Connecting to GCP

```
gcloud sql connect db-sp24-demo --user=jingye;
show databases;
use mydb;
show tables;
 Cjyelin1208@cloudshell:~ (cs411-sp24-demo-419704)$ gcloud sql connect db-sp24-demo --user=jingye
Allowlisting your IP for incoming connection for 5 minutes...done.
Connecting to database with SQL user [jingye]. Enter password:
Welcome to the MySQL monitor. Commands end with; or \g. Your MySQL connection id is 8966
Server version: 8.0.31-google (Google)
 Copyright (c) 2000, 2024, Oracle and/or its affiliates.
Oracle is a registered trademark of Oracle Corporation and/or its
affiliates. Other names may be trademarks of their respective
Type 'help;' or '\h' for help. Type '\c' to clear the current input statement.
mysql> show databases;
 | Database
 | information_schema |
 | mysql
 | performance_schema
 sys
 5 rows in set (0.01 sec)
 mysql> use mydb;
 Reading table information for completion of table and column names
 You can turn off this feature to get a quicker startup with -A
 Database changed
 mysql> show tables;
 +----+
 | Tables_in_mydb |
 | CarRank
 | FavoriteList |
 | StateTax
 | Users
 5 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

DDL Commands

-CarRank Table

```
CREATE TABLE CarRank(
   CarID DOUBLE,
   Jan DOUBLE,
   Feb DOUBLE,
   Mar DOUBLE,
   Apr DOUBLE,
   May DOUBLE,
   Jun DOUBLE,
   Jul DOUBLE,
   Aug DOUBLE,
   Sep DOUBLE,
   Oct DOUBLE,
   Nov DOUBLE,
   Dec DOUBLE,
   PRIMARY KEY (CarID ),
   FOREIGN KEY (CarID) REFERENCES Cars (CarID)
```

-Cars Table

```
CREATE TABLE Cars(
CarID DOUBLE,
CarName VARCHAR(10),
Year DOUBLE,
SellingPrice DOUBLE,
Fuel VARCHAR(10),
Transmission VARCHAR(10),
PRIMARY KEY (userID),
FOREIGN KEY (stateID) REFERENCES StateTax(stateID)
);
```

-FavoriteList Table

```
CREATE TABLE FavoriteList(
ListID DOUBLE,
CarID DOUBLE,
UserID DOUBLE,
PRIMARY KEY (ListID),
FOREIGN KEY (CarID) REFERENCES Cars(CarID),
FOREIGN KEY (UserID) REFERENCES Users(UserID)
);
```

-StateTax Table

```
CREATE TABLE StateTax(
State VARCHAR(50),
StateID DOUBLE,
TaxRate DOUBLE,
PRIMARY KEY (StateID),
FOREIGN KEY (StateID) REFERENCES Users(StateID)
);
```

-Users Table

```
CREATE TABLE Users(
userID DOUBLE,
userName VARCHAR(10),
gender VARCHAR(10),
stateID DOUBLE,
PRIMARY KEY (userID),
FOREIGN KEY (StateID) REFERENCES StateTax(StateID)
);
```

Inserting Data

Advanced Queries

1.

Advanced features: Joining Multiple Relations & Conditional Filtering and Ordering This SQL query is designed to retrieve a list of the top 15 favorite cars more expensive than 2000 and later than 2010 based on their sales in December.

```
SELECT

u.UserName,
c.CarName,
cr.Dece AS `Sold`,
'December' AS `Month`

FROM

Users u

JOIN FavoriteList f ON u.UserId = f.UserID

JOIN Cars c ON f.CarID = c.CarID

JOIN CarRank cr ON c.CarID = cr.CarID

WHERE

cr.Dece > 0 AND c.SellingPrice>2000 AND Year>2010

ORDER BY
cr.Dece DESC

LIMIT 15;
```

2.

Advanced features: Joining Multiple Relations & Aggregation with GROUP BY & Subqueries This query is to calculate the average selling price of vehicles that are more expensive than 100,000 (manual transmission or automatic transmission) preferred by users of different genders.

