### 1 The computation of the VIX

# 1.1 Properties of the derivatives of call an put prices with respect to K.

To save some notation, throughout these notes, assume that  $r = \delta = 0$ .

Let  $f(S_T)$  denote the risk-neutral distribution of  $S_T$ . Then a call (C) and a put (P) with strike price K maturing at the same date T are defined as

$$C(K) \equiv \int_{K}^{\infty} (S_T - K) f(S_T) dS_T, \quad P(K) \equiv \int_{0}^{K} (K - S_T) f(S_T) dS_T$$

Accordingly,

$$C_{K} = -\int_{K}^{\infty} f(S_{T}) dS_{T}, P_{K} = \int_{0}^{K} f(S_{T}) dS_{T}$$

$$C_{KK} = f(K), P_{KK} = f(K)$$
(1)

Note that equation (1) implies that  $-C_K$  is the value of a binary call (i.e., a contract that pays one dollar if  $S_T > K$ ). Similarly  $P_K$  is value of a binary put, i.e., a contract that delivers one dollar if  $S_T < K$ .

#### 1.2 The value of a portfolio of puts and calls

Let  $S_0$  be the current value of the stock. Recall also that  $r = \delta = 0$ . Define

$$V \equiv \int_{0}^{S_{0}} \frac{1}{K^{2}} P\left(K\right) dK + \int_{S_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{K^{2}} C\left(K\right) dK$$

Then we have

$$\int_{S_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{K^2} C(K) dK = \left[ -\frac{1}{K} C(K) \right]_{S_0}^{\infty} + \int_{S_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{K} C_K(K) dK 
= \left[ -\frac{1}{K} C(K) \right]_{S_0}^{\infty} + \left[ \log(K) C_K(K) \right]_{S_0}^{\infty} - \int_{S_0}^{\infty} \log(K) C_{KK}(K) dK 
= \frac{1}{S_0} C(S_0) - \log(S_0) C_K(S_0) - \int_{S_0}^{\infty} \log(K) C_{KK}(K) dK$$

and also

$$\int_{S_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{K^2} P(K) dK = \left[ -\frac{1}{K} P(K) \right]_0^{S_0} + \int_0^{S_0} \frac{1}{K} P_K(K) dK$$

$$= \left[ -\frac{1}{K} P(K) \right]_0^{S_0} + \left[ \log(K) P_K(K) \right]_0^{S_0} - \int_0^{S_0} \log(K) P_{KK}(K) dK$$

$$= -\frac{1}{S_0} P(S_0) + \log(S_0) P_K(S_0) - \int_0^{S_0} \log(K) P_{KK}(K) dK$$

Therefore

$$V = \frac{1}{S_0} [C(S_0) - P(S_0)] + \log(S_0) [P_K(S_0) - C_K(S_0)] - \int_0^\infty \log(x) f(x) dx$$

$$= \log(S_0) - \int_0^\infty \log(x) f(x) dx$$

$$= -E^Q(\log(S_T) - \log(S_0)), \qquad (2)$$

where we have used the put call parity  $C(S_0) - P(S_0) = S_0 - S_0 = 0$ , and the properties of  $P_K, C_K, P_{KK}, C_{KK}$ .

#### 1.3 Ito's Lemma and the portfolio V:

Recall that  $r = \delta = 0$ . Assume that

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = \mu dt + \sigma_t d\overline{W}_t$$

under the natural probability measure P. Under the risk neutral measure Q (and recalling  $r = \delta = 0$ ) we have that

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = \sigma_t dW_t.$$

Applying Ito's Lemma gives

$$d\log(S_t) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_t^2 dt + \sigma_t dW_t.$$

Integrating the above equation and taking expectations leads to

$$E^{Q}(\log(S_{T}) - \log(S_{0})) = -\frac{1}{2}E^{Q}\int_{0}^{T}\sigma_{t}^{2}dt.$$
 (3)

Combining (3) with (2) implies that

$$2V = E^Q \int_0^T \sigma_t^2 dt.$$

## 2 The relation between implied volatility and the risk neutral distribution.

Suppose that we observe the call function C(K). Letting  $\sigma(K)$  denote the implied volatility associated with the strike price K, we have (by definition of the implied volatility) that

$$C(K) = C^{BS}(K, \sigma(K)), \qquad (4)$$

where  $C^{BS}\left(K,\sigma\left(K\right)\right)$  is the Black Scholes formula. Differentiating both sides of (4) with respect to K leads to

$$C_K = C_K^{BS} + C_\sigma^{BS} \frac{d\sigma}{dK}.$$

Therefore

$$-C_K = -C_K^{BS} - C_\sigma^{BS} \frac{d\sigma}{dK}$$

or letting  $B\left(K\right),B^{BS}\left(K\right)$  denote the value of a digital call (and the respective digital call under the Black Scholes model), we have that

$$B(K) = B^{BS}(K) - C_{\sigma}^{BS} \frac{d\sigma}{dK},$$

where we used (1). Therefore

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dK} = \frac{1}{C_{\sigma}^{BS}} \left[ B^{BS} \left( K \right) - B \left( K \right) \right]$$

and the slope of the implied volatility curve  $\left(sign\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dK}\right)\right)$  depends on whether the value of a binary call is higher or lower than its Black Scholes counterpart (computed with the volatility  $\sigma\left(K\right)$ ).