

What can be sampled locally?

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.00142>

Qian Luowen
Undergraduate Seminar

Preliminaries

- The LOCAL model
- Markov random fields (MRFs) and local CSP
- Local sampling problem
- Mixing rate

The LOCAL model

- $G(V, E)$ represents the processor network
- Δ is the maximum degree
- $n = |V|$

Markov random field

- Given a graph $G(V, E)$ and a finite domain $[q] = \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$,
The probability measure of each configuration σ is proportional to the weight:

$$w(\sigma) := \prod_{e=uv \in E} A_e(\sigma_u, \sigma_v) \prod_{v \in V} b_v(\sigma_v),$$

Markov random field

- Given a graph $G(V, E)$ and a finite domain $[q] = \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$,
The probability measure of each configuration σ is proportional to the weight:

$$w(\sigma) = \prod_{c=(f_c, S_c) \in \mathcal{C}} f_c(\sigma|_{S_c}),$$

Local sampling

- Measured by total variation distance:

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\mu(\sigma) - \nu(\sigma)| = \max_{A \subseteq \Omega} |\mu(A) - \nu(A)|.$$

Mixing rate

- Ergodicity: Irreducible + Aperiodic
- Mixing rate is defined as

$$\tau(\epsilon) = \max_{\sigma \in \Omega} \min \left\{ t : d_{\text{TV}} \left(\pi_{\sigma}^{(t)}, \pi \right) \leq \epsilon \right\},$$

Luby's algorithm for maximal independent set (MIS)

Initialize I to an empty set.

While V is not empty:

1. Sample an independent set S in V .
2. Add the set S to I .
3. Remove from V the set S and all the neighbours of nodes in S .

Return I .

LubyGlauber algorithm

Algorithm 1: Pseudocode for vertex $v \in V$ in *LubyGlauber* algorithm

Input: Vertex $v \in V$ receives $\{A_{uv}\}_{u \in \Gamma(v)}$ and b_v as input.

```
1 initialize  $X_v$  to an arbitrary value in  $[q]$ ;  
2 for  $t = 1$  through  $T$  do  
3   sample a real  $\beta_v \in [0, 1]$  uniformly and independently;  
4   if  $\beta_v > \max\{\beta_u \mid u \in \Gamma(v)\}$  then  
5     resample  $X_v$  according to marginal distribution  $\mu_v(\cdot \mid X_{\Gamma(v)})$ ;  
6 return  $X_v$ ;
```

$$\forall c \in [q], \quad \mu_v(c \mid X_{\Gamma(v)}) = \frac{b_v(c) \prod_{u \in \Gamma(v)} A_{uv}(c, X_u)}{\sum_{a \in [q]} b_v(a) \prod_{u \in \Gamma(v)} A_{uv}(a, X_u)}.$$

LubyGlauber algorithm

- Assumption: Marginal distribution is well defined, chain is irreducible among all feasible configurations

Proposition 3.1. *The Markov chain LubyGlauber is reversible and has stationary distribution μ . Furthermore, under the above assumption, $d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_{\text{LG}}, \mu)$ converges to 0 as $T \rightarrow \infty$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Under the same assumption as Proposition 3.1, if the total influence $\alpha < 1$, then the mixing rate of the LubyGlauber chain is $\tau(\epsilon) = O\left(\frac{\Delta}{1-\alpha} \log\left(\frac{n}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$.*

Theorem 1.1. *If $q \geq \alpha\Delta$ for an arbitrary constant $\alpha > 2$, there is an algorithm which samples a uniform proper q -coloring within total variation distance $\epsilon > 0$ in $O\left(\Delta \log\left(\frac{n}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$ rounds of communications on any graph $G(V, E)$ with $n = |V|$ vertices and maximum degree $\Delta = \Delta(n)$.*

LocalMetropolis algorithm

Algorithm 2: Pseudocode for the *LocalMetropolis* algorithm

Input: Each vertex $v \in V$ receives $\{A_{uv}\}_{u \in \Gamma(v)}$ and b_v as input.

```
1 each  $v \in V$  initializes  $X_v$  to an arbitrary value in  $[q]$ ;
2 for  $t = 1$  through  $T$  do
3   foreach  $v \in V$  do
4     [ propose a random  $\sigma_v \in [q]$  with probability  $b_v(\sigma_v) / \sum_{c \in [q]} b_v(c)$ ;
5     foreach  $e = (u, v) \in E$  do
6       [ pass the check independently with probability
7         [  $A_e(\sigma_u, \sigma_v) A_e(X_u, \sigma_v) A_e(\sigma_u, X_v) / (\max_{i, j \in [q]} A_e(i, j))^3$ ;
8       [
9       [   if all edges  $e$  incident with  $v$  pass the checks then
10        [   [  $X_v \leftarrow \sigma_v$ ;
10 each  $v \in V$  returns  $X_v$ ;
```

LocalMetropolis algorithm

Theorem 4.1. *The Markov chain LocalMetropolis is reversible and has stationary distribution μ . Furthermore, under above assumptions, $d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_{\text{LM}}, \mu)$ converges to 0 as $T \rightarrow \infty$.*

Theorem 4.2. *If $q \geq \alpha\Delta$ for a constant $\alpha > 2 + \sqrt{2}$, the mixing rate of the LocalMetropolis chain for proper q -coloring on graphs with maximum degree at most $\Delta = \Delta(n) \geq 9$ is $\tau(\epsilon) = O(\log(\frac{n}{\epsilon}))$, where the constant factor in $O(\cdot)$ depends only on α but not on the maximum degree Δ .*

Lower bounds

Theorem 1.3. *For $\Delta \geq 6$, there exist infinitely many graphs $G(V, E)$ with maximum degree Δ and diameter $\text{diam}(G) = \tilde{\Omega}(\sqrt{|V|})$ such that any algorithm that samples uniform independent set in G within sufficiently small constant total variation distance ϵ requires $\Omega(\text{diam}(G))$ rounds of communications, even assuming the vertices $v \in V$ to be aware of G .*

Thanks!