```
SELECT
u.gender,
CASE
WHEN c.Transmission = 'Manual' THEN 'Manual'
WHEN c.Transmission = 'Automatic' THEN 'Automatic'
ELSE 'Other'
END AS transmission_type,
AVG(c.SellingPrice) AS avg_selling_price
```

```
FROM
FavoriteList f

JOIN
Cars c ON f.CarID = c.CarID

JOIN
Users u ON f.userID = u.userID

GROUP BY
u.gender,
Transmission_type

Having avg selling price > 100000;
```

3. Advanced features: Aggregation via Group By & Joining Multiple Relations This query combines information from three tables to find the most popular cars by state.

```
SELECT
  StateTax.State,
  Cars.CarName,
  COUNT(FavoriteList.CarID) AS PopularityScore
FROM
  FavoriteList
JOIN
  Users ON FavoriteList.UserID = Users.userID
JOIN
  StateTax ON Users.stateID = StateTax.StateID
JOIN
  Cars ON FavoriteList.CarID = Cars.CarID
WHERE Cars. Year > 2010
GROUP BY
  StateTax.State, Cars.CarName
ORDER BY
```

StateTax.State, PopularityScore DESC

Limit 15;

| State | CarName | PopularityScore |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Alabama | Tata Indica Vista Quadrajet LS | 1 1 |
| | Hyundai i10 Sportz AT | |
| | Hyundai Creta 1.6 CRDi SX | 1 |
| | Fiat Linea 1.3 Emotion | 1 |
| Alabama | Mahindra XUV500 AT W10 FWD | 1 |
| Alabama | Hyundai Grand i10 Sportz | 1 |
| Alabama | Hyundai Verna 1.6 CRDi AT SX | 1 |
| Alabama | Tata Tiago 1.05 Revotorq XE | 1 |
| Alabama | Hyundai i20 Asta 1.4 CRDi | 1 |
| Alabama | Maruti Wagon R VXI BS IV | 1 |
| Alabama | Mahindra Scorpio S7 140 BSIV | 1 |
| Alabama | Maruti Alto 800 LXI | 1 |
| Alabama | Mahindra Quanto C4 | 1 |
| Alaska | Maruti Vitara Brezza ZDi Plus | 1 |
| Alaska | Renault KWID RXT Optional | 1 |
| ++ | | |
| 15 rows in set (0.00 sec) | | |

4. Advanced features: Aggregation via Group By & AVG Function & Having Condition & Order By This query combines information from three tables to find the most popular cars by state.

```
SELECT
Year,
Fuel,
AVG(SellingPrice) AS AverageSellingPrice
FROM
Cars
GROUP BY
Year, Fuel
HAVING
AVG(SellingPrice) > (SELECT AVG(SellingPrice) FROM Cars WHERE Year <
YEAR(CURRENT_DATE()))
ORDER BY
Year DESC, AverageSellingPrice DESC;
```

```
Year
       | Fuel
                  AverageSellingPrice
  2020
                    1230333.3333333333
       | Diesel |
                     646935.4193548387
  2020
         Petrol |
  2019
       | Diesel |
                    1720469.8554216868
  2019
       | Petrol |
                      573611.074074074
                    1243419.1437125748
       | Diesel |
  2018
                     632964.4263959391
 2018
       | Petrol |
                    1039423.5545851529
  2017
       | Diesel |
                      509652.321888412
 2017
       | Petrol |
  2016
       | Diesel |
                     741629.7679558011
                     626399.0921052631
 2015
       | Diesel |
                     644656.2142857143
  2014
       | Diesel |
                     566044.5873605948
  2013 | Diesel |
12 rows in set (0.01 \text{ sec})
```

5. Advanced features: SUM function and Ordering

This query displays car details along with their total sales ranking score from January to December.

```
SELECT
Cars.CarID,
Cars.CarName,
Cars.Year,
Cars.SellingPrice,
Cars.Fuel,
Cars.Transmission,
(SELECT SUM(Jan + Feb + Mar + Apr + May + Jun + Jul + Aug + Sep + Oct + Nov + Dece)
FROM CarRank
WHERE CarRank.CarID = Cars.CarID) AS TotalRankScore
FROM
Cars
ORDER BY TotalRankScore DESC
LIMIT 15;
```

6. Advanced features: Joining Multiple Relations & Conditional filtering

This query shows detailed car information in a user's favorite list, including how much tax they'd pay based on their state.

