

Uniform Integrability

A collection of random variables $\Lambda \subseteq L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ is called **uniformly integrable** (UI) if

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{X \in \Lambda} E[|X| : |X| \geq a] = 0.$$

I.e. tail expectations are uniformly small.

Eg. If Λ has a dominating L^1 function, $|X| \leq g \in L^1 \quad \forall X \in \Lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{X \in \Lambda} E[|X| \mathbb{1}_{|X| \geq a}] \\ & \quad (\text{by } g \mathbb{1}_{g \geq a}) \\ & \leq E[g \mathbb{1}_{g \geq a}] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } a \rightarrow \infty. \therefore \Lambda \text{ is UI}. \end{aligned}$$

$\{ |X| \geq a \} \subseteq \{ g \geq a \}$
 $g \geq a$

Eg. If $\Lambda \subseteq L^p$ for some $p > 1$ and $\sup_{X \in \Lambda} \|X\|_{L^p} < \infty$,
then Λ is UI.

$$E[|X| : |X| \geq a] \leq E[|X| \left(\frac{|X|}{a}\right)^{p-1} : |X| \geq a] = \frac{1}{a^{p-1}} E[|X|^p]$$

Eg. Any subset of a UI set is UI.

Lemma: If $\Lambda \subseteq L^1$ is UI, then Λ is L^1 -bounded: $\sup_{X \in \Lambda} E[|X|] < \infty$.

Pf. By assumption, $\sup_{X \in \Lambda} E[|X| \mathbb{I}_{|X| \geq a}] \rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$.
So fix a s.t. $\underbrace{\sup_{X \in \Lambda} E[|X| \mathbb{I}_{|X| \geq a}]}_{\leq 1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \forall X \in \Lambda, E[|X|] &= E[|X| (\mathbb{1}_{|X| < a} + \mathbb{1}_{|X| \geq a})] \\ &= E[|X| \mathbb{1}_{|X| < a}] + E[|X| \mathbb{1}_{|X| \geq a}] \leq a + 1. \quad // \end{aligned}$$

The converse is false, as we'll soon see. $\nearrow a \quad \searrow \leq 1$

UI is equivalent to another uniform regularity condition.

Def: A collection of random variables $\Lambda \subseteq L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ is called **uniformly absolutely continuous** (UAC) if

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall B \in \mathcal{F}, P(B) < \delta \Rightarrow \sup_{X \in \Lambda} E[|X| : B] < \varepsilon$.

i.e. $\limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} \{E[|X| : B] ; X \in \Lambda, P(B) < \delta\} = 0$.

Prop: For any $\Lambda \subseteq L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, Λ is UI iff Λ is UAC and L^1 -bounded.

Pf. (\Rightarrow) For any $a > 0$, $B \in \mathcal{F}$, $X \in \Lambda$, $\mathbb{E}[|X| : B] \leq aP(B)$.

$$\mathbb{E}[|X| : B] = \mathbb{E}[|X| : B, |X| \geq a] + \mathbb{E}[|X| : B, |X| < a]$$

$$\therefore \limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} \{ \mathbb{E}[|X| : B] : X \in \Lambda, P(B) < \delta \} \leq \limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} \{ \mathbb{E}[|X| : B, |X| \geq a] : X \in \Lambda, P(B) < \delta \}$$

We already showed that UI sets

are L^1 -bounded.

$$+ \limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} \{ aP(B) : P(B) < \delta \} = 0$$

$\therefore \rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $K = \sup_{X \in \Lambda} \|X\|_L$. For $a > 0$, $X \in \Lambda$,

$$P(|X| \geq a) \leq \frac{\|X\|_L}{a} \leq \frac{K}{a}$$

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$, choose $\delta > 0$ s.t. $P(B) < \delta \Rightarrow \sup_{X \in \Lambda} \mathbb{E}[|X| : B] < \varepsilon$,

Now, set $a = 2K/\delta$, $\therefore B = \{|X| \geq a\}$, $P(B) \leq \frac{K}{a} = \frac{\delta}{2} < \delta$.

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{X \in \Lambda} \mathbb{E}[|X| : |X| \geq a] < \varepsilon.$$

$$\therefore \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} (\quad) = 0. \quad //$$

Cor: If $\Lambda \subseteq L^1$ is UI and $X \in L^1$, then $\Lambda + X = \{Y + X : Y \in \Lambda\}$ is UI.

Pf. By the last proposition, Λ is UAC.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and choose $\delta_1 > 0$ s.t. $P(B) < \delta_1 \Rightarrow E[|Y| : B] < \varepsilon/2 \forall Y \in \Lambda$.

Of course $\{X\}$ is UI, \therefore UAC, so choose $\delta_2 > 0$ s.t. $P(B) < \delta_2 \Rightarrow E[|X| : B] < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

\therefore For $\delta = \delta_1 \wedge \delta_2$, $\forall B \in \mathcal{F} \quad P(B) < \delta$,

$$E[|X+Y| : B] \leq E[|X| : B] + E[|Y| : B] < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \quad \therefore \Lambda + X \text{ is UAC.}$$

Also $\sup \{ \|X+Y\|_{L^1} : Y \in \Lambda \} \leq \sup_{Y \in \Lambda} \|Y\|_{L^1} + \|X\|_{L^1} < \infty$. $\therefore \Lambda + X$ is UI. //

Uniform Integrability is precisely the gap between L^1 -convergence and convergence in probability.

