

We've constructed Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ for any $T > 0$; in other words, we have the Wiener measures:

$$W_T^\omega \in \text{Prob}(C([0,T], \mathbb{R}^d))$$

It is easy to put these together and let $T \rightarrow \infty$.

↳ Start with pre-BM, Markov process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ($X_t - X_s \stackrel{d}{=} N(t-s)$), indep. from \mathcal{F}_s^X

↳ Using Kolmogorov's Continuity Criterion, find a version $(\tilde{B}_t^T)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ that is continuous, for each $T \in \mathbb{N}$.

↳ $\tilde{B}^{T+1}|_{[0,T]}, \tilde{B}^T$ are both versions of $X|_{[0,T]}$, so are versions of each other. Both continuous, \therefore indistinguishable [HW].

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists t \in [0,T] : \tilde{B}_t^T \neq \tilde{B}_t^{T+1}) = 0 \quad \text{well-defined}$$

↳ \therefore For $t \in [0, \infty)$, define $B_t := \tilde{B}_t^{\lceil t \rceil}$. \downarrow continuous.

$$\text{Let } W^\omega = \text{Law}(B_t) \in \text{Prob}(C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d))$$

\rightarrow Paths are $C^\alpha([0,T]) \forall T < \infty, \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ $\} \xrightarrow{P=1}$

\rightarrow Paths are not locally C^α at any point if $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$

Covariance

Let $s < t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[B_s B_t] &= \mathbb{E}[B_s (B_s + B_t - B_s)] = \mathbb{E}[B_s^2] + \mathbb{E}[B_s (B_t - B_s)] \\ &= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[B_s^2]}_s + \mathbb{E}[B_s] \mathbb{E}[B_t - B_s] \end{aligned}$$

So, in general, $\mathbb{E}[B_s B_t] = s \wedge t$

$$\text{Cov}(B_s, B_t) \text{ b/c } \mathbb{E}[B_s] = 0 \quad \forall s \geq 0.$$

Note: if $\{X_t\}_{t \in T}$ is any collection of random variables on a given probability space, the function $\chi: T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\chi(s, t) = \text{Cov}[X_s, X_t]$ has a positivity property.

Def: A function $\chi: T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is positive definite

iff for any finite subset $\Lambda = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subseteq T$, the matrix

$(M_{ij} = \chi(t_i, t_j))$ is positive semidefinite.

I.e. $M = M^\top$ & $\{ \cdot \cdot M \} \geq 0 \quad \forall \{ \cdot \} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \checkmark \forall \{ \cdot \}: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

$\rightarrow \chi(s, t) = \chi(t, s)$ & $\sum_{s, t \in \Lambda} \chi(s, t) \{ \cdot \}(s) \{ \cdot \}(t) \geq 0$

Lemma: If $(X_t)_{t \in T} : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are random variables, then

$\chi(s, t) = \chi(t, s) = \text{Cov}[X_s, X_t]$ is positive-definite.

Pf. Fix $\Lambda \subseteq T$ finite, and note that for any $\{\zeta\}_{\Lambda} : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s, t \in \Lambda} \chi(s, t) \zeta(s) \zeta(t) &= \sum_{s \in \Lambda} \sum_{t \in \Lambda} \zeta(s) \zeta(t) \text{Cov}(X_s, X_t) \\ &= \text{Cov}\left(\sum_{s \in \Lambda} \zeta(s) X_s, \sum_{t \in \Lambda} \zeta(t) X_t\right) \\ &= \text{Var}\left[\sum_{t \in \Lambda} \zeta(t) X_t\right] \geq 0. \quad \text{///} \end{aligned}$$

Gv is
bilinear

So, we now know that the function $\chi(s, t) = s \wedge t$ is positive definite.

Eg. $\chi(s, t) = s \wedge t - st$, $0 \leq s, t \leq 1$.

