NASA Technical Memorandum 104530

From Differential to Difference Equations for First Order ODEs

Alan D. Freed
Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio

and

Kevin P. Walker
Engineering Science Software, Inc.
Smithfield, Rhode Island

July 1991

NASA

From Differential to Difference Equations
for First Order ODEs*

Alan D. Freed
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Kevin P. Walker
Engineering Science Software, Inc.
Smithfield, Rhode Island 02917

Abstract

When constructing an algorithm for the numerical integration of a differential equation, one should first convert the known ordinary *differential* equation (ODE) into an ordinary *difference* equation (OΔE). Given this difference equation, one can then develop an appropriate numerical algorithm. This technical note describes the derivation of two such OΔEs applicable to a first order ODE. The implicit OΔE has the same asymptotic expansion as the ODE itself; whereas, the explicit OΔE has an asymptotic expansion that is similar in structure but different in value when compared with that of the ODE.

Many physical processes can be represented by systems of first order ODEs of the form

$$\dot{X}_\alpha + P_\alpha X_\alpha = Q_\alpha \qquad (\text{for } \alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, N) , \tag{1}$$

or equivalently as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{X}_\alpha &= F_\alpha[X_\beta, t] \\
&\equiv Q_\alpha[X_\beta, t] - P_\alpha[X_\beta, t]\, X_\alpha \qquad (\text{for } \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \ldots, N) ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2}
$$

where the X_α are the N independent variables to be solved for, which may be scalar, vector or tensor valued in applications. The parameters P_α (a scalar), and F_α and Q_α (of the same rank/type as X_α), are functions of the variables X_β and t, in general. If neither P_α or Q_α depends on X_β for all α, β, then the system of equations is said to be linear; otherwise, it is nonlinear. The dot ' ' is used to denote differentiation with respect to time, t. We choose time to be the dependent variable for illustrative purposes, as it so often is in physical applications; however, this is not a necessary restriction on the theory presented herein.

---

*A technical note prepared for the *Journal of Computational Physics*.

The ODE of equations (1) and (2) is a point function in time. Numerical integration algorithms, however, are based on functions evaluated over an interval in time. We therefore introduce the first order OΔE

$$\frac{\Delta X_\alpha}{\Delta t} = \mathcal{F}_\alpha[X_\beta, \Delta t, \tau] \,, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\Delta X_\alpha = X_\alpha[t + \Delta t] - X_\alpha[t] \,, \tag{4}$$

and therefore

$$X_\alpha[t + \Delta t] = X_\alpha[t] + \mathcal{F}_\alpha[X_\beta, \Delta t, \tau] \cdot \Delta t \,. \tag{5}$$

The OΔE represents the ODE in numerical integration algorithms. The formulation is implicit when $\tau = t + \Delta t$; whereas, it is explicit when $\tau = t$. The development of existing algorithms begins with the tacit assumption that $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \equiv F_\alpha$. We shall now show that this assumption is in error. This is accomplished by analytically integrating the ODE of (1) in a recursive manner, which permits the difference function $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ in (3) to be determined for the OΔE.

One can introduce an integrating factor into the differential equation (1) and thereby obtain the recursive integral equation [1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_\alpha[t + \Delta t] &= \exp\left[-\int_{\xi=t}^{t+\Delta t} P_\alpha[X_\beta, \xi]\, d\xi \right] X_\alpha[t] \\
&+ \int_{\xi=t}^{t+\Delta t} \exp\left[-\int_{\zeta=\xi}^{t+\Delta t} P_\alpha[X_\beta, \zeta]\, d\zeta \right] Q_\alpha[X_\beta, \xi]\, d\xi \,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{6}
$$

which is an exact solution to this first order ODE. John Bernoulli [2] developed a nonrecursive solution similar to (6) in 1697 for the equation $\dot{X} = aX + bX^n$, whose solution was sought by Jacob Bernoulli [3] in 1695. John's solution was expressed as a quadrature because the integral of dz/z in the form of a logarithm was not generally known until later that same year [4].

The authors have obtained a variety of approximate and exact solutions to (6) by representing the parameters P_α and Q_α with series expansions, and integrating them term by term. The resulting linear approximation, which was acquired by using Taylor series expansions, is given by [1]

$$X_\alpha[t + \Delta t] = e^{-P_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau] \cdot \Delta t} X_\alpha[t] + \left(1 - e^{-P_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau] \cdot \Delta t}\right) \frac{Q_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau]}{P_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau]} + O\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \frac{Q_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau]}{P_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau]}\right] \,. \tag{7}$$

This approximation becomes exact whenever P_α and Q_α are both constants, *i.e.* whenever the ODE is linear.

