

# ITYM 2021 - Problem 2: Sequences of coprime integers

Presented by Philémon Varnet  
France

## Definition : $n$ -prime sequence

For a sequence of integers  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  (finite or infinite), we say it is  $n$ -prime for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if all the terms

$$a_1 + n, a_2 + n, a_3 + n, \dots$$

are pairwise coprime.

# Notations

- $(a, b)$  the GCD of  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $\mathcal{P}(E) := \{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid \exists a \in E, p \mid a\}$  the set of the prime divisors of a set  $E$ .
- we define, for an increasing sequence  $(a_i)$  of positive integers,  $\mathbf{DP}(a_i) := \mathcal{P}(a_i - a_j \mid i > j)$

# Notations

- $(a, b)$  the GCD of  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $\mathcal{P}(E) := \{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid \exists a \in E, p \mid a\}$  the set of the prime divisors of a set  $E$ .
- we define, for an increasing sequence  $(a_i)$  of positive integers,  $\mathbf{DP}(a_i) := \mathcal{P}(a_i - a_j \mid i > j)$

# Notations

- $(a, b)$  the GCD of  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $\mathcal{P}(E) := \{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid \exists a \in E, p \mid a\}$  the set of the prime divisors of a set  $E$ .
- we define, for an increasing sequence  $(a_i)$  of positive integers,  $\mathbf{DP}(a_i) := \mathcal{P}(a_i - a_j \mid i > j)$

# General case for finite sequences

**Proposition 7** : a positive and increasing sequence of integers  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  for  $k \geq 2$  is  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff  $k = 2$  and  $a_2 = a_1 + 1$ .

# General case for finite sequences

**Invasivity** : It is said that a sequence  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  of positive integers is *invasive* if there exists  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$  such that each element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has at least 2 antecedents by  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ .

**Examples :**

The sequence  $(a_i)_{i \leq 4} = (2, 5, 6, 9)$  gives  $\mathbf{DP}(a_i) = \{2, 3, 7\}$  so it is invasive with  $p = 2$  (2 odd and 2 even numbers).

The sequence  $(1, 2, 3)$  gives  $\mathbf{DP}(a_i) = \{2\}$  so it is not invasive (only 1 even).

# General case for finite sequences

**Invasivity** : It is said that a sequence  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  of positive integers is *invasive* if there exists  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$  such that each element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has at least 2 antecedents by  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ .

## Examples :

The sequence  $(a_i)_{i \leq 4} = (2, 5, 6, 9)$  gives  $\mathbf{DP}(a_i) = \{2, 3, 7\}$  so it is invasive with  $p = 2$  (2 odd and 2 even numbers).

The sequence  $(1, 2, 3)$  gives  $\mathbf{DP}(a_i) = \{2\}$  so it is not invasive (only 1 even).



# General case for finite sequences

**Invasivity** : It is said that a sequence  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  of positive integers is *invasive* if there exists  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$  such that each element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has at least 2 antecedents by  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ .

## Examples :

The sequence  $(a_i)_{i \leq 4} = (2, 5, 6, 9)$  gives  $\mathbf{DP}(a_i) = \{2, 3, 7\}$  so it is invasive with  $p = 2$  (2 odd and 2 even numbers).

The sequence  $(1, 2, 3)$  gives  $\mathbf{DP}(a_i) = \{2\}$  so it is not invasive (only 1 even).

# General case for finite sequences

- **Proposition 8** : A finite increasing sequence of positive integers  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  isn't  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff it's invasive.
- **Proposition 9** : A finite increasing sequence of positive integers is  $n$ -prime for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff it isn't invasive.

# General case for infinite sequences

**Proposition 10** : There isn't any infinite, increasing sequence of positive integers that is  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : such infinite sequence would contain a subsequence of arbitrary length  $n$ -prime for all  $n$ , contradicting prop. 5.

# General case for infinite sequences

**Proposition 10** : There isn't any infinite, increasing sequence of positive integers that is  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : such infinite sequence would contain a subsequence of arbitrary length  $n$ -prime for all  $n$ , contradicting prop. 5.

