

# Real Time Green's Functions from NCA based Impurity Solver

Tel Aviv University

9/02/2018

# Introduction

Strongly correlated materials.

- Idea of description of electrons in solids as independent particles  
→ wave-like picture
- Materials in which electrons tend to *localize*  
→ particle-like picture
- Strong electronic correlations brings out a variety of phenomena, e.g. metal-to-Mott-insulator transitions

# Description of the lattice

Hubbard model.

$$H_{\text{Hubbard}} = - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} v_{ij} d_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{j\sigma} + \sum_i U (d_{i\uparrow}^\dagger d_{i\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2})(d_{i\downarrow}^\dagger d_{i\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2})$$

- $v_{ij} \simeq$  overlap between orbitals on neighbouring atomic sites  $\sim \text{eV}$
  - Coulomb repulsion  $U$ , screened value  $\sim \text{eV}$
- competition between energy scales




Figure 1: Lattice model

source: H. Aoki, N. Tsuji, M. Eckstein, M. Kollar, T. Oka, and P. Werner, Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 779 (2014)

# Dynamical Mean Field Theory

Idea of mapping.




Figure 2: Mapping of the lattice problem onto an Impurity problem

- Approximate lattice problem with many degrees of freedom by *single-site problem*

# Dynamical Mean Field Theory

Set of self-consistent equations.

- compute local Greens function  $G_{ii}^{\sigma}(t-t') = -i\langle \mathcal{T} d_{i\sigma}(t) d_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}(t') \rangle$  from an effective impurity model with action

$$S = i \int_C dt U n_{\uparrow}(t) n_{\downarrow}(t) - i \sum_{\sigma} \int_C dt dt' d_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(t) \Delta(t-t') d_{\sigma}(t')$$

- use impurity self energy, defined via  $G_{ii}^{-1}(\omega) = \omega + \mu - \Delta(\omega) - \Sigma^{imp}(\omega)$ , to obtain the lattice Greens function

$$G_{ij}^{-1}(\omega) = \delta_{ij}[\omega + \mu - \Sigma_{ii}(\omega)] - v_{ij}$$

$$\Sigma_{ii}(\omega) \simeq \Sigma^{imp}(\omega); \Sigma_{i \neq j}(\omega) \simeq 0$$

- average over the Brillouin zone to get the on-site component:

$$G_{ii}(\omega) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_k G_k(\omega) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_k \frac{1}{\omega + \mu + \Sigma(\omega) - \varepsilon_k}$$

# Dynamical Mean Field Theory

Set of self-consistent equations.

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \omega + \mu - \Delta(\omega)$$




Figure 3: DMFT iterative loop

source: B. Amadon, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, Volume 24, Number 7

# Real Time Impurity Solver

Perturbative expansion.

## Single-orbital Anderson impurity model

$$H_{\text{imp}} = H_{\text{loc}} + H_{\text{bath}} + H_{\text{hyb}}$$

$$H_{\text{loc}} = \sum_{\sigma \in \uparrow, \downarrow} \epsilon_{\sigma} d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + U n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}$$

$$H_{\text{bath}} = \sum_{\sigma, \lambda} \epsilon_{\lambda} b_{\lambda}^{\dagger} b_{\lambda}$$

$$H_{\text{hyb}} = \sum_{\sigma, \lambda} (t_{\sigma\lambda} b_{\lambda}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + t_{\sigma\lambda}^{*} d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} b_{\lambda})$$

- Write impurity Hamiltonian as a sum  $H_{\text{imp}} = H_0 + H_{\text{int}}$
- Exact time evolution for  $H_0$ , perturbative expansion for  $H_{\text{int}}$

# Real Time Impurity Solver

Calculation of expectation values.

- Goal is to evaluate objects like  $G^<(t, t') = i\langle d^\dagger(t')d(t) \rangle$  and  $G^>(t, t') = -i\langle d(t)d^\dagger(t') \rangle$
- Expectation values are given by  $\langle O(t) \rangle = \text{Tr}(\rho U^\dagger(t) \hat{O} U(t))$
- Interaction picture propagator  $U(t) = \exp^{iH_0 t} \exp^{-iHt}$  and operator  $\hat{O}(t) = \exp^{iH_0 t} O \exp^{-iH_0 t}$
- Reduced Hamiltonian  $H_0 = H_{\text{imp}} - H_{\text{hyb}}$




Figure 4: Keldysh Contour

source: H. Aoki, N. Tsuji, M. Eckstein, M. Kollar, T. Oka, and P. Werner, Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 779 (2014)



# Real Time Impurity Solver

Hybridization expansion.

