

Reflection and Traceability Report on MES-ERP

Team #26, Ethical Pals

Sufyan Motala

Rachid Khneisser

Housam Alamour

Omar Muhammad

Taaha Atif

[Reflection is an important component of getting the full benefits from a learning experience. Besides the intrinsic benefits of reflection, this document will be used to help the TAs grade how well your team responded to feedback. Therefore, traceability between Revision 0 and Revision 1 is an important part of the reflection exercise. In addition, several CEAB (Canadian Engineering Accreditation Board) Learning Outcomes (LOs) will be assessed based on your reflections. —TPLT]

1 Changes in Response to Feedback

1.1 Changes in Response to Usability Testing

1.2 SRS and Hazard Analysis

1.2.1 Changes Made to the SRS

1.2.2 Changes Made to the Hazard Analysis

The document has been thoroughly revised to address feedback from a rubric. Here are the key changes:

1.2.3 Document Structure Improvements

- Added Table of Contents, List of Tables, and List of Figures
- Improved document organization with appropriate page breaks
- Updated revision history table with current changes
- Added proper labels to Safety/Security Requirements (SSR-1, SSR-2, SSR-3)

1.2.4 Content Enhancements

1. Introduction & Scope:

- Refined hazard definitions specifically for MES-ERP financial operations
- Expanded scope to clearly include all system components (frontend, backend, database, auth)
- Added clearer document roadmap

2. System Boundaries & Components:

- Added technology specifics (Supabase/PostgreSQL, Next.js/React)
- Enhanced hazard descriptions with concrete examples
- Added new hazards (race conditions, XSS vulnerabilities, session hijacking)

3. Critical Assumptions:

- Clarified existing assumptions
- Added a fifth assumption about security of underlying infrastructure

4. FMEA Implementation:

- Created a complete FMEA table with severity, occurrence, and detection ratings
- Calculated Risk Priority Numbers (RPN)
- Added specific mitigation strategies for each failure mode
- Cross-referenced Safety/Security Requirements

5. Safety Requirements:

- Added formal labels and improved descriptions
- Enhanced rationales for implementation

6. Roadmap:

- Improved immediate implementation items with technical specifics
- Added cross-references to SSRs
- Added new future implementation item (Security Penetration Testing)

The revisions make the document more precise, technically detailed, and better aligned with software engineering best practices for hazard analysis.

All changes were made to address the rubric feedback, with special attention to improving the technical specificity of hazard descriptions, creating a

proper FMEA analysis, and ensuring consistent cross-referencing between identified hazards and safety requirements. The revisions significantly enhanced the document's precision and alignment with software engineering best practices for hazard analysis of financial systems.

1.3 Design and Design Documentation

1.4 VnV Plan and Report

2 Challenge Level and Extras

2.1 Challenge Level

[State the challenge level (advanced, general, basic) for your project. Your challenge level should exactly match what is included in your problem statement. This should be the challenge level agreed on between you and the course instructor. —TPLT]

2.2 Extras

1. Usability Testing

Conduct formal usability testing with a variety of stakeholders (students, financial staff) to refine the interface and ensure a smooth user experience.

2. User Documentation

Create comprehensive user documentation in the form of written documentation and video tutorials that guides end-users through every step of submitting expenses, reviewing budgets, and navigating the platform.

[Summarize the extras (if any) that were tackled by this project. Extras can include usability testing, code walkthroughs, user documentation, formal proof, GenderMag personas, Design Thinking, etc. Extras should have already been approved by the course instructor as included in your problem statement. —TPLT]

3 Design Iteration (LO11 (PrototypeIterate))

[Explain how you arrived at your final design and implementation. How did the design evolve from the first version to the final version? —TPLT]

[Don't just say what you changed, say why you changed it. The needs of the client should be part of the explanation. For example, if you made changes in response to usability testing, explain what the testing found and what changes it led to. —TPLT]

4 Design Decisions (LO12)

[Reflect and justify your design decisions. How did limitations, assumptions, and constraints influence your decisions? Discuss each of these separately. —TPLT]

5 Economic Considerations (LO23)

[Is there a market for your product? What would be involved in marketing your product? What is your estimate of the cost to produce a version that you could sell? What would you charge for your product? How many units would you have to sell to make money? If your product isn't something that would be sold, like an open source project, how would you go about attracting users? How many potential users currently exist? —TPLT]

6 Reflection on Project Management (LO24)

[This question focuses on processes and tools used for project management. —TPLT]

6.1 How Does Your Project Management Compare to Your Development Plan

[Did you follow your Development plan, with respect to the team meeting plan, team communication plan, team member roles and workflow plan. Did you use the technology you planned on using? —TPLT]

6.2 What Went Well?

[What went well for your project management in terms of processes and technology? —TPLT]

6.3 What Went Wrong?

[What went wrong in terms of processes and technology? —TPLT]

6.4 What Would you Do Differently Next Time?

[What will you do differently for your next project? —TPLT]

7 Reflection on Capstone

[This question focuses on what you learned during the course of the capstone project. —TPLT]

7.1 Which Courses Were Relevant

[Which of the courses you have taken were relevant for the capstone project?
—TPLT]

7.2 Knowledge/Skills Outside of Courses

[What skills/knowledge did you need to acquire for your capstone project that
was outside of the courses you took? —TPLT]