

My first paper's structure and grammar was coherent and well structured for the most part. The main area of focus for me was in the supporting evidence department; quotes, paraphrases, examples, etc. Throughout the paper, I added several ideas from John Swales' *The Concept of Discourse Community*. These additions skewed the focus of the paper around, so I had to alter how several paragraphs flowed to and from one another.

The first major addition pertains to the introduction to ISUE's project management and high level goals. The paragraph mashed together the topics of project diversity within ISUE, as well as the goal of being published. This didn't work very well, in my opinion, so the two topics were split into their own individual paragraphs. This split allowed me to better integrate the quote pulled from Swales, as it was slightly out of place beforehand.

Another area of improvement for this paper was in the paragraph detailing ISUE's methods of educating novices. This too was a part of the paragraph directly above it, but had only a loose relation its topic. I felt it best to break the ideas apart. This enabled me to add an additional claim, using Swales as support, about how ISUE's scheduled meetings provide more than one function to further show my understanding of how discourse communities work.

The last area that I felt needed improvement was in my discussion of ISUE's lexis choice and custom terminology. Though this section provided all the information I needed it to provide; it didn't relate how that information to proving ISUE's status as a discourse community. I added a notion from Swales description of specific lexis in discourse communities. This serves express ISUE as more of a general discourse community.