

Lecture 10.2

Grove's Mechanism

Vickrey-Clarke-Groves (VCG) mechanism.

Theorem: Let $f(\cdot) = (k^*(\cdot), t_1(\cdot), \dots, t_n(\cdot))$ be
a allocatively efficient social choice
function. Then $f(\cdot)$ is dominant strategy
incentive compatible if the payment functions
satisfy the following:



$$t_i(\theta_i, \underline{\theta}_i) = \sum_{\substack{j \in [n] \\ j \neq i}} w_j(r^*(\theta, \theta_j)) + h_i(\underline{\theta}_i), \quad \forall i \in [n]$$

where $h_i : \underline{\Theta}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Proof: For the sake of finding a contradiction, let us assume that f is not DSIC. Then there exist $(\theta_i, \underline{\theta}_i) \in \Theta$ and $\theta'_i \in \underline{\Theta}_i$ such that

$$w_i(f(\theta_i, \underline{\theta}_i), \theta_i) < w_i(f(\theta'_i, \underline{\theta}_i), \theta_i)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_i(k^*(\theta_i, \theta_{-i}), \theta_i) + \underbrace{t_i(\theta_i, \theta_{-i})}_{\cancel{+ b_i(\theta_{-i})}} &< v_i(k^*(\theta'_i, \theta_{-i}), \theta_i) + \underbrace{t_i(\theta'_i, \theta_{-i})}_{\cancel{+ b_i(\theta_{-i})}} \\
 \Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n v_j(k^*(\theta_i, \theta_{-i}), \theta_j) &< \sum_{j=1}^n v_j(k^*(\theta'_i, \theta_{-i}), \theta_j) \\
 \Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n v_j(\underbrace{k^*(\theta_i, \theta_{-i})}_{\cancel{+ b_i(\theta_{-i})}}, \theta_j) &< \sum_{j=1}^n v_j(\underbrace{k^*(\theta'_i, \theta_{-i})}_{\cancel{+ b_i(\theta_{-i})}}, \theta_j)
 \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts our assumption that $k^*(.)$ is
allocatively efficient.

□

Remarks:

- Groves Theorem only provides sufficient condition for a payment rule to make an allocatively efficient allocation rule DSIC.
- For any player i , and any type profile θ_i of other players, the money received by player i depends on its type θ_i only through the allocation function, $k^*(\theta_i, \theta_{-i})$.

If player i changes its type from θ_i to θ'_i , then
its change in payment-

$$t_i(\theta_i, \underline{\theta}_i) - t_i(\theta'_i, \underline{\theta}_i) = \sum_{\substack{j \in [n] \\ j \neq i}} v_j(k^*(\theta_i, \underline{\theta}_i), \theta_j) - \sum_{\substack{j \in [n] \\ j \neq i}} v_j(k^*(\theta'_i, \underline{\theta}_i), \theta_j)$$

The change of payment is the amount of
externality imposed by player i on other players.

Clarke (Pivotal) Mechanism

Clarke mechanism is a Groves mechanism with

$$h_i(\theta_i) = - \sum_{\substack{j \in [n] \\ j \neq i}} v_j(k_{-i}^*(\theta_i), \theta_j)$$

for each player $i \in [n]$, let $k_{-i}^*(\cdot)$ be an allocatively efficient rule.

$$t_i(\theta) = \sum_{\substack{j \in [n] \\ j \neq i}} v_j(k^*(\theta), \theta_j) - \sum_{\substack{j \in [n] \\ j \neq i}} v_j(k_{-i}^*(\theta_i), \theta_j)$$

Hence, the money received by player i is the total value of an allocatively efficient allocation in the presence of i minus the total value of an allocatively efficient allocation in the absence of i .

$$t_i(\theta) = \sum_{j \in [n]} v_j(k^*(\theta), \theta_j) - \sum_{\substack{j \in [n] \\ j \neq i}} v_j(k_{-i}^*(\theta_{-i}), \theta_j) - v_i(k^*(\theta), \theta_i)$$

γ_i is a "diswant" given to player i

