Lab Report

Data & Knowledge - Factorization-Based Data Modeling Practical Work 3

ZHANG Xin

January 2020

1 Develop a (non-negative) coupled factorization model for decomposing all these observed matrices/tensors simultaneously.

Suppose that we have $X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times r}$, $X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $X_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, $X_4 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $X_5 \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$.

So we adapt a PARAFAC-style approach, define a rank k, for the decomposition of X_1 , we can have three low-dimensional representations:

User $U = [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$, Locations $L = [\mathbf{l}_1, \mathbf{l}_2, \dots, \mathbf{l}_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and Activities $A = [\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times k}$ And for X_3 , in addition to L, we define the Feature $F = [\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \dots, \mathbf{f}_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times k}$. So we have:

$$\hat{X}_1 = [\![U, L, A]\!] = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{u}_i \otimes \mathbf{l}_i \otimes \mathbf{a}_i$$

$$\hat{X}_2 = UL^T$$

$$\hat{X}_3 = LF^T$$

$$\hat{X}_4 = UU^T$$

$$\hat{X}_5 = AA^T$$

where \otimes denotes the outer product.

2 Write down the cost function by using the β -divergence

The cost function

$$\mathcal{L}(U, L, A, F) = D_{\beta_1}(X_1 || [U, L, A]) + \lambda_2 D_{\beta_2}(X_2 || UL^T) + \lambda_3 D_{\beta_3}(X_3 || LF^T) + \lambda_4 D_{\beta_4}(X_4 || UU^T) + \lambda_5 D_{\beta_5}(X_5 || AA^T) = 0$$

3 Explain why the model makes sense.

The model makes sense because that when $X_{1:5} = \hat{X}_{1:5}$, the β -divergence $d_{\beta}(x||\hat{x}) = \frac{x^{\beta}}{\beta(\beta-1)} - \frac{x\hat{x}^{\beta-1}}{\beta-1} + \frac{\hat{x}^{\beta}}{\beta} = 0$. So if we minimize the cost function defined in question 2 to 0, it will also minimize the difference between the model and the real dataset.

4 Develop the multiplicative update rules algorithm for the model

Here we try to apply gradient descend for the model: We have:

$$\nabla_{U} = (\hat{X}_{1}^{\cdot \cdot (\beta_{1} - 1)} - X_{1} \cdot \hat{X}_{1}^{\cdot \cdot \beta_{1} - 2})^{(1)} (A * L) + \lambda_{2} (\hat{X}_{2}^{\cdot \cdot (\beta_{2} - 1)} - X_{2} \cdot \hat{X}_{2}^{\cdot \cdot \beta_{2} - 2}) L + \lambda_{4} (\hat{X}_{4}^{\cdot \cdot (\beta_{4} - 1)} - X_{4} \cdot \hat{X}_{4}^{\cdot \cdot \beta_{4} - 2}) U$$

$$\nabla_{L} = (\hat{X}_{1}^{\cdot \cdot (\beta_{1} - 1)} - X_{1} \cdot \hat{X}_{1}^{\cdot \cdot \beta_{1} - 2})^{(2)} (A * U) + \lambda_{2} (\hat{X}_{2}^{\cdot \cdot (\beta_{2} - 1)} - X_{2} \cdot \hat{X}_{2}^{\cdot \cdot \beta_{2} - 2})^{T} U + \lambda_{3} (\hat{X}_{3}^{\cdot \cdot (\beta_{3} - 1)} - X_{3} \cdot \hat{X}_{3}^{\cdot \cdot \beta_{3} - 2}) F$$

$$\nabla_{A} = (\hat{X}_{1}^{\cdot \cdot (\beta_{1} - 1)} - X_{1} \cdot \hat{X}_{1}^{\cdot \cdot \beta_{1} - 2})^{(3)} (L * U) + \lambda_{5} (\hat{X}_{5}^{\cdot \cdot (\beta_{5} - 1)} - X_{5} \cdot \hat{X}_{5}^{\cdot \cdot \beta_{5} - 2}) A$$

$$\nabla_F = \lambda_3 (\hat{X_3}^{\cdot (\beta_3 - 1)} - X_3 \cdot \hat{X_3}^{\cdot \beta_3 - 2})^T L$$

So the update rule is:

$$U_{t+1} = U_t - \gamma \nabla_U$$

$$L_{t+1} = L_t - \gamma \nabla_L$$

$$A_{t+1} = A_t - \gamma \nabla_A$$

$$F_{t+1} = F_t - \gamma \nabla_F$$

5 Implement your algorithm in Octave. Monitor the overall cost function. What are the effect of choosing different β for each tensor? When the algorithm converges, check whether the individual model predictions $\hat{X}_{1:5}$ are close to the original tensors or not.