- 教材讨论
 - -JH第5章第3节第1、2、3小节

问题1: random sampling

- 什么是random sampling?
- 什么样的问题适合采用random sampling?
- 为什么quadratic residues适合采用random sampling?

问题1: random sampling (续)

- there are many objects with the given property relative to the cardinality of the set of all objects considered,
- (ii) for a given object, one can efficiently verify whether it has the required property or not, and
- (iii) the distribution of the "right" objects among all objects is unknown and cannot be efficiently computed (or at least one does not know how to determine it efficiently).
- (A) For a given prime p and an $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, it is possible to decide whether a is a quadratic residue (mod p) in polynomial time.
- (B) For every prime p, exactly half of the elements of \mathbb{Z}_p are quadratic residues.

Theorem 5.3.2.2 (Euler's Criterion). For every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,

- (i) if a is a quadratic residue modulo p, then $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and
- (ii) if a is a quadratic nonresidue modulo p, then $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

Theorem 5.3.2.3. For every odd prime p, exactly half ³⁹ of the nonzero elements of \mathbb{Z}_p are quadratic residues modulo p.

问题2: quadratic residues

Theorem 5.3.2.2 (Euler's Criterion). For every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,

- (i) if a is a quadratic residue modulo p, then $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and
- (ii) if a is a quadratic nonresidue modulo p, then $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.
- 判定quadratic residue的复杂度是多少?为什么?

Theorem 5.3.2.3. For every odd prime p, exactly half ³⁹ of the nonzero elements of \mathbb{Z}_p are quadratic residues modulo p.

• 这个定理的证明是如何分解为两个步骤并分别证明的?

问题2: quadratic residues (续)

Algorithm 5.3.2.1. REPEATED SQUARING

```
Input: Positive integers a, b, p, where b = Number(b_k b_{k-1} \dots b_0). Step 1: C := a; D := 1. Step 2: for I := 0 to k do

begin if b_I = 1 then D := D \cdot C \mod p;

C := C \cdot C \mod p

end

Step 3: return D

Output: D = a^b \mod p.
```

$$O(2(k+1)\times k^2)$$

问题2: quadratic residues (续)

Proof. We have to prove that

$$|\{1^2 \mod p, 2^2 \mod p, \dots, (p-1)^2 \mod p\}| = (p-1)/2.$$
 (5.9)

We observe that for every $x \in \{1, ..., p-1\}$,

$$(p-x)^2 = p^2 - 2px + x^2 = p(p-2x) + x^2 \equiv x^2 \pmod{p}.$$

- Thus, we have proved that the number of quadratic residues modulo p is at most (p-1)/2.
 - Now it is sufficient to prove that for every $x \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$, the congruence $x^2 \equiv y^2 \mod p$ has at most one solution $y \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$ different from x.

Without loss of generality we assume y > x, i.e., y = x + i for some $i \in \{1, 2, ..., p - 2\}$. Thus,

$$x^2 \equiv (x+i)^2 \equiv x^2 + 2ix + i^2 \pmod{p}.$$

This directly implies

$$2ix + i^2 = i(2x + i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Since \mathbb{Z}_p is a field⁴⁰ and $i \in \{1, 2, ..., p-1\},^{41}$

$$2x + i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \tag{5.10}$$

Since the congruence (5.10) has exactly one solution $i \in \{1, ..., p-1\}$, the proof is completed.⁴³

问题3: SSSA

- 作为一个单边错Monte Carlo算法,SSSA是识别质数的还是识别合数的? 这两种说法有区别吗?
- 为什么SSSA是一个单边错Monte Carlo算法?
- 定理5.3.3.1的基本证明思路是什么?
- SSSA在使用时的局限是什么?为什么这一局限难以打破?

问题3: SSSA (续)

• 为什么SSSA是一个单边错Monte Carlo算法?

Algorithm 5.3.3.5 (SSSA SIMPLIFIED SOLOVAY-STRASSEN ALGORITHM).

Input: An odd number n with odd (n-1)/2. Step 1: Choose uniformly an $a \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$

Step 2: Compute $A := a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mod n$

Step 3: if $A \in \{1, -1\}$ then return ("PRIME") {reject} else return ("COMPOSITE") {accept}.

Theorem 5.3.3.1. For every odd n such that (n-1)/2 is odd (i.e., $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$),

- (i) if n is a prime, then $a^{(n-1)/2} \mod n \in \{1, -1\}$ for all $a \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$,
- (ii) if n is composite, then $a^{(n-1)/2} \mod n \notin \{1, -1\}$ for at least one half of the a's from $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$.

问题3: SSSA (续)

Proof. Fact (i) is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.2.4.32.

To prove (ii) we consider the following strategy. Let n be composite. A number $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is called **Eulerian** if $a^{(n-1)/2} \mod n \in \{1, -1\}$. We claim that to prove (ii) it is sufficient to find a number $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n - \{0\}$ such that b is not Eulerian and there exists a multiplicative inverse b^{-1} to b. Let us prove this claim. Let $Eu_n = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid a \text{ is Eulerian}\}$. The idea of the proof is that the multiplication of elements of Eu_n by b is an injective mapping into $\mathbb{Z}_n - Eu_n$. For every $a \in Eu_n$, $a \cdot b$ is not Eulerian because

$$(a \cdot b)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \bmod n = \left(a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \bmod n\right) \cdot \left(b^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \bmod n\right) = \pm b^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \bmod n \notin \{1, -1\}.$$

Now it remains to prove that $a_1 \cdot b \not\equiv a_2 \cdot b \pmod{n}$ if $a_1 \neq a_2, a_1, a_2 \in Eu_n$. Let $a_1 \cdot b \equiv a_2 \cdot b \pmod{n}$. Then by multiplying the congruence with b^{-1} we obtain

$$a_1 = a_1 \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} \mod n = a_2 \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} \mod n = a_2.$$

So,
$$|\mathbb{Z}_n - Eu_n| \ge |Eu_n|$$
.

