整体评价:

这篇文章中心段落只有两段,但内容比较充实;对于我们同学来讲,建议写三段会比较好,这样每段的论述压力都不会特别大; 同时,在中心段落中这位同学使用了大量了细节列举,大家可以学习

91 The primary goal of technological advancement should be to increase people's efficiency so that they have more leisure time.

The speaker contends that technology's primary goal should be to increase our efficiency for the purpose of affording us more leisure time. I concede that technology has enhanced our efficiency as we go about our everyday lives. Productivity software helps us plan and coordinate projects; intranets, the Internet, and satellite technology make us more efficient messengers; and technology even helps us prepare our food and access entertainment more efficiently. Beyond this concession, however, I find the speaker's contention indefensible from both an empirical and a normative standpoint.

开头段落有些长 对于打字速度慢的 同学,只需要涉及 topic + position

The chief reason for my disagreement lies in the empirical proof: with technological advancement comes diminished leisure time. In 1960 the average U.S. family included only one breadwinner, who worked just over 40 hours per week. Since then the average work week has increased steadily to nearly 60 hours today; and in most families there are now two breadwinners. What explains this decline in leisure despite increasing efficiency that new technologies have brought about? I contend that technology itself is the culprit behind the decline. We use the additional free time that technology affords us not for leisure but rather for work. As computer technology enables greater and greater office productivity, it also raises our employers' expectations—or demands—for production. Further technological advances breed still greater efficiency and, in turn, expectations. Our spiraling work load is only exacerbated by the competitive business environment in which nearly all of us work today. Moreover, every technological advance demands our time and attention in order to learn how to use the new technology. Time devoted to keeping pace with technology depletes time for leisure activities.

这个数据对比用的 比较好,如果加上 出处,会显得更权 威

As 这里用的很好 , 具体解释了为什么 科技的发展让人们 的娱乐时间变少了

这个中心句句式和 前面重复,不建议 同学考试中也这样 做 I disagree with the speaker for another reason as well: the suggestion that technology's chief goal should be to facilitate leisure is simply wrongheaded. There are far more vital concerns that technology can and should address. Advances in bio-technology can help cure and prevent diseases; advances in medical technology can allow for safer, less invasire invasive diagnosis and treatment; advances in genetics can help prevent birth defects; advances in engineering and chemistry can improve the structural integrity of our buildings, roads, bridges and vehicles; information technology enables education while communication technology facilitates global participation in the democratic process. In short, health, safety, education, and freedom--and not leisure--are the proper final objectives of technology. Admittedly, advances in these areas sometimes involve improved efficiency; yet efficiency is merely a means to these more important ends.

moreover是我们 在reasoning阶段 常用的表示并列和 递进的连词,引出 第二个或更多的可 以支持中心句的理 由

这是一个advances 引导的并列句,但 是并列句最好只并 列3句话 同时,最后一个分 号后面没有 advances,直接使 用了information是 不正确的--应该用 句号隔开

这个indefensible用的 比较好 +

In sum, I find indefensible the speaker's suggestion that technology's value lies chiefly in the efficiency and resulting leisure time it can afford us. The suggestion runs contrary to the overwhelming evidence that technology diminishes leisure time, and it wrongly places leisure ahead of goals such as health, safety, education, and freedom as technology's ultimate aims.

这个admitted是让 步的内容,不建 议和支持段落写 在一起 但可以放在结尾 段

本篇重点语法:

- 1. 倒桩
- 2. 并列结构
- 3. 非谓语做被动伴随
- 4. indefensible等单词的使用