

A Markov process (taking values in a regular Borel space  $(S, \mathcal{B})$ ) comes with transition operators  $Q_{s,t}$  on  $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathcal{B})$ , satisfying the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations:

$$Q_{r,t} = Q_{r,s} Q_{s,t} \quad r \leq s \leq t$$

$$Q_{t,t} = I.$$

This is analogous to saying: a sequence of iid random variables comes with a sequence of joint laws  $\mu_n \in \text{Prob}(S^n, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes n})$  satisfying

$$\mu_n \otimes \mu_m = \mu_{n+m}$$

In [Lectures 16.1, 16.2] we considered the reverse question:

given "consistent" measures  $\mu_n$

can we actually find a sequence of iid random variables where the joint law of the first  $n$  is  $\mu_n$ ?

The answer was yes, and we constructed the iid variables on the probability space  $S^{\mathbb{N}}$

via Kolmogorov's Extension Theorem.

## Constructing Markov Processes

Let  $(S, \mathcal{B})$  be a standard Borel space

Suppose  $\nu \in \text{Prob}(S, \mathcal{B})$ , and  $\{Q_{s,t}\}_{s \leq t \in T}$  are Markov transition operators (ie, probability kernels over  $(S, \mathcal{B})^2$  satisfying the Chapman-Kolmogorov eq's).

We're going to construct a Markov process  $(X_t)_{t \in T}$  on some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  s.t.  $\text{Law}_P(X_0) = \nu$  and the transition operators of  $X$  are the given  $\{Q_{s,t}\}$ .

We'll do this by taking  $\Omega = S^T = \{\omega : T \rightarrow S\}$

We construct  $X_t$  as the **coordinate process**

$$X_t(\omega) = \omega(t).$$

Thus, we need to define a  $\sigma$ -field on  $S^T$

and a probability measure  $P = P'$  on that  $\sigma$ -field  
s.t. the coordinate process has the right initial distribution and transition operators.

Let  $(S, \mathcal{B})$  be a measurable space, and  $T$  any set.

$S^T = \{\omega: T \rightarrow S\}$  is the product.

For  $t \in T$ , the projection  $\pi_t: S^T \rightarrow S$  is the map  $\pi_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$ .

Define the **product  $\sigma$ -field**  $\mathcal{B}^{\otimes T} := \sigma(\pi_t : t \in T)$

We want to construct a measure  $P: \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  from information about its

finite-dimensional marginals:

For  $\Lambda \subseteq T$  finite, let  $\mu_\Lambda \in \text{Prob}(S^\Lambda, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \Lambda})$ .

Want  $P \in \text{Prob}(S^T, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T})$  s.t.  $\pi_\Lambda^* P = \mu_\Lambda$

What if  $\Lambda, \Lambda' \subseteq T$  overlap?

There must be some consistency.

Need:  $\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda \Rightarrow \pi_{\Lambda'}^* \mu_\Lambda = \mu_{\Lambda'}$   
 $\uparrow$   
 $(\pi_{\Lambda'}|_{S^\Lambda})^* \mu_{\Lambda'}$

$$\pi_t: S^T \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{B})$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\pi_t) = \{\pi_t^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

$$= \{\omega \in S^T : \omega(t) \in B\}$$

"cylinder sets"

Theorem: (Kolmogorov's (Extended) Extension Theorem)

Let  $(S, \mathcal{B})$  be a standard Borel space,  $T$  any set.

For each finite subset  $\Lambda \subseteq T$ , let  $\mu_\Lambda \in \text{Prob}(S^\Lambda, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \Lambda})$ , and suppose

$$\forall \Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda, \quad \pi_{\Lambda'}^* \mu_\Lambda = \mu_{\Lambda'}$$

Then  $\exists ! P \in \text{Prob}(S^T, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T})$  s.t.  $\pi_\Lambda^* P = \mu_\Lambda \quad \forall \Lambda \subseteq T \text{ finite.}$

Pf. Define an algebra  $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T}$  by

union of algebras  $\rightarrow A = \bigcup_{\Lambda \subseteq T \text{ finite}} \sigma(\pi_\Lambda) \quad \{\pi_\Lambda^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \Lambda}\}$

$$\sigma(A) = \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T}$$

Define  $P: A \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $P(A) = \mu_\Lambda(B)$ .

