Problem Set 5

Group Members: Sejal Bharati, Huanyu Liu, Tongsu Peng

Question 1

1. Ito's lemma: dM = \frac{\partial M}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial M}{\partial s}, ds, + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial M}{\partial s}, (ds,) + \frac{1}{2} \fr : only consider delta approach, we assume $T = \frac{\partial M}{\partial S} = 0$. and assume of = 1 dM= sids, + sids, = sims, of + sis, dW, + simside + sissed was = (Dims, + Dins,) dt + Dis,dWi+ DrossidWz E(dM) = s.u.s. + s.u.s. VaridM) = s. 6, 5, dt + s. 6, 5, dt + 2.0, s. 6.6, s. s. P.dt = 8,26,25,2+ 6,6,5,2 +28,8,6,6,5,5,P. P (M < Mo-Var) = 0.01. P(dM<-Vark)=0.01. U-2-3266=-Vark VaR = 2-3260-11= 2.326 (6,26,25,2+0,6,25,2+26,6,6,5,5,5). - 4,415,-6,4152 2. dM= 1, ds, + 1 - ds, + 1 [(ds,) + 1 [(ds,) + 1 [. ds, ds, ds, = A. u.s. dt + A. O.S. dW, + &. u.s. dt + D. 6. S. dWz + 1 [6.6.5] dt + 1 [6.6.5] dt + 1 [6.6.5] Sight E(dm) = Dillis, + orlis, + = [6:35; + = [6:5; + = [6:6:5, 52: P. Var(dM) = 0,26,25,2+ 6,26,25,2+ 2 4,8,6,5,5,5, p

VaR = 2.326.0.- M = 2326 [(1,615,2+1,015,2+2PD,02.6,615,15) - A, u.s. - A, u.s. - 1 [6,25,2-1 [5055,2-] [5,055,5. P.

Question 1

```
In [1]: from scipy.stats import norm, multivariate_normal
   import numpy as np
```

3.

```
In [2]: r = 0.00005
        sigma1 = 0.02
        sigma2 = 0.02
        rho = 0.4
        mu1 = 0.0003
        mu2 = 0.0003
        T = 126
        s1 = 99
        s2 = 101
        K = 100
        tau = T - 0
In [4]: | def parameters(s1,s2,gamma,sigma1,sigma2,sigma,tau,rho):
            alpha = gamma + sigma1 * np.sqrt(tau)
            beta = (np.log(s2/s1) - 0.5 * sigma * sigma * tau) / (sigma * np.sqr)
        t(tau))
            theta = (rho * sigma2 - sigma1) / sigma
            return alpha, beta, theta
In [7]: def option price(s1,s2,mu1,mu2,sigma1,sigma2,tau,rho,K,r):
            qamma1 = (np.log(s1/K) + (r - 0.5 * sigma1 * sigma1) * tau) / (sigma)
        1 * np.sqrt(tau))
            gamma2 = (np.log(s2/K) + (r - 0.5 * sigma2 * sigma2) * tau) / (sigma)
        2 * np.sqrt(tau))
            sigma = np.sqrt(sigma1 * sigma1 + sigma2 * sigma2 - 2 * rho * sigma1
        * sigma2)
            alphal, betal, thetal = parameters(s1,s2,gammal,sigmal,sigma2,sigma,
        tau, rho)
            alpha2, beta2, theta2 = parameters(s2,s1,gamma2,sigma2,sigma1,sigma,
        tau, rho)
            bivariate1 = multivariate normal(mean=[0,0],cov=[[1,theta1],[theta1,
        1]])
            N1 = bivariate1.cdf(np.vstack([alpha1,beta1]).T)
            bivariate2 = multivariate normal(mean=[0,0],cov=[[1,theta2],[theta2,
        1]])
            N2 = bivariate2.cdf(np.vstack([alpha2,beta2]).T)
```

bivariate3 = multivariate normal(mean=[0,0],cov=[[1,rho],[rho,1]])

N3 = bivariate3.cdf(np.vstack([gamma1,gamma2]).T)
price = s1 * N1 + s2 * N2 - K * np.exp(-r * tau) * N3

return price

```
In [8]: price = option_price(s1,s2,mu1,mu2,sigma1,sigma2,tau,rho,K,r)
    print(price)
```

3.8434420467809467

The price of the option at date 0 is 3.84344.

4.

Delta approach

```
In [9]: def option_delta(s1,s2,mu1,mu2,sigma1,sigma2,tau,rho,K,r,delta_s1=0,delt
a_s2=0):
    option_price_up = option_price(s1 + delta_s1,s2 + delta_s2,mu1,mu2,s
    igma1,sigma2,tau,rho,K,r)
        option_price_down = option_price(s1 - delta_s1,s2 - delta_s2,mu1,mu2
,sigma1,sigma2,tau,rho,K,r)
    return (option_price_up - option_price_down) / (2 * delta_s1 + 2 * d
    elta_s2)
In [10]: delta_s = 0.01
```

```
In [10]: delta_s = 0.01
    delta1 = option_delta(s1,s2,mu1,mu2,sigma1,sigma2,tau,rho,K,r,delta_s1=d
    elta_s)
    delta2 = option_delta(s1,s2,mu1,mu2,sigma1,sigma2,tau,rho,K,r,delta_s2=d
    elta_s)
    VaR_delta = 2.326 * np.sqrt((delta1 * sigma1 * s1) ** 2 + (delta2 * sigm
    a2 * s2) ** 2 + 2 * delta1 * delta2 * sigma1 * sigma2 * s1 * s2 * rho) -
    delta1 * mu1 * s1 - delta2 * mu2 * s2
    print(VaR_delta)
```

1.2318530065771665

VaR for delta approach is 1.231853.