# General case for infinite sequences

Now we look at sequences which are  $n$ -prime for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We define :

- **$A$ -primality** : A finite or infinite sequence of increasing integers is said to be  $A$ -prime for a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  when it is  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in A$ .
- **$A$ -coveringness** : We say that a sequence  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  is  $A$ -covering if there exists  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  such that for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n \in A$  such that  $p \mid n + a_j$ . It is thus said to be *not*  $A$ -covering if, for any  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $n \in A$ ,  $p \nmid a_j + n$ .

# General case for infinite sequences

Now we look at sequences which are  $n$ -prime for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We define :

- **$A$ -primality** : A finite or infinite sequence of increasing integers is said to be  $A$ -prime for a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  when it is  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in A$ .
- **$A$ -coveringness** : We say that a sequence  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  is  $A$ -covering if there exists  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  such that for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n \in A$  such that  $p \mid n + a_j$ . It is thus said to be *not*  $A$ -covering if, for any  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $n \in A$ ,  $p \nmid a_j + n$ .

# General case for infinite sequences

Now we look at sequences which are  $n$ -prime for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We define :

- **A-primality** : A finite or infinite sequence of increasing integers is said to be *A-prime* for a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  when it is  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in A$ .
- **A-coveringness** : We say that a sequence  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  is *A-covering* if there exists  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  such that for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n \in A$  such that  $p \mid n + a_j$ . It is thus said to be *not A-covering* if, for any  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $n \in A$ ,  $p \nmid a_j + n$ .

# General case for infinite sequences

**Proposition 11** : There exist infinitely many infinite, increasing sequences of positive integers that are  $n$ -prime for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : we use the following algorithm to generate  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $A$  (an infinite set) s.t.  $(a_i)$  is  $A$ -prime:



# General case for infinite sequences

**Proposition 11** : There exist infinitely many infinite, increasing sequences of positive integers that are  $n$ -prime for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : we use the following algorithm to generate  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $A$  (an infinite set) s.t.  $(a_i)$  is  $A$ -prime:

# General case for infinite sequences

---

**Algorithm 1** Generate  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $A$

---

Generate  $(a_1, a_2, n)$  s.t.  $a_1 < a_2$  is  $n$ -prime and not  $\{n\}$ -covering (exists by Lemma 5)

$A_1 \leftarrow \{n\}$

$k \leftarrow 2$

**loop**

Generate  $a_{k+1}$  s.t.  $(a_i)_{i \leq k+1}$  is  $A_k$ -prime (exists by Lemma 3)

Generate  $A_{k+1}$  s.t.  $(a_i)_{i \leq k+1}$  is  $A_{k+1}$ -prime, not  $A_{k+1}$ -covering and  $|A_{k+1}| = k + 1$  (exists by Lemma 4)

$k \leftarrow k + 1$

**end loop**

$A \leftarrow \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$

---

# General case for infinite sequences

Partial answer : any infinite sequence containing a finite not  $n$ -prime for all  $n$  sequence is not  $n$ -prime for all  $n$  too. However, it is not a necessary criteria :

**Proposition 12** : There exist infinitely many increasing sequence of positive integers  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  non  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that any finite subsequence  $(b_i)_{i \in I}$  (with finite  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ) of  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $n$ -prime for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : we prove that all the sequences of the form  $(p_i + a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  where  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  fit.

# General case for infinite sequences

Partial answer : any infinite sequence containing a finite not  $n$ -prime for all  $n$  sequence is not  $n$ -prime for all  $n$  too. However, it is not a necessary criteria :

**Proposition 12** : There exist infinitely many increasing sequence of positive integers  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  non  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that any finite subsequence  $(b_i)_{i \in I}$  (with finite  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ) of  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $n$ -prime for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : we prove that all the sequences of the form  $(p_i + a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  where  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  fit.

# General case for infinite sequences

Partial answer : any infinite sequence containing a finite not  $n$ -prime for all  $n$  sequence is not  $n$ -prime for all  $n$  too. However, it is not a necessary criteria :

**Proposition 12** : There exist infinitely many increasing sequence of positive integers  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  non  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that any finite subsequence  $(b_i)_{i \in I}$  (with finite  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ) of  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $n$ -prime for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : we prove that all the sequences of the form  $(p_i + a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  where  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  fit.

