

ENCODING THE W-DOT IN SYLLABICS

CHRIS HARVEY © 2010

CONTENTS

WHAT IS IT?
SIMILAR SYMBOLS
ENCODING THE W-DOT
IMPLICATIONS

WHAT IS IT?

In the syllabics orthographies of the Algonquian languages: Cree, Ojibwe, and Oji-Cree, there are two categories of symbols: the full syllabic character and the final. A *final* is simply a consonant symbol which is written when that sound is at the end of a syllable: the **n** in **giin** (ᑭᑎ) is a final and is written ᑎ or ᑏ (depending on the dialect). A *full syllabic character* is a single symbol which incorporates an initial consonant (or no consonant at all) plus one vowel: ᑭ is pronounced **na** – the one symbol contains both the **n** sound as well as the vowel **a**.

For foreign words written in Syllabics, some syllable formations cannot be written with the standard Consonant + Vowel. In these situations, finals can be used in non-standard positions. For example, Chris could be written ᑕᕐᕐᕐᕐ ($k-r-i-s$). Typically, once a word has been fully adopted into the language it takes a more Algonquian phonetic pattern. Some sounds, like **h**, only have a final form.

With finals and full syllabic characters, it is possible to write any syllable which fits the syllable pattern: V, VC, CV or CVC (where C is any consonant and V is any vowel), for example: ◁ ▷ < <◌ (a, an, pa, pan). In addition to these syllable types, the Algonquian languages mentioned above commonly put a **w**-sound in between a consonant and a vowel: as in **ēkwa**. The syllabification of this word is *ē-kwa*, not *ēk-wa*, so using a k-final here (▷◁) would be incorrect (as indicated by the red colour) and clunky. Instead, a mid-level dot is written beside the full syllabic character to indicate the inserted w-sound: ▽◌. The w-dot is a marker attached to a full syllabic character indicating that a w-sound should be pronounced before the vowel sound.

Languages and dialects position the w-dot differently. Generally speaking, the further east you travel, the more likely the w-dot will occur *before* the full syllabic character, the further west, the w-dot will probably occur *after* the full syllabic character. Thus **miinwa** could be ᄃᄆᄆ or ᄃᄆᄆ: depending on the dialect.

SIMILAR SYMBOLS

Syllabics uses a dot-like symbol in different contexts to mean different things.

1. The w-dot (mid-level) indicates a w-sound is pronounced between the consonant and vowel in a full syllabic character. The position of the w-dot – before or after the full syllabic character – depends on dialect. Both ḃ and ḅ are pronounced **kwa**.
2. A dot directly above a full syllabic character marks a long vowel: ḃ has a short vowel **ka**, ḅ has a long vowel **kā**. Many writers choose not to write the long-vowel dot as very few words are differentiated only by vowel length: **maci** ᐃᑦ *bad* vs **māci** ᐃᑦ *begin to do*. Texts which contain the long-vowel dot are called *pointed* to differentiate them from texts with no vowel-length marking.
3. A high-level dot on its own is used as a y-final in some dialects of Algonquian

The **e** vowel in Cree, Ojibwe, and Ojibwe is always long, consequently syllabics writing does not need to put the long-vowel dot atop a full syllabics character with the vowel **e**. ▽

languages. The y-dot can be seen at the end of the unpointed word **āsay** *already*.

A word with all of the first three types of dot is **kīkwāy** Ṗḃ◌ what

4. Some writers prefer a Latin-style dot-period over the syllabics x-shaped period x
5. In an archaic form of Moose Cree writing, a mid-level dot is used for a w-sound at the *end* of a syllable, in other words, a w-final. Because Moose Cree places its mid-syllable w-dot before the full syllabic character, there is no chance in confusing the mid-syllable w-dot with the final w-dot. For example: **kis^{mid}isw^{mid}ew** ᐅᐅᐅᐅ *s/he is cooking that animate thing*. The modern w-final is a ring o, ᐅᐅᐅᐅo.

ENCODING THE W-DOT

Unicode is the current, universal system by which languages of the world encode their letters and characters on computers. See the [FAQ](#) section of this web-site for more details on Unicode. In Unicode, syllabics characters are arranged under the acronym UCAS (Unified Canadian Aboriginal Syllabics).

