2009) Circulating oxidized LDL: determinants and association with brachial flow-mediated dilation. *J Lipid Res* 50:342–349
34. Van Tits LJ, van Himbergen TM, Lemmers HL (2006) de, G. J.; Stalenhoef, A. F. Proportion of oxidized LDL relative to plasma apolipoprotein B does not change during statin therapy in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. *Atherosclerosis* 185:307–312
35. Watson AD, Navab M, Hama SY, Sevanian A, Prescott SM, Stafforini DM, McIntyre TM, Du BN, Fogelman AM, Berliner JA (1995) Effect of platelet activating factor-acetylhydrolase on the formation and action of minimally oxidized low density lipoprotein. *J Clin Invest* 95:774–782