The direct mechanism for the first price Lecture 9.2 anction in <u>not</u>. even Bayesian Incentive compatible. Revelation Principal for Dominant Strategy Incentive Let $f: X \oplus_i \longrightarrow X$ be any social choice function. Suppose an indirect mechanism ((Si)ie[n], g(·)) implements f is Dominant Strategy

equilibrium. Then the direct mechanism ((Di)iern, f()) implements f in Dominant Strategy equilibrium. Proof: Since M= ((Si)ie [n], g(·)) implement f in DSE, there exists a very weakly dominant strategy equilibrium (s;(.)) such thel-

 →
 (8,..., 8,) € ×
 →

 ∴
 →

 $\chi(s_{i}^{*}(\theta_{i}))_{i \in [n]} = f((\theta_{i})_{i \in [n]}) - (1)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall_{i} \in [n] \ \forall \ \theta_{i} \in \Theta_{i}, \ \forall \alpha \in S_{i}, \ \forall \alpha_{i} \in S_{i}, \ \forall \theta_{i} \in \Theta_{i}, \\ &\forall_{i} \left(\ \beta_{i}^{*}(\theta_{i}), \alpha_{i} \right), \ (\theta_{i})_{i \in [n]} \right) \geqslant \text{ wi} \left(\ \beta_{i}^{*}(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}) \right), \ (\theta_{i})_{i \in [n]} \right) \longrightarrow \\ &\text{To show:} \quad \left(\ f_{i} \left(\ \Theta_{i} \right)_{i \in [n]} \right) \Rightarrow \text{ wi} \left(\ f_{i}^{*}(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}) \right), \ (\theta_{i})_{i \in [n]} \right) \longrightarrow \\ &\forall_{i} \in [n], \ \forall \ \theta_{i} \in \Theta_{i}, \ \forall \ \theta_{i}^{*} \in \Theta_{i}, \ \forall \ \theta_{i}^{*} \in \Theta_{i}, \ \forall \ \theta_{i}^{*} \in \Theta_{i}, \\ &\forall_{i} \in [n], \ \forall \ \theta_{i}^{*} \in \Theta_{i}, \ \forall \ \theta_{i}^{*} \in \Theta_{i}, \ \forall \ \theta_{i}^{*} \in \Theta_{i}, \\ &\text{wi} \left(\ f_{i}^{*}(\theta_{i}), \theta_{i}^{*} \right), \ (\theta_{i}^{*})_{i \in [n]} \right) \geqslant \text{ wi} \left(\ f_{i}^{*}(\theta_{i}), \theta_{i}^{*} \right), \ (\theta_{i}^{*})_{i \in [n]} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{vi} \Big(f(\theta_i, \underline{\theta}_i'), (\theta_j)_{j \in [n]} \Big) \\ &= \text{vi} \Big(g(\beta_i^{*}(\theta_i), \beta_i^{*}(\underline{\theta}_i')), (\theta_j)_{j \in [n]} \Big) & \left[\text{from equation (1)} \right] \\ &\geq \text{vii} \Big(g(\beta_i^{*}(\theta_i), \beta_i^{*}(\underline{\theta}_i')), (\theta_j)_{j \in [n]} \Big) & \left[\text{from equation (2)} \right. \\ &\geq \text{vii} \Big(g(\beta_i^{*}(\theta_i), \beta_i^{*}(\underline{\theta}_i')), (\theta_j^{*})_{j \in [n]} \Big) & \left[\text{from equation (1)} \right] \\ &= \text{vii} \Big(f(\theta_i^{*}, \underline{\theta}_i^{*}), (\theta_j^{*})_{j \in [n]} \Big) & \left[\text{from equation (1)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Revelation Principle for BIC mechanisms Let $f: X \hookrightarrow X$ be any social choice function. Suppose an indirect mechanism implement f in Bayesian Nash equilibrium. Then the direct mechanism $(\Theta_i)_{i \in [n]}, f(\cdot))$ also implement $f(\cdot)$ in Bayesian

Nash egnilibrium.