- In terms of the Syllabics encoding, it was decided early on that there be distinct characters for each full syllabic and for each final. Thus **b ka** is one character, as is **n**.
- The long-vowel dot was determined to be an essential part of a syllabics letter and would not be encoded separately. What this means is that **b k̄a** is not treated by the computer as **b** plus the long-vowel dot, **b̄** is a single character, an indivisible unit. If you try backspacing after the **b̄** character, you will see that it is a single character: the backspace does not delete the long-vowel dot separately.
- Similarly, the w-dot (either left-side or right-side) is understood to be part of a single character. Both **ḅ** and **b̤** are single characters, *not* **b** plus a w-dot character. This was an important, and in my opinion a correct, decision. To use the specialized “archaic Moose-Cree w-final” as a regular w-dot is not best practice. Here is why:

TRACKING

Tracking is when extra space is added between each letter of a word or sentence to spread the text out slightly. It can also refer to reduced space between letters to crunch words together. Tracking is an automated process – you don't have to type in the spaces manually, and it is very important in graphic design and typography. In English, adding tracking increases letter spacing one character at a time,

t h i s s e n t e n c e h a s l o o s e t r a c k i n g .

In tracking a syllabics text, we want the w-dot to stay close to the full syllabic character it is modifying.

▷ $\parallel \Delta \quad \Delta \cup \Delta \cdot \alpha \quad \triangleleft \nabla b^n \dot{b} \triangleleft$

If the w-dot is processed as a separate character, the result after tracking incorrectly separates the w-dots.

$$\triangleright \parallel \wedge \quad \wedge \cup \cdot \wedge \cdot \circ \quad \triangleleft \nabla \flat \hat{\cdot} \flat \triangleleft \cdot$$

VERTICAL TEXT

When words in syllabics are written vertically from top-to-bottom, the w-dot should stay on the same line as the full syllabic character it is modifying.

Correct Incorrect



In each case, tracking and vertical text, it is important to keep the w-dot and the full syllabic character together as one character. Using the archaic Moose-Cree w-final as a convenient w-dot results in incorrect formatting.

The sequence \mathfrak{b} · (**ka** + archaic Moose-Cree w-final) is different from the single character single character \mathfrak{b} **kwa**. The former has the Unicode reference 1472 1427 while the latter is 147F. A computer will not recognize these two sequences as the same thing for searches or spell-checking.

IMPLICATIONS

The problem for Syllabics typists is, which dot to use? From a theoretical standpoint, the answer is simple: unless you are typing an obsolete orthography for Moose-Cree or Blackfoot syllabics, stay away from the mid-dot syllabics character U+1427. Use the suggested pre-combined characters which include both the full syllabic plus the w-dot.

From a practical standpoint, the decision is more complex.

1. Many people coming from an older non-Unicode syllabics font will almost certainly be accustomed to a single w-dot key. They would type **kwa** with two keystrokes: a 'ka' key then a 'w-dot' key. The result was two characters. In correct Unicode this should instead be a single character combining the **ka** and **w**.
 - i. For Eastern orthographies, where the w-dot comes before the full syllabic, there is no keyboard-design issue. The w-dot key is pressed first, which calls a *dead key*. A dead key makes the computer wait until the next keystroke before displaying the resulting character. It is way to create one character with two keystrokes.
 - ii. For Western orthographies, there is a problem. A typist used to their old idiosyncratic font would expect to type the dot *after* the full syllabic character. There is no elegant way to make the second keystroke the dead key.
2. When editing a sentence, the typist may wish to add in a single w-dot to correct a spelling mistake. The best way to do so is to delete the un-w-dotted character and retype it in its entirety. For example, the word $\Delta\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{A}$ is misspelled, there should be a w-dot after the second syllabic character. The person editing would naturally want to position the cursor between the \mathfrak{U} and the \mathfrak{A} and type the w-dot key. Instead, they should delete the entire \mathfrak{U} and type in \mathfrak{U} .

The solution to problem 2 is simple: typists learn the new system and there should be no access to the archaic Moose Cree mid-dot.

Getting a dead-key-based keyboard as described in 1-i is definitely possible. The problem as outlined in 1-ii, however, is difficult indeed. Any solution would require making the syllabic character itself a dead-key, which causes more technical problems than it solves. The Languagegeek syllabics keyboards use separate keys for t-syllabics and tw-syllabics, k- and kw-, c- and cw- etc. \mathfrak{U} is typed *t e* while \mathfrak{U} · is typed *r e*.

For a keyboard which strives to mimic a pre-Unicode font layout I would suggest that a

Shift or Alt state be assigned to the w-dot characters. For example:

- b could be the 'f' key.
- $\dot{\text{b}}$ could be the 'Shift + f' key
- b^{\cdot} could be the 'Right-Alt + f' key
- $\dot{\text{b}}^{\cdot}$ could be the 'Shift + Right-Alt + f' key

In practice, this may be too awkward to type smoothly.

[Home](#) [Previous Page](#)

[JavaScript Menu](#) Courtesy of Milonic.com