Let $(B_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ be a B.M. $B_0 = 0$

Define $X_t = B_t - tB_1$. \leftarrow Brownian bridge.

$$X_0 = 0, X_1 = B_1 - B_1 = 0.$$

$$\text{Cov}(X_s, X_t) = \mathbb{E}[(B_s - sB_1)(B_t - tB_1)]$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbb{E}[B_s B_t] - t \mathbb{E}[B_s B_1] - s \mathbb{E}[B_t B_1] + st \mathbb{E}[B_1^2] \\ &= s \wedge t - t(s \wedge 1) - s(t \wedge 1) + st \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$$

Gaussian Processes

Recall [Lec 26.1] a random vector $\underline{X} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is called (jointly) Gaussian if the characteristic function has the form

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{i\langle \underline{\zeta}, \underline{X} \rangle}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{i\langle \underline{\zeta}, A\underline{\zeta} + \mu \rangle}] = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\underline{A}^T \underline{\zeta}\|^2} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\underline{\zeta} \cdot \underline{C} \cdot \underline{\zeta}}$$

$$\varphi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{\zeta}) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\underline{\zeta} \cdot \underline{C} \cdot \underline{\zeta} + \mu \cdot \underline{\zeta}}$$

$\underline{C} = \underline{A}\underline{A}^T$
pos. semidef

for some $A \in M_{d \times d}$.

Equivalently, by the Cramér-Wold device,

\underline{X} is Gaussian iff $\langle \underline{\zeta}, \underline{X} \rangle$ is a normal random variable $\forall \underline{\zeta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Eg. $\underline{\zeta} = \underline{\epsilon}_k \rightarrow X_k$ are normally distributed, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

It is not sufficient just to check that the components of \underline{X} are normally distributed.

Eg. $X \stackrel{d}{=} N(0, 1)$, $R \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{1}{2}\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{-1}$, X, R independent.

$$Y = RX \stackrel{d}{=} N(0, 1), \quad X \stackrel{d}{=} -X$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f(Y)] &= \mathbb{E}[f(RX)] = \mathbb{E}[f(X)|R=1]P(R=1) + \mathbb{E}[f(-X)|R=-1]P(R=-1) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \quad \because X \stackrel{d}{=} Y. \end{aligned}$$

But (X, Y) is not jointly Gaussian. [HW]

Note: if $T: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is an invertible linear transformation, and if

$\bar{Y} = T(\bar{X})$ is a Gaussian random vector, then so is \bar{X} .

$$\{ \cdot \bar{X} = \{ \cdot T^{-1}(\bar{Y}) = (T^{-1})^T \{ \cdot \bar{Y} \text{ is normally distributed.}$$

In particular: permuting the entries preserves joint Gaussianity.

Def: A stochastic process $(X_t)_{t \in T}: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a **Gaussian Process** if, for any finite collection of times $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T$, $(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n})$ is a (jointly) Gaussian random vector.

Prop: Brownian motion is a Gaussian process.

Pf. Let $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$. (suff.) \uparrow

$$\text{Let } T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_2, \dots, x_n - x_{n-1})$$

$$\text{inverse: } T^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (y_1, y_1 + y_2, y_1 + y_2 + y_3, \dots, y_1 + \dots + y_n)$$

$$T(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n}) = (B_{t_1} - B_0, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}})$$

$$\stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(0, \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & & & \\ & t_2 - t_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & t_n - t_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}) //$$

Theorem: Let $c: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any function, and let $\chi: T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be pos. definite.

Then there exists a Gaussian process $(X_t)_{t \in T} : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = c(t) \text{ and } \text{Cov}(X_s, X_t) = \chi(s, t) \quad \forall s, t \in T.$$

Moreover, any two Gaussian processes with mean c and covariance χ have the same finite-dimensional distributions.

Pf. Existence is an exercise in Kolmogorov's Extension theorem; [Driver, Prop 31.6].

For f.d. uniqueness: if \mathbf{X} is a Gaussian vector,

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{z}) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{z}} \text{ for a positive semi-definite matrix } \mathbf{C}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial z_k} \mathbb{E}[e^{i \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{X}}] \Big|_{\mathbf{z}=0} &= \mathbb{E}[(i X_j)(i X_k) e^{i \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{X}}] \Big|_{\mathbf{z}=0} \\ &= -\mathbb{E}[X_j X_k] = -\text{Cov}(X_j, X_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{z}} = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b} C_{ab} z_a z_b} \quad \therefore \varphi_{\mathbf{X}} \text{ is determined by}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial z_k} \mathbb{E}[e^{i \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{X}}] \Big|_{\mathbf{z}=0} = -C_{jk}. \quad \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}] \text{ and } \text{Cov } \mathbf{X} \quad //$$

Cor: If $(X_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ is a continuous Gaussian process with

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}[X_s X_t] = s \wedge t \quad \forall s, t \geq 0$$

then X_\cdot is a Brownian motion.

Pf. By the uniqueness result of the last theorem, X_\cdot and B_\cdot have the same finite-dimensional distributions. //