

Movie Recommendation

Hsiang-Tai Huang

Wednesday, September 9, 2015

Executive Summary

I use the movie rating dataset provided by GroupLens Research to evaluate the performance of two prediction models which includes user-Based recommendation system and item-based recommendation system. Based on the evaluation result of the [MovieLens 100k dataset](#), the RMSE(root mean square error) of user-based recommendation is smaller than item-based recommendation.

Preprocessing data

At first, I try to download training dataset and testing dataset from the GroupLens Research website. The ua.base file stands for training dataset and ua.test stand for testing data. Those two datasets includes userid, movieid, rating, and timestamp column.

```
rm(list = ls())
#load ua.base dataset
rating.header <- c('userid','movieid','rating','timestamp')
train.ratings <- do.call(rbind, strsplit(readLines("./ml-100k/ua.base"), '\t', fixed=T))
train.ratings <- data.frame(apply(train.ratings, 2, as.integer))
colnames(train.ratings) <- rating.header

#load ua.test dataset
test.ratings <- do.call(rbind, strsplit(readLines("./ml-100k/ua.test"), '\t', fixed=T))
test.ratings <- data.frame(apply(test.ratings, 2, as.integer))
colnames(test.ratings) <- rating.header
```

After loading data into data frame, I also check the missing values in the training dataset and test dataset.


```
train.na.num <-sum(is.na(train.ratings))
test.na.num <-sum(is.na(test.ratings))
```

The na amount in training dataset is 0 and the na amount in test dataset is 0. I don't need to take further step to clean the dataset.

Explore data in training dataset

After cleaning the data, I start to explore the data and draw a plot to show the histogram of rating as the following.

```
library(ggplot2)
qplot(rating, data = train.ratings ,main = "Histogram of ratings",binwidth= 0.5, xlab = "Rating")
```



```
mean.rate <- mean(train.ratings$rating)
```

The mean rate would be 3.5238269. This value would be used when we don't have any hint to predict user's rating at some specific situation.

Build recommendation system

I will build up two recommendation systems including user-based recommendation system and item-based recommendation system. Before that, I will initialize the common parameters, matrices and functions. `users.movies.mtx` would be the most important matrix in this report. Each row of the `users.movies.mtx` stands for one `userid`, and each column of the `users.movies.mtx` stands for one `movieid`. The rating value which is rated by the User i for the movie j is stored in $[i,j]$. Besides that, `rmse` will be used to evaluate the prediction accuracy.

```
recommend.num <- 5
train.users <- sort(unique(train.ratings[, rating.header[1]]))
train.movies <- sort(unique(train.ratings[, rating.header[2]]))
# build up users.movies matrix
users.movies.mtx <- matrix(NA, nrow = length(train.users), ncol = length(train.movies))
update.user.movies <- function(x) {
  user.idx <- which((train.users %in% x[1]))
  movie.idx <- which((train.movies %in% x[2]))
  users.movies.mtx[user.idx, movie.idx] <- x[3]
}
```

```

result <- apply(train.ratings, 1, update.user.movies)

rmse <- function(right, predict) {
  sqrt(sum((right - predict)^2)/length(right))
}

```

User-based recommendation system

In the user-based recommendation system, I have set up the user similarity function. This user similarity function would generate the output value which define how closer for two different user. I choose Cosine-based Similarity as my similarity function. After that, I would build up the user similarity matrix. Assumed I have m users and the user similarity matrix would be $m \times m$ and store the similarity coefficient in this matrix.

```

#set similarity function
user.sim.func <- function(i, j) {
  (sum(users.movies.mtx[i,] * users.movies.mtx[j,], na.rm = TRUE)) /
  (sqrt(sum(users.movies.mtx[i,]^2, na.rm = TRUE)) *
   sqrt(sum(users.movies.mtx[j,]^2, na.rm = TRUE)))
}

#build up the user similarity matrix
user.similarity <- matrix(NA, nrow=length(train.users), ncol=length(train.users))
for(i in 1:length(train.users)){
  for(j in i:length(train.users)){
    if( i != j){
      user.similarity[i, j] <- do.call(user.sim.func, list(i = i, j = j))
      user.similarity[j, i] <- user.similarity[i, j]
    }
  }
}

