

# Formal Characters.

2025. 7. 6

Notation:  $\Lambda$ : weight lattice;  $\Delta$ : root lattice;  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^+ \Delta$ .

## Definition of characters.

Idea: character of a rep determines it uniquely up to equivalence.

(But it is possible only for finite dim)

Step 1. finite dim rep  $\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Lambda$  (ring)

To avoid confusion, associate each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  a symbol  $e(\lambda)$ .

$$\text{ch } M := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \dim M_{\lambda} \cdot e(\lambda)$$

$$\bullet \text{ch}(M \oplus N) = \text{ch } M + \text{ch } N$$

$$\bullet \text{ch}(M \otimes N) = \text{ch } M \cdot \text{ch } N$$

By Weyl's complete thm, it is enough to know  $\text{ch } L(\lambda)$ .

Step 2. Modules in  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$

$$\mathcal{X} := \left\{ f: \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} : \text{Supp}(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{\mu \in \Lambda} (\mu + \Gamma) \right\}$$

$$\text{convolution product: } (f * g)(\lambda) := \sum_{\nu + \mu = \lambda} f(\mu) \cdot g(\nu)$$

$\bullet$   $\mathcal{X}$  is a commutative ring under convolution.

$$\bullet (\text{ch } M)(\lambda) = \dim M_{\lambda}, \quad e_{\lambda}(\mu) = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$$

$\bullet \mathcal{X}_0$  the additive group of  $\mathcal{X}$  gen by all  $\text{ch } M$ .

Prop 1)  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  SES in  $\mathcal{O}$ , we have  $\text{ch } M' + \text{ch } M'' = \text{ch } M$ .

$$2) \mathcal{X}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} K(\mathcal{O}); \text{ch } M \rightarrow [M]$$

$$3) \text{ If } M \in \mathcal{O} \text{ and } \dim L < \infty, \text{ch}(M \otimes L) = \text{ch } M * \text{ch } L$$

$$4) \text{ If } M \text{ finite dim, } w \in W \text{ Weyl group, } w \cdot \text{ch } M := \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \dim M_{\alpha} e(w\alpha) = \text{ch } M. \\ \text{i.e. ch } M \text{ is } W\text{-invariant.}$$

Q: What is the characters of Verma module  $M(\lambda)$

$$\text{ch } M(0)(\gamma) = \# \left\{ (C_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mid C_{\alpha} \geq 0, \gamma = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} C_{\alpha} \cdot \alpha \right\} =: p(\gamma) \quad \text{Kostant number}$$



Prop. For any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $\text{ch } M(\lambda) = \text{ch } M(0) * e(\lambda) = p * e(\lambda)$

Pf.  $\dim M(\lambda)_\nu = p(\nu - \lambda)$

Ex.  $\text{ch } L(\lambda)$  with  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  are linearly independent in  $\mathcal{X}$  and form a basis of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

If  $\sum k_\lambda \text{ch } L(\lambda) = 0$ , then consider the maximal weight  $\gamma$  among nonzero  $k_\lambda$ .

Then  $[\sum k_\lambda \text{ch } L(\lambda)](\gamma) = k(\gamma) = 0$  which is a contradiction.  $\Rightarrow$  linearly independent.

Q: What is the characters of  $L(\lambda)$ . (not easy '!!') only consider  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ .

$$\bullet \text{ch } M(\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \leq \lambda \\ \mu \in W \cdot \lambda}} a(\lambda, \mu) \text{ch } L(\mu) = \sum_{\omega \cdot \lambda \leq \lambda} a(\lambda, \omega) \text{ch } L(\omega \cdot \lambda)$$

where  $a(\lambda, \mu) = [M(\lambda) : L(\mu)] \geq 0$  and  $a(\lambda, \lambda) = 1$

$$\bullet \text{ch } L(\lambda) = \sum b(\lambda, \omega) \text{ch } M(\omega \cdot \lambda) \text{ where } b(\lambda, \omega) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } b(\lambda, 1) = 1$$