问题3: SSSA (续)

• SSSA在使用时的局限是什么?为什么这一局限难以打破?

Algorithm 5.3.3.5 (SSSA SIMPLIFIED SOLOVAY-STRASSEN ALGORITHM).

```
Input: An odd number n with odd (n-1)/2.

Step 1: Choose uniformly an a \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}

Step 2: Compute A := a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mod n

Step 3: if A \in \{1, -1\}

then return ("PRIME") {reject}

else return ("COMPOSITE") {accept}.
```

Carmichael numbers:

 $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $a \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ with gcd(a, n) = 1.

问题4: Solovay-Strassen和Miller-Rabin

Miller-Rabin的基本原理是什么?

Algorithm 5.3.3.14. MILLER-RABIN ALGORITHM

```
An odd number n.
Input:
Step 1: Choose a uniformly at random from \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}.
Step 2: Compute a^{n-1} \mod n.
Step 3: if a^{n-1} \mod n \neq 1 then
            return ("COMPOSITE") -accept"
         else begin
            compute s and m such that n-1=s\cdot 2^m;
            for i := 0 to m - 1 do
               r[i] := a^{s \cdot 2^i} \mod n -by repeated squaring";
            r[m] := a^{n-1} \bmod n;
            if there exists j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}, such that
                  r[m-j] = 1 and r[m-j-1] \notin \{1, -1\},\
               then return ("COMPOSITE") -accept"
               else return ("PRIME") -reject"
         end
```

• 就random sampling的一般原理而言,SSSA、Solovay-Strassen、Miller-Rabin的根本区别是什么?

问题5: prime generation

- 算法5.3.3.16的基本原理是什么?
- 为什么它几乎总能输出正确的结果?证明过程中两个概率 算式的含义是什么?

问题5: prime generation (续)

```
Algorithm 5.3.3.16. PRIME GENERATION(l, k) (PG(l, k))
   Input: l, k.
   Step 1: Set X := "still not found":
             I := 0
   Step 2: while X = "still not found" and I < 2l^2
            do begin generate randomly a bit sequence a_1, \ldots, a_{l-2} and set
                      n = 2^{l-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-2} a_i 2^i + 1;
                      perform k runs of SOLOVAY-STRASSEN ALGORITHM on n;
                      if at least one of the k outputs is "Composite"
                         then I := I + 1
                         else do begin X := "already found";
                                       \mathbf{output}(n)
                                  end
             end
```

Step 3: **if** $I = 2l^2$ **output**("I did not find any prime").

问题5: prime generation (续)

Probability of outputting "I did not find any prime"

$$\left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2l} \right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \right]^{2l^2} < \left(1 - \frac{1}{2l} \right)^{2l^2} = \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2l} \right)^{2l} \right]^l < \left(\frac{1}{e} \right)^l = e^{-l}.$$

Probability of outputting a composite number

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2l}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2^l} + \sum_{i=1}^{2l^2 - 1} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2l}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^l}\right) \right]^i \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2l}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2^l}$$

$$\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2l}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2^l} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2l^2 - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2l}\right)^i + 1\right)$$

$$\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2l}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2^l} \cdot 2l^2 \leq \frac{l^2}{2^{l-1}}.$$

问题6: verifying matrix multiplication

```
Input: Matrix A \in \mathbb{R}^m \times P, B \in \mathbb{R}^p \times P, and C \in \mathbb{R}^m \times P.

Output: True if C = A \cdot B; false if C \neq A \cdot B
```

• 你能不能基于random sampling给出一个单边错Monte Carlo 算法?

```
begin
  i=1
repeat
     Choose r=(r<sub>1</sub>,...,r<sub>n</sub>) ∈ {0,1}<sup>n</sup> at random.
     Compute C · r and A · (B · r)
     if C · r ≠ A · (B · r)
        return FALSE
     endif
     i = i + 1
until i=k
return TRUE
end
```

问题6: verifying matrix multiplication (续)

Theorem: The algorithm is correct with probability at least $1-(\frac{1}{2})^k$.

We will prove that if $A\cdot B\neq C$ then $Pr[A\cdot B\cdot r=C\cdot r]\leq 1/2$

If $A\cdot B\neq C$, by definition we have $D=A\cdot B-C\neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $d_{11}\neq 0$.

On the other hand, $Pr[A \cdot B \cdot r = C \cdot r] = Pr[(A \cdot B - C) \cdot r = 0] = Pr[D \cdot r = 0]$

If $D \cdot r = 0$, then the first entry of $D \cdot r$ is 0, that is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{1j} r_j = 0$$

Since $d_{11} \neq 0$, we can solve for r_1 :

$$r_1 = \frac{-\sum_{j=2}^n d_{1j} r_j}{d_{11}}$$

If we fix all r_j except r_1 , the equality holds for at most one of the two choices for $r_1 \in \{0,1\}$. Therefore, $Pr[ABr = Cr] \leq 1/2$.

We run the loop for k times. If $C=A\cdot B$, the algorithm is always correct; if $C\neq A\cdot B$, the probability of getting the correct answer is at least $1-(\frac{1}{2})^k$.