

Martingales

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in T}, P)$ filtered probability space. S a Banach space; usually \mathbb{R}^d .
 (Equipped w $\mathcal{B}(S)$)

Def. An adapted process $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ in $L^1(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in T}, P; S)$ is a
martingale if $E[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s \quad \forall s < t$ fair games
 sub " " if " " \geq " " favors the player
 super " " if " " \leq " " " favors the house.

Thinking in terms of earnings while betting on a gambling game,

In the special case $T = \mathbb{N}$ (which we'll focus on
 for now), by induction the (sub/super) martingale
 property reduces to

$$E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = X_n \quad (\geq / \leq)$$

We also have the equivalent forms (\geq / \leq)

$$E[X_{n+1} - X_n | \mathcal{F}_n] = 0, \text{ or } E[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_{s \wedge t}$$

If $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ is a martingale, $\mathbb{E}[X_t] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s]] = \mathbb{E}[X_s] \forall s \leq t$

$\mathbb{E}[X_t] \uparrow$ sub
 $\mathbb{E}[X_t] \downarrow$ super

We can shrink the filtration down to (anything containing) $(\mathcal{F}_n^X)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Lemma: Let $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ be a (sub/super) martingale wrt $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in T}$.

Let $(Y_t)_{t \in T}$ be a filtration with $\mathcal{F}_t \supseteq Y_t \supseteq \mathcal{F}_t^X = \sigma(X_s : s \leq t)$

Then $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ is a (sub/super) martingale wrt $(Y_t)_{t \in T}$.

Pf. By definition X_t is \mathcal{F}_t^X -measurable. $\mathcal{Y}_t \supseteq \mathcal{F}_t^X$

$\therefore (X_t)_{t \in T}$ is $(\mathcal{Y}_t)_{t \in T}$ -adapted.

For $s \leq t$, $\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{Y}_s] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}_s}[\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_s}[X_t]]$ b/c $\mathcal{Y}_s \subseteq \mathcal{F}_s$

$$\stackrel{\text{?}}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}_s}[X_s] = X_s. \\ (\geq / \leq)$$

///

Examples.

1. Let $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ be an adapted process with independent increments.

If $\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_s] = 0$ (≥ 0) $\forall s \leq t$, then $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ is a (sub/super) martingale.

b/c $\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[X_t - X_s + X_s | \mathcal{F}_s] = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_s | \mathcal{F}_s]}_{\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_s]} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[X_s | \mathcal{F}_s]}_{X_s}$

- (pre-) Brownian motion.

$$X_t - X_s \stackrel{d}{=} N(0, t-s), \text{ mean } 0 \\ \therefore \mathbb{E}[X_t - X_s] = 0.$$

- Poisson process $N_t - N_s \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Poiss}(\lambda(t-s)) \therefore \mathbb{E}(N_t - N_s) \geq 0$ submartingale

↳ Compensated Poisson process

$$X_t = N_t - \lambda t \quad X_t - X_s = (N_t - N_s) - \lambda(t-s) \text{ indep.}$$

martingale. $\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_s] = 0$.

- $\{\zeta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ independent L^1 r.v's, $X_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \zeta_k$.

↳ if $\mathbb{E}[\zeta_k] = 0 \forall k$, martingale. (Eg SRW)

↳ if $\mathbb{E}[\zeta_k] > 0 \forall k$, submartingale. (RW $p > \frac{1}{2}$)

↳ if $\mathbb{E}[\zeta_k] < 0 \forall k$, supermartingale. (RW $p < \frac{1}{2}$)

2. A gambler's earnings employing a betting strategy in a casino. [Loc 47.1]

3. Let $X \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. For the given filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in T}$, define

$$X_t := \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_t] \quad (\text{came up in our analysis of } \mathbb{E}[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_t].)$$

We know $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ is an L^1 (L^P) contraction, so $\|X_t\|_{L^1} \leq \|X\|_{L^1} < \infty$.

By definition, $\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_t]$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable; $\therefore (X_t)_{t \in T}$ is adapted.

For $s \leq t$, $\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_s}[\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_t}[X]] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_s}[X] = X_s$. \therefore martingale.

A martingale of this form is called a **regular martingale**.

↳ Not all martingales are regular.

Eg. SRW. If $X_n = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$ for $X \in L^1$, then

$$\infty > \sup_n \mathbb{E}[|X_n|], \therefore \text{if } b_n \uparrow \infty, \mathbb{E}[|X_n/b_n|] \rightarrow 0.$$

$$X_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \underbrace{Z_k}_{\text{iid RV's}}$$

\therefore by CLT, $\frac{X_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1)$.

4. Product martingales - $\{Z_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ independent, L^1 . Set $X_n = Z_1 \cdots Z_n$

$$\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \supseteq \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[|X_n|] &= \mathbb{E}[|Z_1| \cdots |Z_n|] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[|Z_1|] \cdots \mathbb{E}[|Z_n|] < \infty, \\ &\quad \uparrow = 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] &= \mathbb{E}[X_n Z_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = X_n \mathbb{E}[Z_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= X_n \mathbb{E}[Z_{n+1}].\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a martingale iff $\mathbb{E}[Z_n] = 1 \quad \forall n \geq 1$.

If we take $Z_n \geq 0$ a.s. then $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is also ≥ 0 a.s., and

is a sub/super martingale
iff $\mathbb{E}[Z_n] \geq / \leq 1. \quad \forall n$.

In the case $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a martingale, $\mathbb{E}[|X_n|] = 1 \quad \forall n$,

so the process is L^1 -bounded (unlike SRW).

But does that mean it is regular?

I.e. $\exists X \in L^1$ s.t. $X_n = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$?

No.