Rearranging the recursive solution (7) into a difference equation, one obtains two new relationships; they are, for the implicit case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_\alpha[X_\beta, \Delta t, t + \Delta t] &= \left(\frac{1 - e^{-P_\alpha[X_\beta, t+\Delta t] \cdot \Delta t}}{P_\alpha[X_\beta, t+\Delta t] \cdot \Delta t} \right) \left(P_\alpha[X_\beta, t+\Delta t] \cdot \Delta X_\alpha + F_\alpha[X_\beta, t+\Delta t] \right) \\
&+ O\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial(t+\Delta t)} \frac{Q_\alpha[X_\beta, t+\Delta t]}{P_\alpha[X_\beta, t+\Delta t]} \right] \,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

2

and for the explicit case,

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha[X_\beta, \Delta t, t] = \left(\frac{1 - e^{-P_\alpha[X_\beta, t] \cdot \Delta t}}{P_\alpha[X_\beta, t] \cdot \Delta t}\right) F_\alpha[X_\beta, t] + O\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{Q_\alpha[X_\beta, t]}{P_\alpha[X_\beta, t]}\right] . \tag{9}$$

Notice the presence of an extra ΔX_α term in the implicit relation (8), which acts as a correction to the derivative F_α, and which is not present in the explicit relation (9).

The coefficient $(1 - e^{-P_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau] \cdot \Delta t})/P_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau] \cdot \Delta t$ is a correction factor of the first order that results from taking the differential function F_α at time τ and converting it into a difference function $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ at time τ taken over the interval $(t, t + \Delta t)$. (Higher order solutions for the difference function $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ will be given in a future paper.) This coefficient goes to 1 in the limit as Δt goes to 0, as it must so as to recover the differential; in other words,

$$\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \mathcal{F}_\alpha[X_\beta, \Delta t, \tau] = F_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau] \tag{10}$$

for both the implicit and explicit cases. A note of caution when writing computer code. In the neighborhood of $P_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau] \cdot \Delta t \approx 0$, one needs to expand $(1 - e^{-P_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau] \cdot \Delta t})/P_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau] \cdot \Delta t$ into a power series to secure a sound computational algorithm.

The presence of the coefficient $(1 - e^{-P_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau] \cdot \Delta t})/P_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau] \cdot \Delta t$ also introduces desirable asymptotic characteristics into our numerical approximations. In particular, for the implicit case where $\tau = t + \Delta t$, the asymptotic expansion for the OΔE (3 with 8) is given by

$$\lim_{\substack{\Delta t \to \text{large} \\ P_\alpha > 0}} X_\alpha[t + \Delta t] \asymp \frac{Q_\alpha[X_\beta, t + \Delta t]}{P_\alpha[X_\beta, t + \Delta t]} , \tag{11}$$

which is also the asymptotic expansion of the ODE (1 & 2). In contrast, for the explicit case where $\tau = t$, the asymptotic expansion for the OΔE (3 with 9) is given by

$$\lim_{\substack{\Delta t \to \text{large} \\ P_\alpha > 0}} X_\alpha[t + \Delta t] \asymp \frac{Q_\alpha[X_\beta, t]}{P_\alpha[X_\beta, t]} . \tag{12}$$

These two asymptotic expansions differ only in when their parameters P_α and Q_α are evaluated. For large time steps, the implicit case is asymptotically accurate and stable for exponentially decaying solutions, i.e. when $P_\alpha[X_\beta, t] > 0 \; \forall \; t$. Stability becomes an issue only when $P_\alpha < 0$. In constrast, the explicit case will oscillate around the true solution for large time steps, but with much less potential of becoming unbounded when compared with equivalent algorithms constructed without our correction coefficient. These oscillations can be mitigated only by choosing smaller time steps.

The second integral in (6) is a Laplace [5] integral where the integrand has its largest value at the upper limit, $t + \Delta t$, and possesses an evanescent memory of the forcing function, $Q_\alpha[X_\beta, \xi]$, provided that $P_\alpha[X_\beta, \zeta] > 0$ over the interval $(t, t + \Delta t)$. This fading memory means that the solution will depend mainly on the recent values of the forcing function, and that by concentrating the accuracy on the recent past we obtain accurate asymptotic representations of the solution.