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

Most of the previous results does not generalize with  $P(n)$ -prime sequences.

For example, if  $P(X) = X^2 - X$  and a sequence of even integers, then it is not  $P(n)$ -prime for all  $n$ .

In the other way, for example if for all  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$  and all  $i \in \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $P(X) = \prod_{p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)} (X^p - X)$ , then the sequence is  $n$ -prime for all  $n$ .

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

Most of the previous results does not generalize with  $P(n)$ -prime sequences.

For example, if  $P(X) = X^2 - X$  and a sequence of even integers, then it is not  $P(n)$ -prime for all  $n$ .

In the other way, for example if for all  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$  and all  $i \in \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $P(X) = \prod_{p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)} (X^p - X)$ , then the sequence is  $n$ -prime for all  $n$ .

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

Most of the previous results does not generalize with  $P(n)$ -prime sequences.

For example, if  $P(X) = X^2 - X$  and a sequence of even integers, then it is not  $P(n)$ -prime for all  $n$ .

In the other way, for example if for all  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$  and all  $i \in \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $P(X) = \prod_{p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)} (X^p - X)$ , then the sequence is  $n$ -prime for all  $n$ .



## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

But some results generalize!

**$P$ -invasivity** : We say that a sequence  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  is  $P$ -invasive if there exists  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$  such that each element of  $P(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  has at least 2 antecedents by  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ .

**Proposition 13** : An increasing sequence of positive integers  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  isn't  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff it's  $P$ -invasive.

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

But some results generalize!

**$P$ -invasivity** : We say that a sequence  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  is  $P$ -invasive if there exists  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$  such that each element of  $P(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  has at least 2 antecedents by  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ .

**Proposition 13** : An increasing sequence of positive integers  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  isn't  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff it's  $P$ -invasive.

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

But some results generalize!

**$P$ -invasivity** : We say that a sequence  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  is  $P$ -invasive if there exists  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$  such that each element of  $P(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  has at least 2 antecedents by  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ .

**Proposition 13** : An increasing sequence of positive integers  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  isn't  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff it's  $P$ -invasive.

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

We are now interested in the case where  $P = X^k$  for some integer  $k \geq 1$ .

For some prime number  $p$ , a known arithmetical result is that  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p : x \mapsto x^k$  is bijective iff  $(p-1, k) = 1$ . This leads to the following :

**Proposition 14** : If  $P(X) = X^k$  and for all  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$ ,  $(p-1, k) = 1$ , then  $(a_i)_{i \leq k}$  is  $n^k$ -prime for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff it is  $r$ -prime for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

We are now interested in the case where  $P = X^k$  for some integer  $k \geq 1$ .

For some prime number  $p$ , a known arithmetical result is that  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p : x \mapsto x^k$  is bijective iff  $(p-1, k) = 1$ . This leads to the following :

**Proposition 14** : If  $P(X) = X^k$  and for all  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$ ,  $(p-1, k) = 1$ , then  $(a_i)_{i \leq k}$  is  $n^k$ -prime for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff it is  $r$ -prime for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

We are now interested in the case where  $P = X^k$  for some integer  $k \geq 1$ .

For some prime number  $p$ , a known arithmetical result is that  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p : x \mapsto x^k$  is bijective iff  $(p-1, k) = 1$ . This leads to the following :

**Proposition 14** : If  $P(X) = X^k$  and for all  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$ ,  $(p-1, k) = 1$ , then  $(a_i)_{i \leq k}$  is  $n^k$ -prime for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff it is  $r$ -prime for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

Let's remark we just use the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p : x \mapsto P(x)$  is bijective for all  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$ .

We then define :

**PP** : Let  $p$  a prime number. We say that a polynomial with integers coefficients is a *Permutation Polynomial* (**PP**) of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  if  $x \mapsto P(x)$  is a bijection of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

Let's remark we just use the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p : x \mapsto P(x)$  is bijective for all  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$ .