Delta and Gamma approach

```
In [11]:
         def option_gamma(s1,s2,mu1,mu2,sigma1,sigma2,tau,rho,K,r,delta_s1=0,delt
         a s2=0):
             delta up = option delta(s1 + delta s1,s2 + delta s2,mu1,mu2,sigma1,s
         igma2,tau,rho,K,r,delta_s1=delta_s1,delta_s2=delta_s2)
             delta_down = option_delta(s1 - delta_s1,s2 - delta_s2,mu1,mu2,sigma1
         ,sigma2,tau,rho,K,r,delta s1=delta s1,delta s2=delta s2)
             return (delta_up - delta_down) / (2 * delta_s1 + 2 * delta_s2)
         gamma1 = option gamma(s1,s2,mu1,mu2,sigma1,sigma2,tau,rho,K,r,delta s1=d
         elta s,delta s2=0)
         gamma2 = option_gamma(s1,s2,mu1,mu2,sigma1,sigma2,tau,rho,K,r,delta_s1=0
         ,delta s2=delta s)
         delta up1 = option delta(s1,s2 + delta s,mu1,mu2,sigma1,sigma2,tau,rho,K
         ,r,delta s1=delta s,delta s2=0)
         delta down1 = option delta(s1,s2 - delta s,mu1,mu2,sigma1,sigma2,tau,rho
         ,K,r,delta s1=delta s,delta s2=0)
         gamma12 = (delta_up1 - delta_down1) / (2 * delta_s)
```

```
In [12]: VaR_gamma = 2.326 * np.sqrt((delta1 * sigma1 * s1) ** 2 + (delta2 * sigma2 * s2) ** 2 + 2 * delta1 * delta2 * sigma1 * sigma2 * s1 * s2 * rho) -
    delta1 * mu1 * s1 - delta2 * mu2 * s2 - 0.5 * gamma1 * sigma1 * sigma1 *
    s1 * s1 - 0.5 * gamma2 * gamma2 * s2 * s2 - gamma12 * sigma1 * sigma2 *
    s1 * s2 * rho
    print(VaR_gamma)
```

1.2156959395119131

VaR for delta-gamma approach is 1.2156959.

For delta approach, we assume gamma is 0, and with delta-gamma approach, gamma is positive. From Q2, we can see that VaR is smaller with positive gamma.

5.

```
In [15]: simulation_count = 100000
    normals = np.random.normal(0,1,simulation_count)
    normals1 = np.random.normal(0,1,simulation_count)
    s1_t1 = s1 + mu1 * s1 + s1 * sigma1 * normals
    s2_t1 = s2 + mu2 * s2 + s2 * sigma2 * (rho * normals + np.sqrt(1 - rho * rho) * normals1)
    price_t1 = option_price(s1_t1,s2_t1,mu1,mu2,sigma1,sigma2,tau - 1,rho,K,r)
    VaR_sim = price - np.sort(price_t1)[int(simulation_count * 0.01) - 1]
    print(VaR_sim)
```

1.1329095576438206

Simulated VaR is 1.13291.

- 1. Delta and Gamma are only the first and sencond term of the Taylor series. However, there are still higher degree terms are not captured by delta-gamma approach.
- 2. There is theta effect which is not captured by delta-gamma approach.

6.

Other types of risk are including Credit or Default Risk, Foreign-Exchange Risk, Interest Rate Risk and so on. If you had to worry about just one more risk, it should be interest rate risk.

I should consider some interest rate models to simulate and try to hedge interest rate risk. Some interest rate models include Merton model, Vesicek model, CIR model and so on.

3. Interview questions

1. The option with lower gamma has higher Vak

 $dp = 4 \cdot ds + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \cdot (ds)^*$, with larger gamma, the second term will be larger, so that dp is larger. $p(dp < -V_{aR}) = 0.01$ $p(-dp > V_{aR}) = 0.01$

2. $\frac{dr_i}{r_i} = ndt + 6dw$ $r_i = \frac{1}{r_i}$ so that VaR is smaller with lager gamma.

Ito's lemma. $dr_{s} = \frac{\partial r_{s}}{\partial t} \cdot dt + \frac{\partial r_{s}}{\partial r_{s}} dr_{s} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} r_{s}}{\partial r_{s}} (dr_{s})^{3}$ $= 0 + -\frac{1}{r_{s}} \cdot (udt \cdot r_{s} + 6r_{s}dw) + \frac{1}{2} \times 2 \cdot \frac{1}{r_{s}} \cdot 6^{2} \cdot r_{s}^{2} dt$ $= -\frac{1}{r_{s}} \cdot udt + \frac{1}{r_{s}} \cdot 6^{2} dt - \frac{1}{r_{s}} \cdot 6 \cdot dw$ $= (6^{2} - u_{s}) \cdot r_{s} dt - r_{s} \cdot 6 dw$ $\frac{dr_{s}}{r_{s}} = (6^{2} - u_{s}) \cdot dt - 6 dw$ $dr_{s} = 6^{2} - u_{s} \cdot dt - 6 dw$ $dr_{s} = 6^{2} - u_{s} \cdot dt - 6 dw$

3. You should bey stock and borrow.

clebta

At first delta neutral.

Total cletta = 0

Stock price decreases, and option delta will decrease as well. Total delta will be negative.

In order to adjust the hedge to delta = 0 again. You should buy stock, whose delta is 1.

Therefore, you should buy stock and borrow.