

## Correction to "Conformational Distribution of trans-Stilbene in Solution Investigated by Liquid Crystal NMR Spectroscopy and Compared with In Vacuo Theoretical Predictions"

Giorgio Celebre,\* Giuseppina De Luca, and Maria Enrica Di Pietro

J. Phys. Chem. B 2012, 116 (9), 2876-2885. DOI: 10.1021/jp211962w

We realized that, due to a mistake in the printed output of our computer program, in Table 2 of the published article the values corresponding to the interaction tensor components  $\mathcal{E}^R_{2,0}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^R_{2,2}$ , and  $\mathcal{E}^{(H_6-C=C-H_7)}_{2,0}$  are listed in the wrong order and are given in the wrong units (kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> units instead of RT units, the right ones). The right complete Table 2 is given here.

Table 2. Optimized Values of the Iteration Parameters Required by the AP-DPD Approach

	t-St in ZLI1132
$\phi_1^M = \pm \phi_2^M/\deg$	$16.80 \pm 0.02$
$h_1 = h_2/\deg$	10 (after parametrization)
$w_{(C2)}$	$0.59 \pm 0.01$
$arepsilon_{2,0}^R/RT$	$1.349 \pm 0.001$
$rac{arepsilon_{2,2}^{ extsf{R}_{7}}/ ext{RT}}{arepsilon_{2,0}^{ ext{(H}_{6}- ext{C}= ext{C}- ext{H}_{7})}/ ext{RT}}$	$0.650 \pm 0.002$
$\varepsilon_{2,0}^{(\mathrm{H}_6-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_7)}/RT$	$0.473 \pm 0.002$

We want anyway emphasize that the mistake was only in the output, so that the right values have been fortunately used during calculations and the results of the work are not affected at all.