The function  $p$  &  $q$

Recall:  $p = \text{ch } M(0)$ ,  $\text{ch } M(\lambda) = p * e(\lambda)$

Define  $f_\alpha(\lambda) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = -k\alpha \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$f_\alpha = e(0) + e(-\alpha) + e(-2\alpha) + \dots$$

Lemma A: a)  $p = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} f_\alpha$  b)  $(e(0) - e(-\alpha)) * f_\alpha = e(0)$

Pf. a)  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} f_\alpha = \sum_{(c_\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{|\Phi^+|}} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} e(-c_\alpha \alpha) = p$

Define  $q := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (e(\frac{\alpha}{2}) - e(-\frac{\alpha}{2}))$ , then  $q = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} e(\frac{\alpha}{2}) * (e(0) - e(-\alpha)) = e(p) * \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (e(0) - e(-\alpha))$

Note that  $q \neq 0$ , because  $q(p) = 1$ .

Lemma B. For all  $w \in W$ , we have  $wq = (-1)^{l(w)} q$

Pf. If  $w = 1$ , there is nothing to prove. If  $w = s_\alpha$ .  $w$  sends  $\alpha$  to  $-\alpha$  but



keeps all other positive roots in  $\Phi^+ \Rightarrow \omega \rho = -\rho$ . It is enough to show on simple reflections.

Lemma C. For each  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $q * \text{ch } M(\lambda) = q * p * e(\lambda) = e(\lambda + \rho)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf. } q * p &= e(\rho) * \prod_{\alpha > 0} (e(\alpha) - e(-\alpha)) * \prod_{\beta > 0} f_{\beta} \\ &= e(\rho) * \prod_{\alpha > 0} (e(\alpha) - e(-\alpha)) * f_{\alpha} \\ &= e(\rho) \end{aligned}$$

## Formulas of Weyl and Kostant.

Thm. (Weyl) Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$  ( $\dim L(\lambda) < \infty$ ), Then

$$q * \text{ch } L(\lambda) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} e(w(\lambda + \rho))$$

In particular, when  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $q = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} e(w\rho)$

$$\text{pf. } \text{ch } L(\lambda) = \sum_{w \cdot \lambda \leq \lambda} b(\lambda, w) \text{ch } M(w \cdot \lambda) = \sum_{w \in W} b(\lambda, w) p * e(w \cdot \lambda)$$

Multiply both sides by  $q$ :

$$\begin{aligned} q * \text{ch } L(\lambda) &= \sum b(\lambda, w) q * p * e(w \cdot \lambda) \\ &= \sum b(\lambda, w) e(\rho) * e(w \cdot \lambda) \\ &= \sum b(\lambda, w) e(w \cdot \lambda + \rho) \\ &= \sum b(\lambda, w) e(w(\lambda + \rho)) \end{aligned}$$

Apply  $s_{\alpha}$  to both sides:

ch of f.d.m is  $W$ -invariant.

$$s_{\alpha}(q * \text{ch } L(\lambda)) = s_{\alpha} q * \underline{s_{\alpha} \text{ch } L(\lambda)} = -q * \text{ch } L(\lambda)$$

$$s_{\alpha} e(w(\lambda + \rho)) = e(s_{\alpha} w(\lambda + \rho))$$

$$\Rightarrow b(\lambda, w) = -b(\lambda, s_{\alpha} w)$$

$$b(\lambda, 1) = 1$$

Induction on the length of  $w$ , we have  $b(\lambda, w) = (-1)^{l(w)} b(\lambda, w^2) = (-1)^{l(w)}$

Cor (Kostant). If  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$  and  $\mu \leq \lambda$ , then

$$\dim L(\lambda)_{\mu} = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} p(\mu - w \cdot \lambda) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} p((\mu + \rho) - w(\lambda + \rho))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf. } \text{ch } L(\lambda) &= q * p * e(-\rho) * \text{ch } L(\lambda) = p * e(-\rho) * \sum (-1)^{l(w)} e(w \cdot \lambda + \rho) \\ &= p * \sum (-1)^{l(w)} e(w \cdot \lambda) \end{aligned}$$