

Beyond Pain: Rethinking the True Essence of Love

Howard Wang

Introduction: Love is Not Suffering

I received your message asking whether suffering is the ultimate form of love on a Friday afternoon. I've tried to push this thought down multiple times, but I knew I had to face it at some point and prove you wrong. So, here we are. While love might involve moments of suffering (I won't completely deny it), equating the two as inseparable or ultimate expressions is, quite frankly, a misunderstanding. It distorts the true nature of love and misses the point. Love is so much more. It's about mutual happiness, shared memories, and creative expression—these, I argue, give a far more complete and beautiful understanding of what love truly is.

Here, I argue that love is not synonymous with suffering. I'll explore critical ideas such as **Love as a Net Positive**, **The Temporal Dimension of Love**, **Suffering as a Selfish Act**, **Suffering as a Call for Validation**, and **When Love Goes Unreciprocated**. Additionally, I will provide alternative perspectives on the ultimate form of love, focusing on how love manifests through **mutual happiness**, **shared memories**, and **creative expression** rather than pain or hardship.

Analysis: Arguing for the Sake of Love

From a broader perspective, if love were synonymous with suffering, we would not be praying for love. Seriously, it would be the complete opposite of what we want. Think about it—no one sends Valentine’s Day cards saying, “I hope you suffer a lot in this relationship.” It’s like that joke I made in the hallway: If you think sex hurts, then you’re doing it wrong. The same applies here—if love feels like pure suffering, something’s off!

Love as Net Positive

The distinction between the outcomes of love and suffering is at the core of the argument. Love, when viewed mercifully, is fundamentally a **net positive experience**. It’s about growth, support, mutual happiness, and emotional fulfillment. On the other hand, suffering is a **net negative**—filled with pain, distress, and, well, not much joy. Love can sometimes coexist with moments of suffering, but those aren’t what define it. The true essence of love is found in mutual happiness, where both individuals in the relationship thrive and benefit from each other’s presence. That’s the real heart of love, not the occasional bumps.

The Temporal Dimension of Love

Love naturally **changes over time**, evolving as the people in the relationship grow. Early on, it may be passionate and intense, but over time, it shifts into something more profound, built on **companionship, trust, and respect**. Whether love brings happiness or hardship, it’s constantly adapting.

The key is that neither **happiness** nor **suffering** defines love entirely. Love isn’t static; both joy and difficulty shape it. Claiming suffering as the ultimate form of love overlooks that love’s true strength lies in its ability to **adapt** and **endure** through all emotional states. Love’s essence is in how it evolves, not in any experience being superior to another.

Suffering as a Selfish Act

One of the central arguments against suffering as the ultimate form of love is its inherently selfish nature. Suffering can often arise from **self-centeredness**, where the individual who suffers focuses primarily on their own pain rather than the well-being of the other person. If suffering is to be seen as a manifestation of love, it must be examined critically: Is the suffering prompted by genuine care for the other person, or is it merely an expression of one’s unmet needs and desires?

This distinction matters because, in true love, the focus is on **altruism**—on selflessly seeking the happiness and fulfillment of the other person. When suffering becomes the center of attention, it can distort this dynamic, transforming love into an act of **self-serving martyrdom** rather than selfless affection. Thus, suffering is not an inherent or ultimate quality of love but a potential side effect of unmet desires within the relationship.

Suffering as a Call for Validation

Another dimension of this argument is that suffering is often an implicit **demand for attention** or validation from others. In romantic contexts, individuals may experience emotional pain when their love is unreciprocated or they perceive a lack of attention from their partner. This suffering, while real, stems from a need for **external validation** rather than an intrinsic aspect of love.

True love, however, is characterized by **mutual appreciation** and growth, rather than constant validation-seeking. When suffering arises from a desire for attention, it reflects insecurity and emotional dependence. This is far from the ideal form of love, where both partners contribute to each other's well-being without constant demands for emotional reassurance.

When Love Goes Unreciprocated

An additional argument relates to the notion of **unwarranted suffering**, which occurs when one person's love for another is unreciprocated. In such situations, the suffering experienced by the individual in love is often disproportionate and rooted in **misaligned expectations**. If the other person does not share the same level of affection, the emotional distress felt by the person in love becomes a **one-sided** burden rather than an expression of true love.

This raises an important philosophical question: Is suffering in this context truly a form of love, or is it a **consequence of attachment** and desire? If love is to be defined by the **selflessness** of the individual, then suffering resulting from unreciprocated love cannot be considered the ultimate form of love, as it reflects unmet personal desires rather than mutual affection.

Alternative Forms of Love: Mutual Happiness, Art, and Memory

If love were truly just about suffering, no one would actively seek it out. There must be moments of **happiness**—after all, that's why relationships begin in the first place. People fall in love because of the joy, the connection, and the mutual support they find with one another.

Mutual happiness arises when both individuals are uplifted by the relationship, when they grow together, and when they experience life as partners. Sure, difficulties will arise, but it's through love that people navigate those challenges, ultimately emerging stronger. Suffering may happen, but it isn't the core of love; **happiness and growth** are.

Beyond the mutual happiness of two people, **art and memory** take love to an even **grandeur scale**. As a calligrapher and painter, I often think about how art, music, or shared creativity expands beyond just two individuals—it becomes something **greater**, something that reaches into a more universal experience. **Art**, born from love, doesn't simply capture the emotions between two people; it transcends the relationship and becomes a part of something much larger, speaking to broader human experiences and emotions. Whether it's a song, a painting, or a poem, these expressions of love have the potential to connect with others, even across time and cultures. They transform personal love into something **universal**.

Similarly, **memories** created in love form a foundation that goes beyond the immediate relationship. These memories, rich with shared experiences, serve as a lasting legacy. But more than that, they reflect the ways in which love shapes and influences lives on a broader scale, reminding us that love has a ripple effect. It touches others, it inspires, and it often outlives the individuals who created it. In this way, love is not confined to fleeting moments of joy or suffering, but extends into the collective human experience through art and shared memory.

So, while suffering may occasionally occur in love, it is not its ultimate expression. The **true core** of love is found in **how we create, inspire, and remember**—both within our relationships and beyond them. Through mutual happiness, art, and memory, love connects us not only to each other but to something far greater, something that transcends time and personal experience.

Conclusion: Love is So Much More Than Suffering

Love isn't defined by suffering. Yes, there will be hard times, but those don't capture the essence of what love truly is. The real heart of love lies in the **mutual happiness**, **creativity**, and the **memories** we build together. Love connects us not only to each other but to something much bigger—something that transcends pain, time, and even the individual relationships we're in. Through these enduring forms, love becomes a powerful force that goes far beyond any temporary hardship.

Have faith in love, **Belief** in its ability to grow and transform, and give yourself the **time** to experience it fully. Love is not just about enduring; it's about creating, inspiring, and building something that will last.