- Expansion of  $U(t)$  and  $U^\dagger(t)$  in terms of  $\hat{H}_{\text{hyb}}$ :

$$U(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-i)^n \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dt_n \hat{H}_{\text{hyb}}(t_1) \hat{H}_{\text{hyb}}(t_2) \cdots \hat{H}_{\text{hyb}}(t_n)$$

- Insert expansion for  $U(t)$  into propagator between many body states:

$$G(t) = \langle\langle \alpha | \rho_D \exp^{-iHt} | \beta \rangle\rangle_B = \langle\langle \alpha | \rho_D \exp^{-iH_0 t} U(t) | \beta \rangle\rangle_B$$

- many body states are  $|0\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$
- $\langle\cdots\rangle_B = \text{Tr}\{\rho_B \cdots\}$




Figure 5: Keldysh Contour

# Real Time Impurity Solver

Hybridization expansion.

$$G_{\alpha\alpha}(t) = G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}(t) - \sum_{\gamma\delta} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}(t-t_1) G_{\beta\beta}^{(0)}(t_1-t_2) \Delta_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta}(t_1-t_2) G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}(t_2) - \dots$$

with bare propagators

$$G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}(t) = \langle \langle \alpha | \rho_D \exp^{-iH_0 t} | \alpha \rangle \rangle_B = \exp^{-i\varepsilon_\alpha t}$$

and Hybridization

$$\Delta(t_1 - t_2) = \langle \alpha | d_\sigma | \beta \rangle \langle \beta | d_\sigma^\dagger | \alpha \rangle \Delta^<(t_1 - t_2) + \langle \alpha | d_\sigma^\dagger | \beta \rangle \langle \beta | d_\sigma | \alpha \rangle \Delta^>(t_1 - t_2)$$




Figure 6: Example of diagrammatic expansion for bold propagators

# Real Time Impurity Solver

Hybridization expansion.

## Dyson equation

$$G_{\alpha\alpha}(t) = G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}(t) + \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}(t - t_1) \Sigma_{\alpha\alpha}(t_1 - t_2) G_{\alpha\alpha}(t_2)$$

$$\Sigma_{00} = \text{[diagram: wavy line with two dashed lines]} + \text{[diagram: wavy line with two solid lines]}$$

$$\Sigma_{11} = \text{[diagram: wavy line with two dashed lines]} + \text{[diagram: wavy line with two solid lines]}$$

$$\Sigma_{22} = \text{[diagram: wavy line with two dashed lines]} + \text{[diagram: wavy line with two solid lines]}$$

$$\Sigma_{33} = \text{[diagram: wavy line with two dashed lines]} + \text{[diagram: wavy line with two solid lines]}$$

### Self-consistent solution

- 1 Initialize  $G_{\alpha\alpha}(t)$  with  $G_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}(t)$
- 2 Compute self-energy  $\Sigma_{\alpha\alpha}(t)$
- 3 Update  $G_{\alpha\alpha}(t)$
- 4 go back to step 2

Figure 7: NCA Self-energy

source: G. Cohen, D. R. Reichman, A. J. M. and E. Gull; Phys. Rev. B89, 112139(2014)

# Real Time Impurity Solver

Hybridization expansion.

## Vertex functions

$$K_{\alpha\beta}(t, t') = K_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(t, t') + \sum_{\gamma\delta} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t'} dt_2 K_{\alpha\gamma}(t_1, t_2) \Delta_{\gamma\delta}(t_1, t_2) G_{\delta\beta}^\dagger(t - t_1) G_{\delta\beta}(t' - t_2)$$

$$K_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(t, t') = G_{\alpha\beta}^\dagger(t) G_{\alpha\beta}(t')$$




Figure 8: Diagrammatic expansion for Vertex functions

# System

Bethe lattice in the initial Neel state.