```
SELECT
  Users.userName,
  Cars.CarName,
  Cars. Year,
  Cars.SellingPrice,
  StateTax.TaxRate,
  (Cars.SellingPrice * (StateTax.TaxRate / 100)) AS TaxAmount
FROM
  FavoriteList
JOIN
  Cars ON FavoriteList.CarID = Cars.CarID
JOIN
  Users ON FavoriteList.UserID = Users.userID
JOIN
  StateTax ON Users.stateID = StateTax.StateID
  FavoriteList.UserID = 311
Limit 15;
```

Indexing (for the first four queries)

Query 1

```
mysql> EXPLAIN ANALYZE
    -> SELECT
          u.UserName,
          c.CarName,
           cr.Dece AS 'Sold',
           'December' AS `Month`
    -> FROM
           Users u
           JOIN FavoriteList f ON u.UserId = f.UserID
           JOIN Cars c ON f.CarID = c.CarID
           JOIN CarRank cr ON c.CarID = cr.CarID
    -> WHERE
           cr.Dece > 0 AND c.SellingPrice>2000 AND Year>2010
    -> ORDER BY
           cr.Dece DESC
    -> LIMIT 15;
```

This query has a high cost due to our use of a set operation

Attempt1: CREATE INDEX add_c_Dece_idx ON CarRank(Dece)

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=6.944.6.946 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: cr.Dece DESC, limit: Input to 15 row(s) per clumk (actual time=6.943.6.945 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Stream Fig. 10 to 100270.0 (cot=2000 (cot=2000 for time=6.129.8.9 18 low=6.139.8.9 18 low=6.139.8 low=6.139.8 18 low=6.139.8 low=6.139.8
```

This design of the index is able to reduce the time. After utilizing an index scan on the joined table, the query efficiently located relevant data rows. This reduction in the number of times the table needed to be scanned led to a significant improvement in query performance. It reduces the time of scanning the table CarRank from 0.097 to 0.062. And also reduces the general cost in the first line.

Attempt2: CREATE INDEX add_c_sellprice_idx ON Cars(SellingPrice)

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=5.843..5.846 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: cr.Dece DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=5.842..5.844 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=21270791-9.12 rows=6504401) (actual time=1.900..5.805 rows=48 loops=1)
-> Filmer hash join (c.CarID = c.CarID) (cost=21757019.12 rows=6504401) (actual time=1.059..5.782 rows=48 loops=1)
-> Filmer (c.SublingPrice > 2000) and (c. Year=7 2010)) (cost=0.25 rows=1640 loops=1)
-> Hash | -> Hash | -> Inner hash join (n. userID = c.CarID) (cost=13200.83 rows=13405) (actual time=0.012..3.552 rows=3292 loops=1)
-> Table scan on c (cost=0.09 rows=1203) (actual time=0.007..0.046 rows=1203 loops=1)
-> Table scan on u (cost=0.00 rows=1203) (actual time=0.007..0.626 rows=1203 loops=1)
-> Table scan on (cost=0.12 rows=1100) (cost=11509.58 rows=11476) (actual time=0.071..1.082 rows=60 loops=1)
-> Table scan on (cost=0.12 rows=1100) (cost=11509.58 rows=1100 loops=1)
-> Hash | -> Filtor: (cr.Dece > 0) (cost=32.55 rows=313) (actual time=0.027..0.307 rows=284 loops=1)
-> Table scan on cr (cost=32.55 rows=313) (actual time=0.023..0.277 rows=313 loops=1)
-> Table scan on cr (cost=32.55 rows=313) (actual time=0.023..0.277 rows=313 loops=1)
-> Table scan on cr (cost=32.55 rows=313) (actual time=0.023..0.277 rows=313 loops=1)
```

This index design effectively reduces query execution time. By utilizing an index scan on the joined table, the query efficiently locates relevant data rows. This reduction in the number of times the table needs to be scanned significantly improves query performance. It also minimizes the overall cost, as mentioned in the first line.

Attempt3: CREATE INDEX add_c_year_idx ON Cars(Year)

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=6.162..6.164 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Bort: cr.Dece DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per clunk (actual time=6.161..6.162 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=7978366.84 rows=3742376) (actual time=5.361..6.130 rows=48 loops=1)
-> Inner hash join (u.userID = f.UserID) (cost=3778366.44 rows=3742376) (actual time=6.092.551..6.102 rows=48 loops=1)
-> Table scan on u (cost=0.00 rows=1203) (actual time=0.093.00.6.484 rows=1303 loops=1)
-> Hash
-> Inner hash join (f. CarID = cr. CarID) (cost=32660.37 rows=3109) (actual time=6.002..5.288 rows=48 loops=1)
-> Hash
-> Inner hash join (c.CarID = cr. CarID) (cost=1521.03 rows=283) (actual time=0.436..4.477 rows=214 loops=1)
-> Fitter: (c.SellingBrice > 2000) and (c. 'Year' > 2010)) (cost=3.40 rows=100) (actual time=0.018..3.677 rows=3292 loops=1)
-> Hash
-> Fitter: (c.SellingBrice > 2000) and (c. 'Year' > 2010)) (cost=3.40 rows=110) (actual time=0.018..3.677 rows=3292 loops=1)
-> Hash
-> Fitter: (cr.Dece > 0) (cost=32.55 rows=014) (actual time=0.037..0.293 rows=284 loops=1)
-> Table scan on cr (cost=32.55 rows=313) (actual time=0.039..0.262 rows=313 loops=1)
-/ Table scan on cr (cost=32.55 rows=313) (actual time=0.039..0.262 rows=313 loops=1)
-/ Table scan on cr (cost=32.55 rows=313) (actual time=0.039..0.262 rows=313 loops=1)
```

