```
Henry Yu
CPSC 335-11
Project 1
Algorithm 1:
Pseudocode:
Function seat swaps(row<vector>):
       count = 0
       for i from 0 to length(row) - 2, i increments by 2
              if row[i] + 1 != row[i + 1] or row[i] - 1 != row[i + 1]: // Check if each pair is a
              couple
                      for j from i + 1 to length(row) - 1:
              if row[i] + 1 == row[i + 1] or row[i] - 1 == row[i + 1]: // Find matching person
                      swap(row[i+1], row[j]) // Swap match
                      count++
              break // Prevents over incrementation
       return count
```

Efficiency Analysis:

I will analyze this algorithm using the step count method:

There are an n total number of individuals, there are an m number of couples, the relationship between n and m being m = n/2.

The total amount of steps in this algorithm is m * n / 2, this is because the outer loop of the algorithm must at least run m number of times, as we must check through every couple pairing, while the inside loop is checking two people at a time reducing the amount of loops to the number of people n / 2. So for m loops through the outer loop, two people are compared an n / 2 amount of times, therefore the total amount of steps is represented by m * n / 2 or mn/2. Because both n and m are linear in complexity the resulting algorithm is O(n) complexity.

```
Algorithm 2:
Pseudocode:
Function optimal_start_city(city_distances<vector>, city_fuel<vector>, car_mpg):
    n = total number of cities in vector
    for start from 0 to n, start increments by 1's
        check = 1 // used as a flag to bail if starting city is not a valid start
        car_fuel = 0
        for i from 0 to n
        j = (i + start) % 2 // index of current city
        fuel = city_fuel[j]
```

Efficiency Analysis:

I will be using step count analysis to determine the efficiency class of this algorithm. Let n = the number of cities in our test vector. Both the outside loop and inside loop of my algorithm loops at least n number of times. Which means the worst case scenario for this algorithm is that the algorithm runs an n-squared number of times. But because both the inside and outside loop of the algorithm has a complexity of O(n) the resulting algorithm is also O(n) complexity