First steps towards a tool to analyze keyboarding activities in minority languages

Hugh Paterson III & John Wilkes

The authors present a cross platform tool written in HTML5 and Javascript which assists in the analysis of keyboard layouts for minority languages. We discuss relevant *User Experience* considerations for keyboard layouts and unique actions undertaken in the communicative act of 'entextualizing' language (typing). We follow previous work which focuses on majority language text input methods (Bellman & MacKenzie 1998, Castellucci & MacKenzie 2013, MacKenzie 1992, 2002, 2007, MacKenzie & Soukoreff 2002, Soukoreff & MacKenzie 2001, 2003a, b) and apply considerations for minority language orthographies - especially those orthographies which overtly mark tone and other distinctions via diacritics.

The ability to communicate with electronic text based devices is important in this era of globalization. Many minority language users find it difficult to type in their languages because of the way that characters are accessed through existing keyboard layouts (Paterson 2012). The keyboard layout is an essential component in text input both on mobile touch screen and traditional devices. Barriers to efficiently using text in digital mediums has a wide impact on language vitality, by affecting the way that language users perceive their language's viability in the 21st century context. The text input challenge has been often acknowledged by minority language users (Esizmetor 2009: 13, Zheltov 2005). Perceptions about the need to be able to use text based digital communication devices has sufficiently challenged language communities leading some to change their orthographies (Boerger 2007: 133: South Pacific, Cooper 2005: 149, 160: Central Asia, Jany 2010: Americas). Simons and Lewis (2010) describe the social practice of literacy (EGIDS levels four and five) as a sign of a healthy language. A text input device which does not intuitively work for language users can be seen as discriminating and be a reason for speakers to choose to not use their language in digital mediums (Trosterud 2012).

Bibliography

- Bellman, Tom & I. Scott MacKenzie. 1998. A Probabilistic Character Layout Strategy for Mobile Text Entry. *Proceedings of Graphics Interface '98*, 168-76. Toronto: Canadian Information Processing Society.
- Boerger, Brenda H. 2007. Natqgu Literacy: Capturing Three Domains for Written Language Use. Language Documentation & Conservation 1.2: 126–53. <Accessed: 04. May 2014>. http://hdl.handle.net/10125/1601
- Castellucci, Steven J. & I. Scott MacKenzie. 2013. Gathering Text Entry Metrics on Android Devices.

 *Proceedings of the International Conference on Multimedia and Human-Computer Interaction MHCI 2013, 120.1-.8. Ottawa, Canada: International ASET, Inc.
- Cooper, Gregory. 2005. *Issues in the Development of a Writing System for the Kalasha Language*. Ph.D dissertation, Macquarie University.
- Esizmetor, David Oshorenoya. 2009. What Orthography for Naijá? Paper presented at Conference on Nigerian Pidgin, University of Ibadan, Nigeria. http://www.ifra-nigeria.org/IMG/pdf/Esizimetor_2010b.pdf
- Jany, Carmen. 2010. Orthography Design for Chuxnabán Mixe. *Language Documentation & Conservation* 4.1: 231-53. <Accessed: 12 June 2012>. http://hdl.handle.net/10125/4481
- Lewis, M. Paul & Gary F. Simons. 2010. Assessing endangerment: Expanding Fishman's GIDS. *Revue Roumaine de Linguistique* 55.2: 103–20.
- MacKenzie, I. Scott. 1992. Fitts' law as a research and design tool in human-computer interaction. Human-Computer Interaction 7, 91-139.
- MacKenzie, I. Scott. 2002. KSPC (keystrokes per character) as a characteristic of text entry techniques. *Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Human Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices*, 195-210. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer-Verlag.
- MacKenzie, I. Scott. 2007. Evaluation of text entry techniques. In I. Scott MacKenzie & K. Tanaka-Ishii (eds.), *Text entry systems: Mobility, accessibility, universality*, 75-101. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann.
- MacKenzie, I. Scott & R. William Soukoreff. 2002. A Character-level Error Analysis Technique for Evaluating Text Entry Methods. A character-level error analysis technique for evaluating text entry methods. Proceedings of the Second Nordic Conference on Human-Computer Interaction -- NordiCHI 2002, 241-4. New York: ACM.
- Paterson, Hugh J. III. 2012. Keyboard layout as part of language documentation: the case of the Me'phaa and Chinantec keyboards. Paper presented at CRASSH Conference Language Endangerment: Methodologies and New Challenges, Cambridge, UK. <Accessed: 04. May 2014>.
- Soukoreff, R. William & I. Scott MacKenzie. 2001. Measuring errors in text entry tasks: An application of the Levenshtein string distance statistic. *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems CHI 2001*, 319-20. New York: ACM.
- Soukoreff, R. William & I. Scott MacKenzie. 2003a. Input-based Language Modeling in the Design of High Performance Text Input Techniques. *Proceedings of Graphics Interface 2003* (CIPS, Canadian Human-Computer Communication Society), 89-96. Halifax, Nova Scotia: A K Peters.
- Soukoreff, R. William & I. Scott MacKenzie. 2003b. Metrics for Text Entry Research: An Evaluation of MSD and KSPC, and a New Unified Error Metric. In Gilbert Cockton & Panu Korhonen (eds.), Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems CHI 2003, 113-20. New York: ACM.
- Trosterud, Trond. 2012. A restricted freedom of choice: Linguistic diversity in the digital landscape.

 Nordlyd (Tromsø University Working Papers on Language and Linguistics) 39.2: 89-104. http://septentrio.uit.no/index.php/nordlyd/article/view/2474/0
- Zheltov, Pavel V. 2005. Minority languages and computerization. The situation in the Russian Federation. OGMIOS 3.3: 8-11. http://www.ogmios.org/ogmios/Ogmios_027.pdf