$$A = \pi_\Lambda^{-1}(B) \quad \begin{matrix} \Lambda \subseteq T \\ B \in \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \Lambda} \end{matrix}$$

This is well-defined:  $\pi_\Lambda^{-1}(B) = \pi_{\Lambda'}^{-1}(B') \quad (|\Lambda'| \leq |\Lambda|)$

$$\Lambda = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$$

$$\pi_\Lambda^{-1}(B) = \{w : (w(t_1), \dots, w(t_n)) \in B\}$$

$$\Lambda' = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$$

$$\pi_{\Lambda'}^{-1}(B') = \{w : (w(s_1), \dots, w(s_m)) \in B'\}$$

$$\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda, \quad s_i = t_i \quad i \leq m, \quad B = B' \times S^{n-m}$$

$$\pi_\lambda^{-1}(B) = \pi_{\lambda'}^{-1}(B') \Rightarrow \lambda' \subseteq \lambda, B = B' \times S^{\lambda \setminus \lambda'}. \therefore \mu_{\lambda'}(B') = \pi_{\lambda'}^* \mu_\lambda(B')$$

$$= \mu_\lambda((\pi_{\lambda'}|_\lambda)^{-1}(B'))$$

$$= \mu_\lambda(B' \times S^{\lambda \setminus \lambda'}) = \mu_\lambda(B)$$

Thus  $P(\pi_\lambda^{-1}(B)) := \mu_\lambda(B)$  defines  $P$  (well!) on  $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in T \text{ finite}} \sigma(\pi_\lambda)$ . Also  $P(S^T) = \mu_\lambda(S^\lambda) = 1$ .

It's also a finitely additive measure: if  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in A$  disjoint

$$A_j = \pi_{\lambda_j}^{-1}(B_j) = \pi_\lambda^{-1}(B'_j) \quad \lambda = \lambda_1 \cup \dots \cup \lambda_n, \quad B'_j = B_j \times S^{\lambda \setminus \lambda_j}$$

$B \otimes \lambda$   
↑  
disjoint

$$\therefore P(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n) = \mu_\lambda(B'_1 \cup \dots \cup B'_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_\lambda(B'_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n P(A_j).$$

We've constructed a finitely-additive  $P$  on  $A$ , and it (by design) has the "right" finite-dimensional marginals.

Now, all we have to do is show  $P$  is countably additive on  $A$ .

Let  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$ .

$\hookrightarrow A_n = \pi_{\Lambda_n}^{-1}(B_n)$  for some finite  $\Lambda_n \subseteq T$ ,  $B_n \in \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \Lambda_n}$

Set  $L := \bigcup_n \Lambda_n$   $\leftarrow$  countable.

Use the [Lecture 16.2] version of the Kolmogorov Extension Theorem.  
The same consistency conditions implies

$\exists ! P_L \text{ G Probl}(S^L, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes L})$  s.t.  $\pi_\lambda^* P_L = \mu_\lambda \quad \forall \lambda \subseteq L \text{ finite}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } P(A_n) &= \mu_{\Lambda_n}(B_n) = \pi_{\Lambda_n}^* P_L(B_n) = P_L(\tilde{B}_n) \rightarrow 0, \\ &= P_L((\pi_{\Lambda_n}|_L)^{-1}(B_n)) \quad // \end{aligned}$$

$$(\pi_{\Lambda_n}|_L)^{-1}(B_n) = B_n \times S^{L \setminus \Lambda_n} = \tilde{B}_n$$

$$A_n = \pi_{\Lambda_n}^{-1}(\pi_{\Lambda_n}|_L)(\tilde{B}_n)$$

$$\tilde{B}_n = (\pi_{\Lambda_n}|_L)^{-1}(\pi_{\Lambda_n}(A_n)) \downarrow \emptyset. \text{ b/c } A_n \downarrow \emptyset.$$

Theorem: Let  $\nu \in \text{Prob}(S, \mathcal{B})$  and let  $\{Q_{s,t}\}_{s \in S, t \in T}$  be Markov transition operators on  $(S, \mathcal{B})^2$ . Then there exists a unique probability measure

$$P^\nu \in \text{Prob}(S^T, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T})$$

s.t.  $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$  is a Markov process on  $(S^T, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T}, P_\nu)$  with transition operators  $\{Q_{s,t}\}_{s \in S, t \in T}$  and  $X_0 \stackrel{d}{=} \nu$ .