## Self-consistency condition

$$\Delta_{A(B),\sigma}(t,t') = v(t)G_{B(A),\sigma}(t,t')v^*(t')$$

## Time-dependent electric field

$$H_{\text{drv}}(t) = \sum_j eaE_0 \sin(\omega t) s_j n_j$$

$$v_{ij}(t) = v_{ij} \exp^{iA(s_i - s_j) \cos(\omega t)}$$




Figure 9: Structure of the Bethe lattice




Figure 10: Classical anti-ferromagnetic Néel-state

# Open systems

## Free-fermion bath

$$H_{\text{tot}} = H_{\text{imp}} + H_{\text{fBath}} + H_{\text{fmix}}$$

$$H_{\text{fBath}} = \sum_{k,\sigma} \varepsilon_k f_{k,\sigma}^\dagger f_{k,\sigma}$$

$$H_{\text{fmix}} = \sum_{k,\sigma} (V_k f_{k,\sigma}^\dagger d_\sigma + V_k^* d_\sigma^\dagger f_{k,\sigma})$$

$$G(t, t') = (G_0^{-1}(t, t') - \Sigma_{\text{fBath}}(t, t') - \Sigma(t, t'))^{-1}$$





Figure 11: Schematic representation of a free-fermion bath model

# Results

How does the ability to dissipate energy change in a non-equilibrium state?

$$I_E(t) = \langle \mathcal{I}_E(t) \rangle \text{ with } \mathcal{I}_E = \dot{H}_{\text{fBath}} = i \sum_{k,\sigma} \varepsilon_k (V_k d_\sigma f_{k,\sigma}^\dagger - V_k^* f_{k,\sigma} d_\sigma^\dagger)$$


$$P_\omega(A_{\text{probe}}) = \lim_{A_{\text{probe}} \rightarrow 0} \frac{dI_E(A_{\text{probe}}(\omega_{\text{probe}}))}{dA_{\text{probe}}(\omega_{\text{probe}})} \simeq \frac{I_E(\Delta A_{\text{probe}}(\omega_{\text{probe}}))}{\Delta A_{\text{probe}}(\omega_{\text{probe}})}$$



**Figure 12:** Example of heat current (left) and response (right) at resonant driving for a pump amplitude  $A = 1.0$  and a probe field with parameters  $\omega_{\text{probe}}/\gamma = 5$  and  $A_{\text{probe}} = 0.1$ .

# Results

## Response of heat current at resonant driving.



**Figure 13:** Average value of response  $P_{\omega_{\text{probe}}}$  over a period  $T$  for various temperatures at resonant driving  $\omega_{\text{pump}}/\gamma = U/\gamma = 5$ .



# Results

Response of heat current at resonant driving.






Figure 14: Zoom into  $\omega_{\text{probe}}/\gamma = 2.5$  and  $\omega_{\text{probe}}/\gamma = 5.0$

# Results

Resonant pumping leads to an effective increase of the temperature.


$$A(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} G^r(\omega) \text{ with } G^r(t, t') = \Theta(t - t')(G^>(t, t') - G^<(t, t'))$$



**Figure 15:** Time evolved spin-averaged spectral functions for various amplitudes at resonant driving and  $T/\gamma = 0.06$ .

# Results


Increase of effective temperature and destruction of Kondo peak.



**Figure 16:** Steady-state spectral functions for various amplitudes at resonant driving  $\omega_{\text{pump}}/\gamma = 5.0$ .

# Results

Response of heat current at half-resonant driving.



**Figure 17:** Comparison of resonant pumping with  $\omega_{\text{pump}}/\gamma = 5.0$  (left side) and half-resonant pumping with  $\omega_{\text{pump}}/\gamma = 2.5$  (right side).

# Results

Response of heat current at half-resonant driving.




Figure 18: Zoom into  $\omega_{\text{probe}}/\gamma = 2.5$  and  $\omega_{\text{probe}}/\gamma = 5.0$

# Results

Enhancement of Kondo physics even for temperatures above  $T_k/\gamma = 0.17$  at half-resonant driving.




Figure 19: Spectral functions averaged over initial spin up and spin down state for various amplitudes at half-resonant driving  $\omega_{\text{pump}}/\gamma = 2.5$ .

# Results

Comparison of response at resonant and half-resonant driving.




Figure 20: Response and spectral function at resonant driving  $\omega_{\text{numb}}/\gamma = 5.0$ .




Figure 21: Response and spectral function at half-resonant driving  $\omega_{\text{numb}}/\gamma = 2.5$ .

# Energy current

$$I_E(t) = \int_0^t d\tau \Delta_f^<(t, \tau) G^<(t, \tau)$$

$$\Delta_f^<(t, \tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \exp^{-i\omega(t-\tau)} \omega \Gamma(\omega) f(\omega - \mu)$$