We then define :

**PP** : Let  $p$  a prime number. We say that a polynomial with integers coefficients is a *Permutation Polynomial* (**PP**) of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  if  $x \mapsto P(x)$  is a bijection of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .



## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

Let's remark we just use the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p : x \mapsto P(x)$  is bijective for all  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$ .

We then define :

**PP** : Let  $p$  a prime number. We say that a polynomial with integers coefficients is a *Permutation Polynomial* (**PP**) of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  if  $x \mapsto P(x)$  is a bijection of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

**Proposition 15** : Let  $(a_i)_{i \leq k}$  a finite increasing sequence of positive integers. If  $P$  is a **PP** of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for all  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$ , is  $P(n)$ -prime for a certain  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff it is  $r$ -prime for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 16** (Hermite's Criterion) : A polynomial with integers coefficients  $P$  is a **PP** of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  iff :

- ▶  $P$  has exactly one root in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$
- ▶ For each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t \leq q - 2$  and  $p \nmid t$ , the reduction of  $P(X)^t \bmod X^p - X$  has degree  $\leq p - 2$ .

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

**Proposition 15** : Let  $(a_i)_{i \leq k}$  a finite increasing sequence of positive integers. If  $P$  is a **PP** of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for all  $p \in \mathbf{DP}(a_i)$ , is  $P(n)$ -prime for a certain  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff it is  $r$ -prime for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 16** (Hermite's Criterion) : A polynomial with integers coefficients  $P$  is a **PP** of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  iff :

- ▶  $P$  has exactly one root in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$
- ▶ For each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t \leq q - 2$  and  $p \nmid t$ , the reduction of  $P(X)^t \bmod X^p - X$  has degree  $\leq p - 2$ .

Thank for listening!

For  $k = 2$

**Proposition 1** : Let  $a_1 < a_2$  be a sequence of two positive integers. There are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which the sequence is  $n$ -prime.

**Sketch of proof** :  $n$  of the form  $\ell(a_2 - a_1) - a_1 + 1$  work.

**Example** : with  $a_1 = 3, a_2 = 12$ , we take  $n$  of the form  $9\ell - 2$ . Indeed,

$$1 = (10, 19) = (19, 28) = (28, 37) = (37, 46) = \dots$$

For  $k = 2$

**Proposition 1** : Let  $a_1 < a_2$  be a sequence of two positive integers. There are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which the sequence is  $n$ -prime.

**Sketch of proof** :  $n$  of the form  $\ell(a_2 - a_1) - a_1 + 1$  work.

**Example** : with  $a_1 = 3, a_2 = 12$ , we take  $n$  of the form  $9\ell - 2$ . Indeed,

$$1 = (10, 19) = (19, 28) = (28, 37) = (37, 46) = \dots$$

For  $k = 2$

**Proposition 1** : Let  $a_1 < a_2$  be a sequence of two positive integers. There are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which the sequence is  $n$ -prime.

**Sketch of proof** :  $n$  of the form  $\ell(a_2 - a_1) - a_1 + 1$  work.

**Example** : with  $a_1 = 3, a_2 = 12$ , we take  $n$  of the form  $9\ell - 2$ . Indeed,

$$1 = (10, 19) = (19, 28) = (28, 37) = (37, 46) = \dots$$

For  $k = 2$

**Proposition 2 :** Let  $a_1 < a_2$  be a sequence of two positive integers. Such sequence is  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff  $a_2 = a_1 + 1$ .

**Sketch of proof :** If  $a_2 > a_1 + 1$ , if  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $a_2 - a_1$ ,  $n \equiv -a_1 \pmod{p}$  contradicts  $n$ -primality. Otherwise  $a_1 + n$  and  $a_2 + n$  are consecutive so coprime.



For  $k = 2$

**Proposition 2 :** Let  $a_1 < a_2$  be a sequence of two positive integers. Such sequence is  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff  $a_2 = a_1 + 1$ .

**Sketch of proof :** If  $a_2 > a_1 + 1$ , if  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $a_2 - a_1$ ,  $n \equiv -a_1 \pmod{p}$  contradicts  $n$ -primality. Otherwise  $a_1 + n$  and  $a_2 + n$  are consecutive so coprime.

