Iterative Elimination of Dominated Strategies

Lecture 3.2

Example 1:

$$u_2(B,\sigma) = 3 > 2 = u_2(B,c)$$

Claim: $\sigma = (A:\frac{1}{2}, B:\frac{1}{2})$ Strongly dominates the pure strategy C for the column player.

Reduced game:

	4	
	A	B
A	2,3	3,0
B	0,0	1,6

$$U_1(A,A) = 2 > 0 = U_1(B,A)$$

$$U_1(A,B) = 3 > 1 = U_1(B,B)$$

Claim: The strategy B is strongly dominated by the strategy A for the row player.

Reduced game:

A B

Strongly dominated by he strategy A for the column player.

Reduced game:

A 2,3

(A,A) is the unique MSNE for the given game.

Lemma: Given a game $T = \langle N, (S_i)_{i \in N}, (u_i)_{i \in N} \rangle$, if a pure strategy $S_i \in S_i$ is weakly dominated by some mixed strategy, then there exists an MSNE $(T_i^*)_{i \in N}$ such that $T_i^*(S_i) = 0$.

There exists an MSNE $(T_i^*)_{i \in N}$ such that $T_i^*(S_i) = 0$.

Proof: Analogous to the demme for strongly dominated strategy.

Exampli: A B A is a weakly dominated strategy for the column player.

Example: Suppose there are 50 students in a class. Each student writes a number in {0,1,2,..., 100}. Let \$1,..., \$50 be the numbers written.

 $l = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{s_1 + \dots + s_{50}}{50}$. The winner is the student whose number is to l.

Find an MSNE of the game.

Iterative elimination of strongly dominated strategies.

The strategies 68,69,...,100 are weakly dominated by

the strategy 67.

Reduced game: S: = {0,1,..., 67} | (0,0,...,0) is an MSNE.

 $1 \leq \frac{2}{3}.67 \approx 44...$

Reduced game: Si = {0,1,...,45} S: = { o}