In the implicit solution, the integrands in (6) were expanded in Taylor series about their upper limits [1], where each integrand has its largest value and contributes the most to the

3

integral. By retaining but a single term in the Taylor series expansions the integrands are accurately approximated where they are largest, and the neglect of the higher order terms is only felt near the lower limits where each integrand contributes only a small amount to the integral because of its exponential decay from the upper limit. The neglect of the higher order terms in the Taylor series thus results in an algorithm that is asymptotically correct at the upper limit. Normally, when treating asymptotic expansions, the exponential decay of the integrand allows the lower limit to be replaced with zero or minus infinity to ease the integration. This was not done in the present case, however, so that by retaining the lower limit as t, we obtain a uniformly valid asymptotic algorithm in the implicit approximation provided that $P_\alpha[X_\beta, \zeta] > 0$ over the interval $(t, t+\Delta t)$.

In the explicit solution, the integrands in (6) were expanded in Taylor series about their lower limits [1], where the neglect of the higher order terms in the Taylor series results in integrands that become progressively more inaccurate as they approach their upper limits where the contribution from each integrand is most important. The explicit approximation is not, therefore, a valid asymptotic representation of the integral when the Taylor series is truncated at a finite number of terms. However, when $P_\alpha[X_\beta, \zeta] < 0$ over the interval $(t, t+\Delta t)$, the reverse situation occurs. In this case the asymptotic solution is now obtained by expanding the integrands about their lower limits, where this region of each integrand now contributes the most to the integral. Here the implicit method, obtained by expanding about the upper limit, does not give a valid asymptotic representation of the integral.

In conclusion, one only needs to replace the *differential* function $F_\alpha[X_\beta, \tau]$ with the appropriate *difference* function $\mathcal{F}_\alpha[X_\beta, \Delta t, \tau]$ in many existing numerical integration methods (*e.g.* Euler and Runge-Kutta) to construct an appropriate OΔE for the numerical integration of a given ODE, and thereby obtain substantial improvements in their performance. We have demonstrated this in references [1, 6].

References

[1] K.P. WALKER and A.D. FREED, "Asymptotic Integration Algorithms for Nonhomogeneous, Nonlinear, First Order, Ordinary Differential Equations," (NASA TM–103793, 1991). Submitted to: *J. Comp. Phys.*

[2] JOHN BERNOULLI, "DE CONOIDIBUS ET SPHÆROIDIBUS, quedam. Solutio analytica Æquationis," *Acta Eruditorum publicata Lipsiæ*, pp. 113–118 (1697).

[3] JACOB BERNOULLI, "EXPLICATIONES, ANNOTATIONES ET ADDITIONES, Ad ea, quæin Actis fup. anni de Curva Elaftica, Ifochrona Paracentrica, O Velaria, binc inde memorata, O partim controverfa leguntur; ubi de Linea mediarum directionum, aliisque novis," *Acta Eruditorum publicata Lipsiæ*, pp. 179–193 (1695).

[4] E.L. INCE, *Ordinary Differential Equations,* (Dover, New York, 1956) p. 531.

[5] P.S. LAPLACE, *Théorie Analytique des Probabilités*, Vol. 1, (Paris, 1820).

[6] A.D. FREED and K.P. WALKER, "Exponential Integration Algorithms Applied to Viscoplasticity," (NASA TM–104461, 1991). To appear in: *Complas III*, edited by E. Onãte *et al.*, Barcelona, 6–10 April, 1992.

NASA
National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Report Documentation Page

1. Report No. NASA TM-104530	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.

4. Title and Subtitle	5. Report Date
From Differential to Difference Equations for First Order ODEs	July 1991
	6. Performing Organization Code

7. Author(s)	8. Performing Organization Report No.
Alan D. Freed and Kevin P. Walker	E-6406
	10. Work Unit No. 553-13-00

9. Performing Organization Name and Address	11. Contract or Grant No.
National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135-3191	
	13. Type of Report and Period Covered

12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address	Technical Memorandum
National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546-0001	14. Sponsoring Agency Code

15. Supplementary Notes

16. Abstract

When constructing an algorithm for the numerical integration of a differential equation, one should first convert the known ordinary differential equation (ODE) into an ordinary difference equation (OΔE). Given this difference equation, one can the develop an appropriate numerical algorithm. This technical note describes the derivation of two such OΔEs applicable to a first order ODE. The implicit OΔE has the same asymptotic expansion as the ODE itself; whereas, the explicit OΔE has an asymptotic expansion that is similar in structure but different in value when compared with that of the ODE.

17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))	18. Distribution Statement
Numerical methods Integration	Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 64

19. Security Classif. (of the report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21. No. of pages	22. Price*
Unclassified	Unclassified	6	A02

NASA FORM 1626 OCT 86   *For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161