Theorem: (Vitali Convergence Theorem)

Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, and let X be measurable.

Then $X \in L^1$ and $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^1

iff

$\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is UI and $X_n \rightarrow_P X$

Pf. If $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^1 , then $X_n \rightarrow_p X$ [Lec. B.1]

Let $Y_n = X_n - X \rightarrow 0$ in L^1 For any fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E}[|Y_n| : |Y_n| \geq a] \leq \underbrace{\sup_{n \leq N} \mathbb{E}[|Y_n| : |Y_n| \geq a]}_{\text{finite collection, } \therefore \text{UI}} + \sup_{n \geq N} \mathbb{E}[|Y_n| : |Y_n| \geq a]$$

$$\therefore \lim_{a \uparrow \infty} \sup_n \mathbb{E}[|Y_n| : |Y_n| \geq a] \leq \sup_{n \geq N} \mathbb{E}[|Y_n|] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is UI. $\} \therefore \{X_n\}$ is UI
 $X_n = Y_n + X$
 $\uparrow \{X\}$ is UI.

Conversely, suppose $X_n \rightarrow_p X$ & $\{X_n\}$ UI.

For $a > 0$, $Y_n \mathbb{1}_{|Y_n| < a} \rightarrow 0$ in L^1 by DCT

$$\|X_n - X\|_{L^1} = \mathbb{E}[|Y_n| \mathbb{1}_{|Y_n| < a}] + \mathbb{E}[|Y_n| \mathbb{1}_{|Y_n| \geq a}]$$

$\therefore \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\) \downarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$ $\sup_k \mathbb{E}[|Y_k| \mathbb{1}_{|Y_k| \geq a}] \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow \infty} 0$ //

Prop: Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $X \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Then

$$\Lambda = \{E_{\mathcal{G}}[X] : \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \text{ is a sub-}\sigma\text{-field}\}$$

is L^p -bounded, and UI.

Pf. We've shown $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ is an L^p -contraction i.e. $\|E_{\mathcal{G}}[X]\|_p \leq \|X\|_p$

For $p > 1$, it now follows immediately that Λ is UI.

For $p=1$: we know $|E_{\mathcal{G}}[X]| \leq E_{\mathcal{G}}[|X|]$ a.s.

$$\therefore E[|E_{\mathcal{G}}[X]| : |E_{\mathcal{G}}[X]| \geq a] \leq E[E_{\mathcal{G}}[|X|] : |E_{\mathcal{G}}[X]| \geq a] = E[|X| : |E_p[X]| \geq a].$$

By Markov's inequality, $P(|E_{\mathcal{G}}[X]| \geq a) \leq \frac{1}{a} E[|E_{\mathcal{G}}[X]|] \leq \frac{1}{a} E[|E_p[X]|] = \frac{1}{a} E[|X|]$.

Since $\{X\}$ is UAC, it follows that $E[|X| : |E_{\mathcal{G}}[X]| \geq a]$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{as } a \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{unif. in } \mathcal{G}.$$

$$\therefore \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}} E[|E_{\mathcal{G}}[X]| : |E_{\mathcal{G}}[X]| \geq a] = 0. \quad \square$$

Cor: If $X_n = E[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$ is a regular martingale,
then $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is UI.

E.g. Let $\{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by iid, $Z_n \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{1}{2} + \text{Unif}[0,1]$. $\mathbb{E}[Z_n] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$, $Z_n \geq 0$
 we know that $\because X_n = Z_0 Z_1 \cdots Z_n$ is a martingale, and $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n] = 1 \quad \forall n$.

Is it regular? $X_n = ? \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$ for some $X \in L^\perp$ $\therefore X \geq 0$.

Notice: $\frac{1}{n} \ln X_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n \ln Z_j$ $\ln Z_j = \ln(\frac{1}{2} + U_j) \in [\ln \frac{1}{2}, \ln \frac{3}{2}]$ bded
 $\therefore L^1$

$$\rightarrow -0.045 \text{ a.s. } \leq 0$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\ln Z_j] = \int_0^1 \ln(\frac{1}{2} + u) du = -0.045$$

$\therefore X_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s. $\therefore X_n \rightarrow_p 0$.

If X_n were regular, $X_n = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$ for some $X \in L^\perp$, then $\{X_n\}$ would be UI.

\therefore By Vitali, $X_n \rightarrow 0$ in L^\perp . But then

$$\therefore \|X\|_{L^\perp} = \mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n]] = \mathbb{E}[X_n] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

i.e. $X = 0$. $\therefore X_n = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_n}[0] = 0 \quad \forall n$.

We see that L^\perp -boundedness $\not\Rightarrow$ UI, and
 there are ≥ 0 , L^\perp -bounded martingales that
 are not regular.