```

Item-based recommendation system

In the item-based recommendation system, I have set up the user similarity function. This item similarity function would generate the output value which define how closer for two different item based on user's rating. I choose Cosine-based Similarity as my similarity function. After that, I would build up the item similarity matrix. Assumed I have n items and the item similarity matrix would be $n \times n$ and store the similarity coefficient in this matrix.

```

#set item similarity function
item.sim.func <- function(i, j) {
  (sum(users.movies.mtx[,i] * users.movies.mtx[,j], na.rm = TRUE)) /
  (sqrt(sum(users.movies.mtx[,j]^2, na.rm = TRUE)) *
   sqrt(sum(users.movies.mtx[,i]^2, na.rm = TRUE)))
}

#build up item similarity matrix
item.similarity <- matrix(NA, nrow=length(train.movies), ncol=length(train.movies))
for(i in 1:length(train.movies)){
  for(j in i:length(train.movies)){
    if( i != j){
      item.similarity[i, j] <- item.sim.func(i, j)
      item.similarity[j, i] <- item.similarity[i, j]
    }
  }
}

```

```

    }
  }
}

```

Predict and evaluate test dataset

I use the test data set to evaluate those two recommendation system. I also set the total amount of recommended movie is 5.

Evaluate user-based recommendation

I define the function named `user.predict.rate`. Given `userid`, `movieid` and recommended movie amount(`k`), I will calculate the `K` users who had top-5 high similarity with given `userid` and also rate for this `movieid`. I will weight rating score and get the predicted rating values. In some special case, I could not get the predicted rating value, I adopt the average rating value from the training dataset as the default rating score.

```

user.predict.rate <- function(uid, mid, k) {
  # Find the user's k nearest neighbour who have already rated movies
  user.rate <- data.frame(user.similarity[uid, ], users.movies.mtx[, mid])
  user.rate <- user.rate[complete.cases(user.rate),]
  user.rate <- user.rate[order(user.rate[, 1], decreasing = TRUE),]
  if(dim(user.rate)[1] == 0) {
    mean.rate
  } else {
    top.k <- head(user.rate, k)
    if(sum(abs(top.k[,1])) == 0) {
      mean(top.k[,2])
    } else {
      sum(top.k[,1] * top.k[,2])/sum(abs(top.k[,1]))
    }
  }
}

user.predict.out<- apply(test.ratings, 1, function(x) {round(user.predict.rate(x[1],x[2],recommend.num)
user.error <- rmse(test.ratings$rating, user.predict.out)

```

The average RMSE for the user-based recommendation system is 1.0829394.

Evaluate item-based recommendation

I define the function named `item.predict.rate`. Given `userid`, `movieid` and recommended movie amount(`k`), I will calculate the `K` movies which had top-5 high similarity with given movie and also are rated by this `userid`. I will weight rating score and get the predicted rating values. In some special case, I could not get the predicted rating value, I adopt the average rating value from the training dataset as the default rating score.

```

item.predict.rate <- function(uid, mid, k) {
  item.rate <- data.frame(item.similarity[mid, ], users.movies.mtx[uid, ])
  item.rate <- item.rate[complete.cases(item.rate),]
  item.rate <- item.rate[order(item.rate[, 1], decreasing = TRUE),]
  if(dim(item.rate)[1] == 0) {

```

```

    mean.rate
  } else {
    top.k <- head(item.rate, k)
    if(sum(abs(top.k[,1])) == 0) {
      mean(top.k[,2])
    } else {
      sum(top.k[,1] * top.k[,2])/sum(abs(top.k[,1]))
    }
  }
}

item.predict.out<- apply(test.ratings, 1, function(x) {round(item.predict.rate(x[1],x[2],recommend.num)
item.error <- rmse(test.ratings$rating, item.predict.out)

```

The average RMSE for the item-based recommendation system is 1.2432785.

Conclusion

Given this 100K movie dataset, the accuracy of the user-based recommendation system is better than item-based recommendation system.

Further work

This dataset also include u.user and u.item. u.user includes more user information including gender,age and occupation. u.item includes more movie information include genre. In the user-based recommendation system, we can make use of the u.user and redefine similarity function. If we can consider gender and age information into our similarity function, we can get the higher prediction accuracy. For the same purpose, we also can consider genre attribute in the item-based recommendation system.

Reference

[Item-Based Collaborative Filtering Recommendation Algorithms](#)