For  $k = 3$

- **Proposition 3** : Let  $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$  be a sequence of three positive integers. There are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which the sequence is  $n$ -prime.

**Sketch of proof** : We choose appropriate  $n$  via CRT.

- **Proposition 4** : There are no sequence of positive integers  $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$   $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : if it is  $n$ -prime for all  $n$ , it would be the same for its 3 subsequences which yields to a contradiction by prop. 2.

For  $k \geq 4$

- **Proposition 5** : For  $k \geq 4$ , there are no sequence of positive integers  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$   $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : direct corollary of prop. 4.

- **Proposition 6** : For  $k \geq 4$ , there exists sequences of positive integers  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  which are  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : the sequence defined by  $a_k = k$  works by parity.

For  $k \geq 4$

- **Proposition 5** : For  $k \geq 4$ , there are no sequence of positive integers  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$   $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : direct corollary of prop. 4.

- **Proposition 6** : For  $k \geq 4$ , there exists sequences of positive integers  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  which are  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : the sequence defined by  $a_k = k$  works by parity.

For  $k \geq 4$

- **Proposition 5** : For  $k \geq 4$ , there are no sequence of positive integers  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$   $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : direct corollary of prop. 4.

- **Proposition 6** : For  $k \geq 4$ , there exists sequences of positive integers  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  which are  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : the sequence defined by  $a_k = k$  works by parity.

For  $k \geq 4$

- **Proposition 5** : For  $k \geq 4$ , there are no sequence of positive integers  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$   $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : direct corollary of prop. 4.

- **Proposition 6** : For  $k \geq 4$ , there exists sequences of positive integers  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  which are  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Sketch of proof** : the sequence defined by  $a_k = k$  works by parity.

the sequence of odd squares is non  $n$ -prime for all  $n$

**Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progression** : Let  $m, n$  coprime positive integers. Then there exist infinitely primes  $p$  s.t.  $p \equiv m \pmod{n}$ .

**Law of quadratic reciprocity** : Let  $p, q$  distinct odd primes. We define the *Legendre symbol* as :

$$\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n^2 \equiv a \pmod{q} \text{ for some integer } n \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then :

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}}$$

the sequence of odd squares is non  $n$ -prime for all  $n$

**Claim :** The sequence of odd squares is non  $n$ -prime for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof :** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8n}$  (which exist since 1 and  $8n$  are coprime). We set  $n = a^2 m$  where  $m = \prod q_i$  is squarefree. Then, by Law of quadratic reciprocity and properties of Legendre symbol :

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{-n}{p} \right) &= \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) \left( \frac{a^2}{p} \right) \left( \frac{2}{p} \right)^\varepsilon \prod \left( \frac{q_i}{p} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 1^\varepsilon \prod \left( \frac{p}{q_i} \right) (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(q_i-1)}{4}} = 1 \end{aligned}$$



the sequence of odd squares is non  $n$ -prime for all  $n$

So there exist  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a^2 \equiv -n \pmod{p}$ . We can suppose  $a$  odd since if  $a$  is even,  $a + p$  is odd. So  $a^2$  is odd, hence  $a^2$  is in the sequence of odd squares, and  $(a + 2p)^2$  too, but  $a^2 + n \equiv (a + 2p)^2 + n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , so  $p \mid (a^2 + n, (a + 2p)^2 + n)$  which is then not 1, so the sequence is not  $n$ -prime ! ■

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

**Lemma 6\*** : If a finite sequence of positive integers is  $P(n)$ -prime for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then it is  $r$ -prime for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 7\*** : Let be an integer  $k \geq 2$  and  $(a_i)_{i \leq k}$  an increasing finite sequence of positive integers  $P(m)$ -prime for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then it is  $P(n)$ -prime for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## finite $P(n)$ -prime sequences

**Lemma 6\*** : If a finite sequence of positive integers is  $P(n)$ -prime for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then it is  $r$ -prime for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 7\*** : Let be an integer  $k \geq 2$  and  $(a_i)_{i \leq k}$  an increasing finite sequence of positive integers  $P(m)$ -prime for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then it is  $P(n)$ -prime for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .