

# On The Hunt for Earth-Like Planets

Connor Michael, Connor McKiernan, Huihao Zhang, Farah Abdulrahman

## Motivation:

Studying the formation, composition and behaviors of exoplanets from other galaxies enables us to gain a better understanding on the history of our planet and solar system. By comparing the discovered exoplanets with Earth, we can discover the unicity of our planet and the possible existence of life outside our solar system. The comparison is complete by discovering the mass, period, radius and semi-major axis of our known exoplanets, but the use of multiple known methods to discover this data; including radial velocity, transits and direct imaging, leads to conflicting results. By finding each method's sensitivity limit, discovering their flaws and improving their accuracy, we can grasp a clearer idea on the behaviors of exoplanets. For this experiment, we are on the lookout for a 'target planet' with conditions similar to Earth, specifically looking for a temperate Earth-like planet around a Sun-like star.

## Methods:

Using data collected from the *NASA Exoplanet Archive (NEA)*, we are able to see how many exoplanets are confirmed to exist, along with information on each, including their name, time of discovery and method used. In the database, three methods were used: Radial velocity, transit and imaging. Transferring the data into *Python*, we are able to find and plot the needed data. This will help provide us with a visual showing the dispersion of exoplanets and help us locate a 'target planet' with Earth-like behaviors.

### *Method 1: Radial Velocity*

The formula to find the radial velocity of a planet is:

$$K = \frac{M_p}{M_s} \sqrt{\frac{GM_s}{a}} \sin i$$

$K$  is the radial velocity, in m/s. The higher the value of  $K$ , the greater the chance of detection.  $M_p$  is the mass of the planet in meters,  $M_s$  is the mass of the planet's parent star in meters,  $G$  is the gravitational constant,  $a$  is semi-major axis, and  $i$  is the angle between the planet's direction of movement and our view, which is simplified to always be  $90^\circ$  in our simulation.

### *Method 2: Transit*

The two formulas used to find the transit of a planet is:

$$P = \frac{R_s + R_p}{a} \quad f = \left( \frac{R_p}{R_s} \right)^2$$

$P$  and  $f$  is the probability of detection with  $P$  found in percent and  $f$  in ppm,  $R_s$  is the radius of the star,  $R_p$  is the radius of the planet, and  $a$  is the semi-major axis.

### *Method 3: Direct Imaging*

The three formulas used with the direct imaging method are:

$$f_c = \left( \frac{R_p}{R_s} \right)^2 \frac{\exp(h\nu/k_B T_s) - 1}{\exp(h\nu/k_B T_p) - 1} \quad \theta = 1.22 \frac{\lambda}{D} \quad Hz = \frac{c}{\lambda}$$

$f_c$  is the star-planet contrast,  $R_s$  is the radius of the star,  $R_p$  is the radius of the planet,  $h$  is Planck's constant,  $\nu$  is the frequency,  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant,  $\theta$  is the angular separation,  $\lambda$  is the wavelength,  $D$  is the diameter of the telescope, and  $c$  is the speed of light. In our simulation, the albedo is 0.3 and wavelength values from 0.5 to 20  $\mu\text{m}$  are explored. Also, the Extremely Large Telescope (E-ELT) is used, which has a diameter of 39.3 m.

## Results:

Using the radial velocity method, we calculated  $K$  to be 0.09 m/s. However, in order for the planets to be detected correctly,  $K$  must be greater than or equal to a value of 0.5 m/s (Seager 2010). Therefore, our target planet is undetectable with the radial velocity method.

Using the transit method, we found our value of  $P$  to be 0.469%, which is the chance of detection. Given the slim probability to detect a planet, this formula can be deemed undetectable. In the second formula, we found our value of  $f$  to be 84.05 ppm. For HST, the limit is 110 ppm, which our calculated value doesn't meet the criteria of. Due to the solutions of both formulas not meeting the minimum criteria to detect exoplanets, our target planet is undetectable with the transit method.

Using the direct imaging method, we found our value of  $f_c$  to be  $1.097 \cdot 10^{-6}$ . In order for planets to be accurately detected, the telescope must be near-infrared with a vector vortex coronagraph of 1.5 m and a telescope pupil of  $2.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$  (Seager 2010). Since our value of  $f_c$  fails to exceed the required star-planet contrast, our target planet is undetectable with the direct imaging method. However, this method was the closest of the 3 to being successful.

Since the telescope diameter in the direct imaging method was determined to be slightly too small for detecting our target planet, we can discover the telescope diameter most suitable for observing Earth-like planets. We have the relationship:

$$\frac{1.22 \cdot 1 AU}{10 pc} = \frac{20 \mu m}{D}$$

For an Earth-like exoplanet,  $\theta = 0.1$  arcsec (Seager 2010), illustrated by the left side of the provided equation. We also adjusted the wavelength to the maximum possible value at 20  $\mu$ m,

shown by the right side of the equation. With this, we can calculate the maximum value of  $f_c$ . After calculating, we discover the most suitable telescope diameter to be 41.253 m, which is less than 2 m longer than the diameter of the Extremely Large Telescope (E-ELT) at 39.3 m. Therefore, it is nearly suitable for discovering our target planet.

## Conclusion:

The main goal of this research was to search for an Earth-like planet by using various detection techniques and compare them against one another. Each method came with its own set of assumptions, parameters, and estimations. What our team found was that despite experimenting with several techniques, we were unable to detect an Earth-like planet around a sun-like star. Between the Radial Velocity, Transit, and Direct Imaging, we found that the method yielding the most promising results (although still quite far by a longshot) was the transit method. Current planet-detection instrumentation techniques are simply not yet fit to complete such a task. However, by improving on these methods, particularly the transit method, it is possible that scientists in the near future will be able to achieve such feats.

## References:

Seager, Sara, and Renée Dotson. *Exoplanets*. University of Arizona Press, 2010.