• When *current-row* is filled, if there are still more rows to compute, copy *current-row* into *previous-row* and compute the new *current-row*.

Actually only a little more than one row's worth of c entries— $\min(m,n)+1$  entries—are needed during the computation. The only entries needed in the table when it is time to compute c[i,j] are c[i,k] for  $k \leq j-1$  (i.e., earlier entries in the current row, which will be needed to compute the next row); and c[i-1,k] for  $k \geq j-1$  (i.e., entries in the previous row that are still needed to compute the rest of the current row). This is one entry for each k from 1 to  $\min(m,n)$  except that there are two entries with k=j-1, hence the additional entry needed besides the one row's worth of entries.

We can thus do away with the c table as follows:

- Use an array a of length min(m, n) + 1 to hold the appropriate entries of c. At the time c[i, j] is to be computed, a will hold the following entries:
  - a[k] = c[i, k] for  $1 \le k < j 1$  (i.e., earlier entries in the current "row"),
  - a[k] = c[i-1, k] for  $k \ge j-1$  (i.e., entries in the previous "row"),
  - a[0] = c[i, j-1] (i.e., the previous entry computed, which couldn't be put into the "right" place in a without erasing the still-needed c[i-1, j-1]).
- Initialize a to all 0 and compute the entries from left to right.
  - Note that the 3 values needed to compute c[i, j] for j > 1 are in a[0] = c[i, j-1], a[j-1] = c[i-1, j-1], and a[j] = c[i-1, j].
  - When c[i, j] has been computed, move a[0] (c[i, j 1]) to its "correct" place, a[j 1], and put c[i, j] in a[0].

## **Solution to Problem 15-1**

Taking the book's hint, we sort the points by x-coordinate, left to right, in  $O(n \lg n)$  time. Let the sorted points be, left to right,  $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_n \rangle$ . Therefore,  $p_1$  is the leftmost point, and  $p_n$  is the rightmost.

We define as our subproblems paths of the following form, which we call bitonic paths. A *bitonic path*  $P_{i,j}$ , where  $i \leq j$ , includes all points  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_j$ ; it starts at some point  $p_i$ , goes strictly left to point  $p_1$ , and then goes strictly right to point  $p_j$ . By "going strictly left," we mean that each point in the path has a lower x-coordinate than the previous point. Looked at another way, the indices of the sorted points form a strictly decreasing sequence. Likewise, "going strictly right" means that the indices of the sorted points form a strictly increasing sequence. Moreover,  $P_{i,j}$  contains all the points  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_j$ . Note that  $p_j$  is the rightmost point in  $P_{i,j}$  and is on the rightgoing subpath. The leftgoing subpath may be degenerate, consisting of just  $p_1$ .

Let us denote the euclidean distance between any two points  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  by  $|p_i p_j|$ . And let us denote by b[i, j], for  $1 \le i \le j \le n$ , the length of the shortest bitonic path  $P_{i,j}$ . Since the leftgoing subpath may be degenerate, we can easily compute all values b[1, j]. The only value of b[i, i] that we will need is b[n, n], which is

the length of the shortest bitonic tour. We have the following formulation of b[i, j] for  $1 \le i \le j \le n$ :

```
\begin{array}{rcl} b[1,2] & = & |p_1p_2| \ , \\ b[i,j] & = & b[i,j-1] + |p_{j-1}p_j| & \text{for } i < j-1 \ , \\ b[j-1,j] & = & \min_{1 \le k < j-1} \{b[k,j-1] + |p_kp_j|\} \ . \end{array}
```

Why are these formulas correct? Any bitonic path ending at  $p_2$  has  $p_2$  as its rightmost point, so it consists only of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . Its length, therefore, is  $|p_1 p_2|$ .

