


# E/R Model



1. E/R Basics: Entities & Relations

2. E/R Design considerations

3. Advanced E/R Concepts





1. High-level motivation for the E/R model

2. Entities

3. Relations



### **Database Design**



- Database design: Why do we need it?
  - Agree on structure of the database before deciding on a particular implementation

### Consider issues such as:

- What entities to model
- How entities are related
- What constraints exist in the domain
- · How to achieve good designs

### Several formalisms exist

We discuss one flavor of E/R diagrams



1. Requirements Analysis

2. Conceptual Design

3. Logical, Physical, Security, etc.

### 1. Requirements analysis

- What is going to be stored?
- How is it going to be used?
- What are we going to do with the data?
- Who should access the data?

Technical and non-technical people are involved



1. Requirements Analysis

2. Conceptual Design

3. Logical, Physical, Security, etc.

### 2. Conceptual Design

- A <u>high-level description</u> of the database
- Sufficiently precise that technical people can understand it
- But, not so precise that non-technical people can't participate

This is where E/R fits in.



1. Requirements Analysis


2. Conceptual Design

3. Logical, Physical, Security, etc.

### 3. More:

- Logical Database Design
- Physical Database Design
- Security Design





E/R is a *visual syntax* for DB design which is *precise enough* for technical points, but *abstracted enough* for non-technical people



### Interlude: Impact of the ER model


- The E/R model is one of the most cited articles in Computer Science
  - "The Entity-Relationship model toward a unified view of data" Peter Chen, 1976
- Used by companies big and small





# An example E/R diagram








# **Entities and Entity Sets**


- Entities & entity sets are the primitive unit of the E/R model
  - Entities are the individual objects, which are members of entity sets
    - Ex: A specific person or product
- Entity sets are the classes or types of objects in our model
  - Ex: Person, Product
  - These are what is shown in E/R diagrams as rectangles
  - Entity sets represent the sets of all possible entities





# **Entities and Entity Sets**


- An entity set has attributes
  - Represented by ovals attached to an entity set



Shapes <u>are</u> important. Colors <u>are not</u>.



### **Entities vs. Entity Sets**






### Keys

A <u>key</u> is a **minimal** set of attributes that uniquely identifies an entity.

Denote elements of the primary key by <u>underlining</u>.



Here, {name, category} is **not** a key (it is not *minimal*).


If it were, what would it mean?

The E/R model forces us to designate a single **primary** key, though there may be multiple candidate keys




# The R in E/R: Relationships

A relationship is between two entities





# The R in E/R: Relationships





# Multiplicity of E/R Relationships


One-to-one:






Many-to-one:






One-to-many:





Many-to-many:






Indicated using arrows

X -> Y means
there exists a
function
mapping from X
to Y (recall the
definition of a
function)








# **Multi-way Relationships**


How do we model a purchase relationship between buyers, products and stores?





# **Arrows in Multiway Relationships**


**Q**: What does the arrow mean?





# **Arrows in Multiway Relationships**


**Q**: What does the arrow mean?





### **Arrows in Multiway Relationships**


**Q**: How do we say that every person shops in at most one store?



**A**: Cannot. This is the best approximation. (Why only approximation?)




- A mathematical definition:
  - Let A, B be sets
    - A={1,2,3}, B={a,b,c,d}






- A mathematical definition:
  - Let A, B be sets
    - A={1,2,3}, B={a,b,c,d}
- A x B (the *cross-product*) is the set of all pairs (a,b)
  - $A \times B = \{(1,a), (1,b), (1,c), (1,d), (2,a), (2,b), (2,c), (2,d), (3,a), (3,b), (3,c), (3,d)\}$





- A mathematical definition:
  - Let A, B be sets
    - A={1,2,3}, B={a,b,c,d},
- A x B (the *cross-product*) is the set of all pairs (a,b)
  - $A \times B = \{(1,a), (1,b), (1,c), (1,d), (2,a), (2,b), (2,c), (2,d), (3,a), (3,b), (3,c), (3,d)\}$




- We define a <u>relationship</u> to be a subset of A x B
  - $R = \{(1,a), (2,c), (2,d), (3,b)\}$




- A mathematical definition:
  - Let A, B be sets
  - A x B (the *cross-product*) is the set of all pairs
  - A relationship is a subset of A x B
- Makes is relationship- it is a subset of Product × Company:









A <u>relationship</u> between entity sets P and C is a subset of all possible pairs of entities in P and C, with tuples uniquely identified by P and C's keys



### Company

### **Product**

name	
GizmoWorks	
GadgetCorp	

name	category	price
Gizmo	Electronics	\$9.99
GizmoLite	Electronics	\$7.50
Gadget	Toys	\$5.50



A <u>relationship</u> between entity sets P and C is a subset of all possible pairs of entities in P and C, with tuples uniquely identified by P and C's keys




### Company

# name GizmoWorks GadgetCorp

### **Product**

name	category	price
Gizmo	Electronics	\$9.99
GizmoLite	Electronics	\$7.50
Gadget	Toys	\$5.50





A <u>relationship</u> between entity sets P and C is a subset of all possible pairs of entities in P and C, with tuples uniquely identified by P and C's keys

### Company C $\times$ Product P

C.name	P.name	P.category	P.price
GizmoWorks	Gizmo	Electronics	\$9.99
GizmoWorks	GizmoLite	Electronics	\$7.50
GizmoWorks	Gadget	Toys	\$5.50
GadgetCorp	Gizmo	Electronics	\$9.99
GadgetCorp	GizmoLite	Electronics	\$7.50
GadgetCorp	Gadget	Toys	\$5.50



### Company


GadgetCorp

### name GizmoWorks

### **Product**

<u>name</u>	category	price
Gizmo	Electronics	\$9.99
GizmoLite	Electronics	\$7.50
Gadget	Toys	\$5.50





A <u>relationship</u> between entity sets P and C is a subset of all possible pairs of entities in P and C, with tuples uniquely identified by P and C's keys

### Company C $\times$ Product P

C.name	P.name	P.category	P.price
GizmoWorks	Gizmo	Electronics	\$9.99
GizmoWorks	GizmoLite	Electronics	\$7.50
GizmoWorks	Gadget	Toys	\$5.50
GadgetCorp	Gizmo	Electronics	\$9.99
GadgetCorp	GizmoLite	Electronics	\$7.50
GadgetCorp	Gadget	Toys	\$5.50



### Makes

C.name	P.name
GizmoWorks	Gizmo
GizmoWorks	GizmoLite
GadgetCorp	Gadget



# THANK YOU!




# EXTRA<br/>Details



- There can only be one relationship for every unique combination of entities
- This also means that the relationship is uniquely determined by the keys of its entities
- Example: the "key" for Makes (to right) is {Product.name, Company.name}

This follows from our mathematical definition of a relationship- it's a SET!




Why does this make sense?



# **Relationships and Attributes**

Relationships may have attributes as well.



For example: "since" records when company started making a product


Note: "since" is implicitly unique per pair here! Why?

Note #2: Why not "how long"?



### Decision: Relationship vs. Entity?

Q: What does this say?




A: A person can only buy a specific product once (on one date)

Modeling something as a relationship makes it unique; what if not appropriate?



#### Decision: Relationship vs. Entity?

What about this way?



Now we can have multiple purchases per product, person pair!

We can always use **a new entity** instead of a relationship. For example, to permit multiple instances of each entity combination!





#### Draw an E/R diagram for football


Use the following simplified model of a football season (concepts to include are underlined):



<u>Teams</u> play each other in <u>Games</u>. Each pair of teams can play each other multiple times



Players
belong to
Teams
(assume no
trades /
changes).



A Game is made up of <u>Plays</u> that result in a yardage gain/loss, and potentially a touchdown



A Play will contain either a <u>Pass</u> from one player to another, or a <u>Run</u> by one player





- 1. Relationships cont'd: multiplicity, multi-way
- 2. Design considerations
- 3. Conversion to SQL



### Multiplicity of E/R Relationships


One-to-one:



Indicated using arrows


Many-to-one:






One-to-many:






Many-to-many:





X -> Y means
there exists a
function
mapping from X
to Y (recall the
definition of a
function)








### **Multi-way Relationships**


How do we model a purchase relationship between buyers, products and stores?





### **Arrows in Multiway Relationships**


**Q**: What does the arrow mean?





### **Arrows in Multiway Relationships**


**Q**: What does the arrow mean?





#### **Arrows in Multiway Relationships**


**Q**: How do we say that every person shops in at most one store?



**A**: Cannot. This is the best approximation. (Why only approximation?)



# **Converting Multi-way Relationships to Binary**




From what we had on previous slide to this - what did we do?





#### Converting Multi-way Relationships to New Entity + Binary Relationships

Side note: What arrows should be added here? Are these correct?











Should we use a single **multi-way relationship** or a **new entity with binary relations?** 






• Covered earlier: (B) is useful if we want to have multiple instances of the "relationship" per entity combination






- (B) is also useful when we want to add details (constraints or attributes) to the relationship
  - "A person who shops in only one store"
  - "How long a person has been shopping at a store"








- (A) is useful when a relationship really is between multiple entities
  - Ex: A three-party legal contract




### 3. Design Principles

What's wrong with these examples?






# Design Principles: What's Wrong?






# Design Principles: What's Wrong?






#### **Examples: Entity vs. Attribute**

Should address (A) be an attribute?




Or (B) be an entity?





#### **Examples: Entity vs. Attribute**

Should address (A) be an attribute?




How do we handle employees with multiple addresses here?

How do we handle addresses where internal structure of the address (e.g. zip code, state) is useful?




#### **Examples: Entity vs. Attribute**

Should address (A) be an attribute?



Or (B) be an entity?




In general, when we want to record several values, we choose new entity



- Key concept:
  - Both *Entity sets* and *Relationships* become relations (tables in RDBMS)



 An entity set becomes a relation (multiset of tuples / table)




- Each tuple is one entity
- Each tuple is composed of the entity's attributes, and has the same primary key

#### **Product**

name	price	category
Gizmo1	99.99	Camera
Gizmo2	19.99	Edible



```
CREATE TABLE Product(
name CHAR(50) PRIMARY KEY,
price DOUBLE,
category VARCHAR(30)
)
```



#### **Product**

<u>name</u>	price	category
Gizmo1	99.99	Camera
Gizmo2	19.99	Edible



A relation <u>between entity sets A<sub>1</sub>, ..., A<sub>N</sub></u> also becomes a multiset of tuples / a table




- Each row/tuple is one relation, i.e. one unique combination of entities (a<sub>1</sub>,...,a<sub>N</sub>)
- Each row/tuple is
  - composed of the union of the entity sets' keys
  - has the entities' primary keys as foreign keys
  - has the union of the entity sets' keys as primary key

#### **Purchased**

name	firstname	<u>lastname</u>	date
Gizmo1	Bob	Joe	01/01/15
Gizmo2	Joe	Bob	01/03/15
Gizmo1	JoeBob	Smith	01/05/15



```
CREATE TABLE Purchased(
name CHAR(50),
firstname CHAR(50),
lastname CHAR(50),
date DATE,
PRIMARY KEY (name, firstname, lastname),
FOREIGN KEY (name)
REFERENCES Product,
FOREIGN KEY (firstname, lastname)
REFERENCES Person
)
```




#### **Purchased**

name	firstname	<u>lastname</u>	date
Gizmo1	Bob	Joe	01/01/15
Gizmo2	Joe	Bob	01/03/15
Gizmo1	JoeBob	Smith	01/05/15



How do we represent this as a relational schema?







### Add arrows to your E/R diagram!

Also make sure to add (new concepts underlined):



A player can only belong to one team, a play can only be in one game, a pass/run..?



Players can achieve a Personal Record linked to a specific Game and Play



Players have a weight which changes in on vs. off-season



#### [If time]: Can you write queries to:



#### Regular season [edit]

Week	Date	Opponent	Result	Record	Game site	NFL.com recap
1	September 7	at New York Jets	L 14-19	0-1	MetLife Stadium	Recap ₽
2	September 14	Houston Texans	L 14-30	0–2	O.co Coliseum	Recap ₽
3	September 21	at New England Patriots	<b>L</b> 9–16	0–3	Gillette Stadium	Recap ₽
4	September 28	Miami Dolphins	L 14-38	0-4	Wembley Stadium (London, England)	Recap ₽
5				Bye		
6	October 12	San Diego Chargers	L 28-31	0–5	O.co Coliseum	Recap @
7	October 19	Arizona Cardinals	L 13-24	0–6	O.co Coliseum	Recap @
8	October 26	at Cleveland Browns	L 13-23	0–7	FirstEnergy Stadium	Recap @
9	November 2	at Seattle Seahawks	L 24-30	0–8	CenturyLink Field	Recap @
10	November 9	Denver Broncos	L 17-41	0–9	O.co Coliseum	Recap @
11	November 16	at San Diego Chargers	<b>L</b> 6–13	0–10	Qualcomm Stadium	Recap ₽
12	November 20	Kansas City Chiefs	<b>W</b> 24–20	1–10	O.co Coliseum	Recap ₽
13	November 30	at St. Louis Rams	L 0-52	1-11	Edward Jones Dome	Recap ₽
14	December 7	San Francisco 49ers	<b>W</b> 24–13	2–11	O.co Coliseum	Recap &
15	December 14	at Kansas City Chiefs	L 13-31	2-12	Arrowhead Stadium	Recap &
16	December 21	Buffalo Bills	<b>W</b> 26–24	3–12	O.co Coliseum	Recap &
17	December 28	at Denver Broncos	L 14-47	3–13	Sports Authority Field at Mile High	Recap ₽

- Calculate W/L percentage?
- Calculate average game outcome?
- Calculate HIGHEST and LOWEST ranked teams?
- Calculate the WORST team in the 2014 NFL season if bye weeks did not exist?
- New! Calculate only team with suspended QB for first four games.





1. Subclasses & connection to OO


2. Constraints

- 3. Weak entity sets
- 4. ACTIVITY: Crayon Time! Drawing E/R diagrams Pt. III



#### **Modeling Subclasses**


- Some objects in a class may be special, i.e. worthy of their own class
- Define a new class?
  - But what if we want to maintain connection to current class?
- Better: define a subclass
  - Ex:



We can define **subclasses** in E/R!




#### **Modeling Subclasses**





### **Understanding Subclasses**

Think in terms of records; ex:



**Product** 

**EducationalProduct** 

name price ageGroup

name

price

name


price

platforms

Child subclasses contain all the attributes of all of their parent classes **plus** the new attributes shown attached to them in the E/R diagram



#### Think like tables...



#### **Product**

name	price	category
Gizmo	99	gadget
Camera	49	photo
Toy	39	gadget

#### **Sw.Product**

<u>name</u>	platforms
Gizmo	unix


#### **Ed.Product**

<u>name</u>	ageGroup
Gizmo	toddler
Toy	retired



# Difference between OO and E/R inheritance


• OO: Classes are disjoint (same for Java, C++)





# Difference between OO and E/R inheritance


E/R: entity sets overlap





# Difference between OO and E/R inheritance

We have three entity sets, but four different kinds of objects



No need for multiple inheritance in E/R



#### **IsA Review**

- If we declare A IsA B then every A is a B
- We use IsA to
  - Add descriptive attributes to a subclass
  - To identify entities that participate in a relationship
- No need for multiple inheritance



# Modeling UnionTypes With Subclasses

Person

FurniturePiece

Company


Suppose each piece of furniture is owned either by a person, or by a company. How do we represent this?



### Modeling Union Types with Subclasses

Say: each piece of furniture is owned either by a person, or by a company


**Solution 1.** Acceptable, but imperfect (What's wrong ?)





# Modeling Union Types with Subclasses

Solution 2: better (though more laborious)





### **Constraints in E/R Diagrams**


- Finding constraints is part of the E/R modeling process. Commonly used constraints are:
  - Keys: Implicit constraints on uniqueness of entities
    - Ex: An SSN uniquely identifies a person
  - Single-value constraints:
    - Ex: a person can have only one father
  - Referential integrity constraints: Referenced entities must exist
    - Ex: if you work for a company, it must exist in the database

Recall FOREIG N KEYs!

- Other constraints:
  - Ex: peoples' ages are between 0 and 150




#### **Participation Constraints: Partial v. Total**



Bold line indicates *total participation* (i.e. here: all products are made by a company)




### **Keys in E/R Diagrams**






### **Single Value Constraints**

See previous section!






### **Referential Integrity Constraints**



Each product made by at most one company. Some products made by no company?




Each product made by *exactly* one company.



### **Weak Entity Sets**

Entity sets are <u>weak</u> when their key comes from other classes to which they are related.




"Football team" v. "*The Stanford* Football team" (*E.g.*, *Berkeley has a football team too, sort of*)



### **Weak Entity Sets**

Entity sets are <u>weak</u> when their key comes from other classes to which they are related.



- number is a <u>partial key</u>. (denote with dashed underline).
- University is called the <u>identifying owner</u>.
- Participation in affiliation must be total. Why?



### **E/R Summary**

- E/R diagrams are a visual syntax that allows technical and non-technical people to talk
  - For conceptual design
- Basic constructs: entity, relationship, and attributes
- A good design is faithful to the constraints of the application, but not overzealous



# THANK YOU!