Pf. Idea: match the required f.d. distributions:

$$\text{Law}_{P^\nu}(X_{t_0}, X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n})(dx_0 \dots dx_{n+1}) = \nu(dx_0) \prod_{i=1}^n Q_{t_{i-1}, t_i}(x_{i-1}, dx_i)$$

So define, for  $\Lambda = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n\}$

$$\mu_\Lambda(dx_0 \dots dx_n) = \text{_____}$$

We want to construct  $P^\nu$  s.t.  $\pi_\Lambda^* P^\nu = \mu_\Lambda$ .

If so,  $P^\nu \{(X_{t_0}, \dots, X_{t_n}) \in B \in \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \Lambda}\}$

$$= \mu_\Lambda \{ \omega \in S^\Lambda : (\omega(t_0), \dots, \omega(t_n)) \in B \}$$

$(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$

By Kolmogorov, we just need to show consistency of these  $\mu_\lambda$ .  
 To avoid a notational nightmare, let's just consider the example

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda &= \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2\} \supseteq \Lambda' = \{0 = t_0 < t_2\} \\
 \therefore \pi_{\Lambda'}^* \mu_\lambda(B_0 \times B_2) &= \mu_\lambda(B_0 \times S \times B_2) = \int_{B_0} v(dx_0) \int_S q_{t_0, t_1}(x_0, dx_1) \int_{B_2} q_{t_1, t_2}(x_1, dx_2) \\
 &\quad \downarrow \\
 &= \int_{B_0 \times B_2} v(dx_0) \int_S q_{t_0, t_1}(x_0, dx_1) q_{t_1, t_2}(x_1, dx_2) \\
 &\quad \underbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}_{= q_{t_0, t_2}(x_0, dx_2)} \text{ C-K.} \\
 &\quad \quad \quad = \int_{B_0} v(dx_0) \int_{B_2} q_{t_0, t_2}(x_0, dx_2) \\
 &= \mu_{\Lambda'}(B_0 \times B_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Following this calculation, we see that the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations imply consistency, giving us our measure  $P^\nu$  by Kolmogorov's Extension.

So, we have a process  $X_t$  with the right f.d. distributions,  
and ∴ the right transition operators.

It remains to show  $X_t$  has the Markov property (wrt  $(\mathcal{F}_t^X)_{t \in T}$ )

Fix  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} = s < t = t_n$ . Let  $h \in \mathcal{B}(S^n, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes n})$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{B}(S, \mathcal{B})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \mathbb{E}^\nu [h(X_{t_0}, \dots, X_{t_{n-1}}) g(X_{t_n})] &= \int_{S^{n+1}} h(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) g(x_n) \nu(dx_0) \prod_{i=1}^n q_{t_{i-1}, t_i}(x_{i-1}, dx_i) \\ \text{∫. } dP^\nu &\quad = \int_{S^n} h(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) (Q_{t_{n-1}, t_n} g)(x_{n-1}) \nu(dx_0) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} q_{t_i, t_{i+1}}(x_i, dx_{i+1}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}^\nu [h(X_{t_0}, \dots, X_{t_{n-1}}) (Q_s + g)(X_s)] \end{aligned}$$

That is: if  $Y = h(X_{t_0}, \dots, X_{t_{n-1}})$  for any  $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} = s$ ,

then

$$\mathbb{E}^\nu [g(X_t) Y] = \mathbb{E}^\nu [(Q_s + g)(X_s) Y].$$

By Dynkin, this ∴ holds  $\forall Y \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_s^X)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \mathbb{E}[g(X_t) | \mathcal{F}_s^X] &= (Q_s + g)(X_s) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma(X_s)} [Q_s + g(X_s)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[g(X_t) | X_s]. \quad // \end{aligned}$$