

Review: Crop adaptation through post-domestication introgression from wild relatives

Authors: Garrett M. Janzen¹, Li Wang¹, and Matthew B. Hufford^{1,*}

¹Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Organismal Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, USA

*Correspondence: mhufford@iastate.edu (M.B. Hufford)

The traditional paradigm in crop domestication has been origin from a wild relative within one or more defined geographic centers followed by expansion to the modern-day extent of cultivation. Absent from this paradigm are the effects of hybridization between diffusing domesticates and closely-related, locally-adapted wild relatives outside the center of origin. New methods have recently been employed to detect genome-wide patterns of introgression in a number of species. In this review, we will: 1) briefly describe these methods and provide a summary of their recent application for detection of crop-wild introgression, 2) review evidence supporting the hypothesis that wild-to-crop introgression has conferred local adaptation, 3) consider how the prevalence of this introgression alters traditional concepts of domestication, and 4) describe future advances in both basic and applied genetics that can be made through the study of introgression in agroecosystems.

Introgression methods and their recent applications

The recent availability of genome-wide resequencing and reduced-representation genotyping (*e.g.*, GBS and RAD-Seq) data combined with new analytical methods have facilitated comprehensive study of introgression across a number of species (**Table 1**).

1. High-density marker data can be used with haplotype-based and other methods to assign specific genomic regions to a taxon of origin and to identify introgression across taxa.
2. In several instances, introgression has been found to be conserved across individuals and populations suggesting an adaptive role:
 - (a) Adaptive introgression has been discovered from Neanderthals and other archaic hominins into humans at loci controlling skin pigmentation, defense against pathogens, and tolerance of high altitude (reviewed in [1]).
 - (b) Introgression across butterfly species at protective color-pattern loci has conferred Müllerian mimicry ([2]; **Figure 1**).
 - (c) Adaptive introgression across mosquito species has spread insecticide resistance [3].
 - (d) Introgression across *Mimulus* (*i.e.*, monkeyflower) species has resulted in adaptation to pollinator preference and has contributed to speciation [4].
3. Identification of introgression across a wide range of taxa at loci controlling a variety of adaptive traits suggests it has been an important evolutionary force.

Table 1: List and brief description of recently developed methods and examples of empirical studies employing these methods.

Figure 1: Wing coloration patterns in *Heliconius* and evidence for introgression across species based on Patterson’s D -statistic; adapted from [2].

Crop adaptation through introgression

Over the last few years, several high-profile publications based on genome-wide data have documented introgression between crops and wild relatives outside putative domestication centers. A history of introgression during diffusion appears to be rule for crops rather an exception.

1. Crops, given their frequent history of diffusion from defined centers of origin, are ideal recipients of adaptive introgression. Theory suggests that such colonizing species will overwhelmingly be recipients rather than donors of introgression [5].
2. Empirical studies are revealing that introgression has occurred in many of the world’s most important crops.
 - (a) Maize: As maize spread from a lowland center of origin it received introgression from a highland-adapted wild relative [6]
 - (b) Sunflower: [7]
 - (c) Rice: [8]
 - (d) Barley: [9]
 - (e) Olive: [10]
 - (f) Cassava: [11]

Reevaluating concepts of domestication

Future studies in crop-wild introgression

References

- [1] F. Racimo, S. Sankararaman, R. Nielsen, and E. Huerta-Sanchez, “Evidence for archaic adaptive introgression in humans,” *Nat Rev Genet*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 359–371, 2015.
- [2] T. H. G. Consortium, “Butterfly genome reveals promiscuous exchange of mimicry adaptations among species,” *Nature*, vol. 487, no. 7405, pp. 94–98, 2012.
- [3] L. C. Norris, B. J. Main, Y. Lee, T. C. Collier, A. Fofana, A. J. Cornel, and G. C. Lanzaro, “Adaptive introgression in an african malaria mosquito coincident with the increased usage of insecticide-treated bed nets,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 112, no. 3, pp. 815–820, 2015.
- [4] S. Stankowski and M. A. Streisfeld, “Introgressive hybridization facilitates adaptive divergence in a recent radiation of monkeyflowers,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 282, no. 1814, 2015.

- [5] M. Currat, M. Ruedi, R. J. Petit, and L. Excoffier, “The hidden side of invasions: massive introgression by local genes,” *Evolution*, vol. 62, no. 8, pp. 1908–1920, 2008.
- [6] M. Hufford, P. Lubinsky, T. Pyhäjärvi, M. Devengenzo, N. Ellstrand, and J. Ross-Ibarra, “The genomic signature of crop-wild introgression in maize,” *PLoS Genetics*, vol. 9, no. 5, p. e1003477, 2013.
- [7] G. J. Baute, N. C. Kane, C. J. Grassa, Z. Lai, and L. H. Rieseberg, “Genome scans reveal candidate domestication and improvement genes in cultivated sunflower, as well as post-domestication introgression with wild relatives,” *New Phytologist*, vol. 206, no. 2, pp. 830–838, 2015.
- [8] X. Huang, N. Kurata, X. Wei, Z.-X. Wang, A. Wang, Q. Zhao, Y. Zhao, K. Liu, H. Lu, W. Li, Y. Guo, Y. Lu, C. Zhou, D. Fan, Q. Weng, C. Zhu, T. Huang, L. Zhang, Y. Wang, L. Feng, H. Furuumi, T. Kubo, T. Miyabayashi, X. Yuan, Q. Xu, G. Dong, Q. Zhan, C. Li, A. Fujiyama, A. Toyoda, T. Lu, Q. Feng, Q. Qian, J. Li, and B. Han, “A map of rice genome variation reveals the origin of cultivated rice,” *Nature*, vol. 490, pp. 497–501, 2012.
- [9] A. M. Poets, Z. Fang, M. T. Clegg, and P. L. Morrell, “Barley landraces are characterized by geographically heterogeneous genomic origins,” *Genome Biology*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2015.
- [10] C. M. Diez, I. Trujillo, N. Martinez-Urdiroz, D. Barranco, L. Rallo, P. Marfil, and B. S. Gaut, “Olive domestication and diversification in the mediterranean basin,” *New Phytologist*, vol. 206, no. 1, pp. 436–447, 2015.
- [11] J. V. Bredeson, J. B. Lyons, S. E. Prochnik, G. A. Wu, C. M. Ha, E. Edsinger-Gonzales, J. Grimwood, J. Schmutz, I. Y. Rabbi, C. Egesi, P. Nauluvula, V. Lebot, J. Ndunguru, G. Mkamilo, R. S. Bart, T. L. Setter, R. M. Gleadow, P. Kulakow, M. E. Ferguson, S. Rounsley, and D. S. Rokhsar, “Sequencing wild and cultivated cassava and related species reveals extensive interspecific hybridization and genetic diversity,” *Nat Biotech*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 562–570, 2016.