

# Proposal HRI

Stef Janssen  
Tom van de Poll  
Luc Nies  
Guido Zuidhof

March 12, 2015

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Topic

We want to make a robot which helps a user perform some cooking task. The robot helps by reading out loud instructions from a recipe and maybe keeping time for certain tasks, for instance when the pasta needs to be cooked for 8 minutes. The user interacts with the robot via natural speech and the robot reacts through synthesized speech.

### 1.2 Motivation

We wanted to create this robot because the key part of this project will lie in the interaction, which is the topic of this course, there is not a lot of work which is off-topic. It is also a fun project which could help young and old. Letting a robot and a human collaborate together was also interesting to us since it requires many forms of interaction.

### 1.3 Goal

The goal is to at least let the robot and a user perform a simple recipe together, where the robot provides the instructions and the user has to perform them. When that foundation is ready we can implement improvements: timers, ability to answer open questions (such as, "How long till the pasta is done?").

### 1.4 Target Group

The target group is not entirely clear yet: it could be useful for both the young and old but those groups have some differences. Since it is probably dangerous to let a robot alone with a child for a while it is probably safer to start with building it for the elderly. This is useful for them because it can keep them busy and they might have trouble reading a recipe. Of course the elderly are less able to adjust to mistakes the robot makes and also add some other difficulties.

## 1.5 Risks

There are a number of possible risks and problems during this project. The first risk is having the robot in a possibly hazardous environment during the experiment. In order to conduct this experiment, the participant will have to cook certain recipes together with the robot. This means the robot will have to be placed in a kitchen, which has numerous appliances that could possibly damage the robot. In order to minimize these risks, the robot will not participate in the actual cooking of the recipe. The robot will be seated on the counter, at a reasonable distance from the participant while the experiment is being conducted.

A second problem might be that the cooking noises during the experiment might disrupt communication between the participant and the robot. The robot might find it hard to interpret the questions asked by the participant, while the participant might have trouble hearing the answers of the robot.

Aside from the possible hardware problems mentioned above, some difficulties might also arise on the software side of things. A possible problem might be to difficulties in implementing a sufficiently effective and rich dialogue manager.

No big difficulties are expected in the programming side of voice recognition, since WIT.AI will be used for this functionality.

The most obvious and dangerous risk is of course a possible addiction to muffins caused by this experiment. The only real way to solve this is by switching to making pancakes when the participants begin to show signs of addiction.

## 2 Related Work

There are already some robots that help in the kitchen, but those robots are mainly focused on the cooking itself while still needing assistance from a human[1, 7, 3], while we try to make a cooking robot that is assisting you. A smart help robot helping you in the kitchen is with the use of markers, so that robots can more easily recognize objects [6]. Another example of robots helping in the kitchen are robots which derive the next action a person should take, derived from earlier actions [2]. As will be explained greater detail in the risks section, the kitchen might be a very noisy place and we need a way to filter that noise before we can do any speech recognition. For this we could use the aurora framework [4]. Since conversations are an important part of our project we need a good dialog system which we can base on an existing framework [5].

## 3 Method

To perform dialogue as we intend to several steps have to be made: Understand speech  
Construct meaningful response Synthesize speech

Understanding speech can be then split up into: constructing words from speech and obtain intent/meaning from the sentence.

So there are several steps that have to be performed to implement dialogue:

1. Understand speech
2. Construct meaningful response
3. Synthesize speech

There is a website WIT.AI we can incorporate to handle the first step, we simply have to send the raw sound to it and it will return not only a raw string but also certain entities and keywords we are looking for.

Synthesizing speech is already there in Naomi.

Constructing the meaningful response is the hard part of this project and a plan still has to be formed to tackle that problem. There are several methods to manage this and those will be considered in the first steps of the design.

We will test different level of complexities of recipes and research whether the interaction was smooth and if it is preferable to performing the task by yourself.

## 4 Plan

We plan on applying AGILE development methodologies. The plan is to work iteratively, working from working version to working version. In the first month we will attempt to implement the most basic version of our project and from then on make it more advanced.

Here is a draft overview of proposed versions without dates:

### Prototype 1

1. Can synthesize speech
2. Can understand simple commands such as *"Set a timer for 3 minutes please"*

### Prototype 2

1. Can answer questions about running commands such as *"How long until the oven timer is done?"*
2. Has an internal representation of the recipe
3. Looks at the user (when asked questions)

### Prototype 3

1. Keeps track of the progress of the recipe
2. Asks the user about the progress to update this knowledge

Nice to have *(Only to be implemented if time permits)*

1. Can answer more complex questions such as "What was the oven temperature again?"
2. Knows multiple recipes
3. When shown the box (with barcode) of a 'maaltijdmix', it looks this up in a (small) repository of recipes and loads it.

## Bibliography

- [1] Mario Bollini, Stefanie Tellex, Tyler Thompson, Nicholas Roy, and Daniela Rus. Interpreting and executing recipes with a cooking robot. In *Experimental Robotics*, pages 481–495. Springer, 2013.
- [2] T. Fukuda, Y. Nakauchi, K. Noguchi, and T. Matsubara. Human behavior recognition for cooking support robot. In *Robot and Human Interactive Communication, 2004. ROMAN 2004. 13th IEEE International Workshop on*, pages 359–364, Sept 2004.
- [3] F. Gravot, A. Haneda, K. Okada, and M. Inaba. Cooking for humanoid robot, a task that needs symbolic and geometric reasonings. In *Robotics and Automation, 2006. ICRA 2006. Proceedings 2006 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 462–467, May 2006.
- [4] Hans-Günter Hirsch and David Pearce. The aurora experimental framework for the performance evaluation of speech recognition systems under noisy conditions. In *ASR2000-Automatic Speech Recognition: Challenges for the new Millenium ISCA Tutorial and Research Workshop (ITRW)*, 2000.
- [5] Shuyin Li, Britta Wrede, and Gerhard Sagerer. A dialog system for comparative user studies on robot verbal behavior. In *Robot and Human Interactive Communication, 2006. ROMAN 2006. The 15th IEEE International Symposium on*, pages 129–134. IEEE, 2006.
- [6] Yuta Sugiura, Daisuke Sakamoto, Anusha Withana, Masahiko Inami, and Takeo Igarashi. Cooking with robots: designing a household system working in open environments. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 2427–2430. ACM, 2010.
- [7] WX Yan, Zhuang Fu, YH Liu, YZ Zhao, XY Zhou, JH Tang, and XY Liu. A novel automatic cooking robot for chinese dishes. *Robotica*, 25(04):445–450, 2007.