

We've now seen that if  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is operator norm continuous @  $t=0$ , then there is a generator  $A$  - a bounded operator on  $B(S, \mathcal{B})$  s.t.

$$Q_t = e^{tA} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} A^n.$$

One case where this level of regularity is commonplace is for discrete state spaces:  $S$  countable,  $\mathcal{B} = 2^S$ . Here

$$Q_t f(i) = \sum_{j \in S} q_t(i, j) f(j) \quad \forall i \in S$$

where  $(q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  are the transition kernel mass functions

Note:  $q_t(i, j) \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\sum_{j \in S} q_t(i, j) = 1 \quad \forall i \in S$ .

$\therefore$  As a matrix,  $\|q_t\|_\infty = \sup_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in S} \|q_t(i, j)\|_F = \sup_i 1 = 1$ .

The continuity condition becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_t - I\|_{op} &= \|q_t - I\|_\infty = \sup_i \sum_j |q_t(i, j) - \delta_{ij}| \\ &= \sup_i (1 - q_t(i, i)) + \sum_{j \neq i} q_t(i, j) = \sup_i 2(1 - q_t(i, i)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \downarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $(q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  are Markov transition kernel mass functions on a discrete state space  $S$ , satisfying

$$\liminf_{t \downarrow 0} \inf_{i \in S} q_t(i, i) = 1$$

then the transition semigroup  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  has a bounded generator  $A$ .

**Question:** What can we say about  $A$ ?  $Q_t = e^{tA}$

$$\begin{aligned} Af(i) &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0^+} Q_t f(i) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0^+} \sum_{j \in S} q_t(i, j) f(j) \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{j \in S} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0^+} q_t(i, j) f(j) \end{aligned}$$

This suggests that  $A$  has a matrix  $a$  given by

$$a(i, j) = \frac{d}{dt} q_t(i, j) \Big|_{t=0^+}$$

If  $S$  is infinite, this takes some work to prove.

First question: does  $A$  even have a matrix?

You might think this must always be true: that every (bounded) linear operator

$$T: B(S) \rightarrow B(S)$$

has a matrix. Following the finite-dimensional case, we would expand

$$f = \sum_{j \in S} f(j) \mathbb{1}_{\{j\}}.$$

If  $f$  is a simple function, so  $f(j)=0$  for all but finitely many  $j \in S$ ,

$$Tf(i) = \sum_{j \in S} f(j) T(\mathbb{1}_{\{j\}})(i)$$

So we would expect that  $T$  has matrix  $\theta(i,j) = T(\mathbb{1}_{\{j\}})(i)$ .

But if  $f$  is not simple, there's no way to extend this:

even if  $\|\theta\|_\infty < \infty$ , we can't check if  $Tf(i) = \sum_j \theta(i,j)f(j)$ .

Basic Problem:  $f \equiv 1$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ .  $\|f - f_k\|_\infty = 1$ .

$$f_k = \mathbb{1}_{\{1, 2, \dots, k\}}$$

Fact:  $\exists$  bounded operators on  $B(S)$  that have no matrix.

**Prop:** Let  $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be bounded operators on  $B(S)$ , each given by a matrix  $\Theta_n$ :

$$T_n f(i) = \sum_{j \in S} \Theta_n(i, j) f(j). \quad \|\Theta_n\|_\infty = \|T_n\|_{op} < \infty \quad \forall n.$$

If  $T$  is a bounded operator and  $\|T_n - T\|_{op} \rightarrow 0$ , then

$T$  has a matrix  $\Theta$  given by  $\underline{\Theta(i, j)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_n(i, j)$ .

**Pf.** First,  $T_n(\mathbb{1}_{\{j\}})(i) = \sum_{k \in S} \Theta_n(i, k) \mathbb{1}_{\{j\}}(k) = \Theta_n(i, j)$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_n(i, j) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(\mathbb{1}_{\{j\}})(i) = T(\mathbb{1}_{\{j\}})(i).$$

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n(\mathbb{1}_{\{j\}})(i) - T(\mathbb{1}_{\{j\}})(i)| &\leq \sup_{i' \in S} |\mathbb{1}_{\{j\}}(i') - \mathbb{1}_{\{j\}}(i)| = \|T_n(\mathbb{1}_{\{j\}}) - T(\mathbb{1}_{\{j\}})\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|T_n - T\|_{op} \|\mathbb{1}_{\{j\}}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent, hence Cauchy.

$$\begin{aligned} (T_n - T_m)f(i) &= T_n f(i) - T_m f(i) \\ &= \sum_j \Theta_n(i, j) f(j) - \sum_j \Theta_m(i, j) f(j) \\ &= \sum_j [\Theta_n(i, j) - \Theta_m(i, j)] f(j) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore T_n - T_m$  has matrix  $\theta_n - \theta_m$ , so

$$\|\theta_n - \theta_m\|_\infty = \|T_n - T_m\|_{op} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\sup_i \sum_j |\theta_n(i,j) - \theta_m(i,j)|$$

$$\therefore \text{For each } i, \sum_j |\theta_n(i,j) - \theta_m(i,j)| = \sum_j \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\theta_n(i,j) - \theta_m(i,j)|$$

$$\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_j |\theta_n(i,j) - \theta_m(i,j)| \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n - T_m\|_{op} \\ = \|T_n - T\|_{op}.$$

$$\text{As this is true for all } i, \text{ taking } \sup_i \therefore \|\theta_n - \theta\|_\infty \leq \|T_n - T\|_{op}$$

$\therefore$  By the triangle inequality,  $\|\theta\|_\infty < \infty$ , so it defines a bounded operator

$$\hat{T}f(i) := \sum_j \theta(i,j) f(j)$$

$$\text{But then } \|\hat{T} - T_n\|_{op} = \|\theta - \theta_n\|_\infty \leq \|T - T_n\|_{op} \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $\|T - T_n\|_{op} \rightarrow 0$ , it follows that  $\hat{T} = T$ .

$$\text{I.e. } T f(i) = \sum_j \theta(i,j) f(j).$$

////

Cor: Under the continuity condition  $\|Q_t - I\|_{op} \rightarrow 0$  i.e.  $\inf_i q_{t(i), i} \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \downarrow 0$ , the semigroup has generator  $A$  with matrix  $a$

$$a(i, j) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0^+} q_t(i, j).$$

Pf. We proved last lecture that  $\|A - \frac{Q_t - I}{t}\|_{op} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \downarrow 0$ .

Take any  $t_n \downarrow 0$ ,  $\therefore \|A - \frac{Q_{t_n} - I}{t_n}\|_{op} \rightarrow 0$

$\sim$  has matrix  $\frac{1}{t_n} [q_{t_n}(i, j) - \delta_{ij}]$ .

$\therefore$  By our proposition,  $A$  has matrix

$$\begin{aligned} a(i, j) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_n} [q_{t_n}(i, j) - \delta_{ij}] \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0^+} q_t(i, j). \quad // \end{aligned}$$

Cor:  $a(i, j) \geq 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , and  $\sum_{j \in S} a(i, j) = 0 \quad \forall i \in S$ .

(Exactly the same as the proof in [Lec. 39.2].)