Now consider a shortest bitonic path  $P_{i,j}$ . The point  $p_{j-1}$  is somewhere on this path. If it is on the rightgoing subpath, then it immediately preceeds  $p_j$  on this subpath. Otherwise, it is on the leftgoing subpath, and it must be the rightmost point on this subpath, so i=j-1. In the first case, the subpath from  $p_i$  to  $p_{j-1}$  must be a shortest bitonic path  $P_{i,j-1}$ , for otherwise we could use a cut-and-paste argument to come up with a shorter bitonic path than  $P_{i,j}$ . (This is part of our optimal substructure.) The length of  $P_{i,j}$ , therefore, is given by  $b[i, j-1] + |p_{j-1}p_j|$ . In the second case,  $p_j$  has an immediate predecessor  $p_k$ , where k < j-1, on the rightgoing subpath. Optimal substructure again applies: the subpath from  $p_i$  to  $p_{j-1}$  must be a shortest bitonic path  $P_{k,j-1}$ , for otherwise we could use cut-and-paste to come up with a shorter bitonic path than  $P_{i,j}$ . (We have implicitly relied on paths having the same length regardless of which direction we traverse them.) The length of  $P_{i,j}$ , therefore, is given by  $\min_{1 \le k \le j-1} \{b[k, j-1] + |p_kp_j|\}$ .

We need to compute b[n, n]. In an optimal bitonic tour, one of the points adjacent to  $p_n$  must be  $p_{n-1}$ , and so we have

$$b[n, n] = b[n - 1, n] + |p_{n-1}p_n|.$$

**return** b and r

To reconstruct the points on the shortest bitonic tour, we define r[i, j] to be the immediate predecessor of  $p_j$  on the shortest bitonic path  $P_{i,j}$ . The pseudocode below shows how we compute b[i, j] and r[i, j]:

```
EUCLIDEAN-TSP(p)
sort the points so that \langle p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_n \rangle are in order of increasing x-coordinate b[1,2] \leftarrow |p_1p_2|
for j \leftarrow 3 to n
do for i \leftarrow 1 to j-2
do b[i,j] \leftarrow b[i,j-1] + |p_{j-1}p_j|
r[i,j] \leftarrow j-1
b[j-1,j] \leftarrow \infty
for k \leftarrow 1 to j-2
do \ q \leftarrow b[k,j-1] + |p_kp_j|
if \ q < b[j-1,j] \leftarrow q
r[j-1,j] \leftarrow k
b[n,n] \leftarrow b[n-1,n] + |p_{n-1}p_n|
```

We print out the tour we found by starting at  $p_n$ , then a leftgoing subpath that includes  $p_{n-1}$ , from right to left, until we hit  $p_1$ . Then we print right-to-left the remaining subpath, which does not include  $p_{n-1}$ . For the example in Figure 15.9(b)

on page 365, we wish to print the sequence  $p_7$ ,  $p_6$ ,  $p_4$ ,  $p_3$ ,  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_5$ . Our code is recursive. The right-to-left subpath is printed as we go deeper into the recursion, and the left-to-right subpath is printed as we back out.

```
PRINT-TOUR(r, n)
print p_n
print p_{n-1}
k \leftarrow r[n-1, n]
PRINT-PATH(r, k, n - 1)
print p_k
PRINT-PATH(r, i, j)
if i < j
  then k \leftarrow r[i, j]
        print p_k
        if k > 1
          then PRINT-PATH(r, i, k)
  else k \leftarrow r[j, i]
        if k > 1
          then PRINT-PATH(r, k, j)
                print p_k
```

The relative values of the parameters i and j in each call of PRINT-PATH indicate which subpath we're working on. If i < j, we're on the right-to-left subpath, and if i > j, we're on the left-to-right subpath.

The time to run EUCLIDEAN-TSP is  $O(n^2)$  since the outer loop on j iterates n-2 times and the inner loops on i and k each run at most n-2 times. The sorting step at the beginning takes  $O(n \lg n)$  time, which the loop times dominate. The time to run PRINT-TOUR is O(n), since each point is printed just once.

## Solution to Problem 15-2

Note: we will assume that no word is longer than will fit into a line, i.e.,  $l_i \leq M$  for all i.

First, we'll make some definitions so that we can state the problem more uniformly. Special cases about the last line and worries about whether a sequence of words fits in a line will be handled in these definitions, so that we can forget about them when framing our overall strategy.

- Define  $extras[i, j] = M j + i \sum_{k=i}^{j} l_k$  to be the number of extra spaces at the end of a line containing words i through j. Note that extras may be negative.
- Now define the cost of including a line containing words i through j in the sum
  we want to minimize:

```
lc[i, j] = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } extras[i, j] < 0 \text{ (i.e., words } i, \dots, j \text{ don't fit)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = n \text{ and } extras[i, j] \ge 0 \text{ (last line costs } 0), \\ (extras[i, j])^3 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
```