This index design significantly reduces query execution time. By employing an index scan on the joined table, the query efficiently identifies relevant data rows. Consequently, the reduced number of table scans results in a substantial improvement in query performance, leading to cost savings overall.

Query 2

```
mysql> EXPLAIN ANALYZE
    -> SELECT
        u.gender,
        CASE
           WHEN c.Transmission = 'Manual' THEN 'Manual'
           WHEN c.Transmission = 'Automatic' THEN 'Automatic'
           ELSE 'Other'
         END AS transmission type,
         AVG(c.SellingPrice) AS avg_selling_price
    -> FROM
         FavoriteList f
    -> JOIN
         Cars c ON f.CarID = c.CarID
         Users u ON f.userID = u.userID
    -> GROUP BY
         u.gender,
         Transmission type
    -> Having avg selling price > 100000;
```

Attempt1: CREATE INDEX add_u_genderid_idx ON Users(gender)

There is no significant change in actual time of all and that of aggregate. This might be because gender itself has few different values, making the index no sense. And group by need to scan the table again.

Attempt2: CREATE INDEX add c transmission idx ON Cars(Transmission)

```
| >> Filter: (avg.selling_prico > 100000) (actual time=6.426.6.427 cows=4 loops=1)
- > Table scon on <temporary> (actual time=6.420.6.420 rows=4 loops=1)
- > Ingregate using temporary table (actual time=6.420.6.420 rows=4 loops=1)
- > Inner hash join (c.CartD = f.CartD) (cost=58901006.11 rows=8787755) (actual time=2.139.5.346 rows=1104 loops=1)
- > Table scan on c (cost=0.01 rows=4441) (actual time=0.010..2.613 rows=4340 loops=1)
- > Hash
- > Inner hash join (u.userID = f.UserID) (cost=132443.11 rows=132330) (actual time=0.875..1.839 rows=1104 loops=1)
- > Table scan on u (cost=0.01 rows=1203) (actual time=0.008..0.705 rows=1203 loops=1)
- > Hash
- > Table scan on f (cost=111.50 rows=1100) (actual time=0.030..0.642 rows=1100 loops=1)
- Table scan on f (cost=111.50 rows=1100) (actual time=0.030..0.642 rows=1100 loops=1)
- Table scan on f (cost=111.50 rows=1100) (actual time=0.030..0.642 rows=1100 loops=1)
```

There is no significant change in actual time of all and that of aggregate. This might be because transmission itself has few different values, making the index no sense. And group by need to scan the table again.

Attempt3: CREATE INDEX add_c_sellprice_idx ON Cars(SellingPrice)

There is no significant change in actual time of all and that of aggregate. This might be because the average selling price will be calculated again, which means the origin value should be scanned from the table.

```
mysql> EXPLAIN ANALYZE
          -> SELECT
                           StateTax.State,
                           Cars.CarName,
                          COUNT (FavoriteList.CarID) AS PopularityScore
          -> FROM
                         FavoriteList
          -> JOIN
                          Users ON FavoriteList.UserID = Users.userID
          -> JOIN
                           StateTax ON Users.stateID = StateTax.StateID
          -> JOIN
                          Cars ON FavoriteList.CarID = Cars.CarID
          -> WHERE Cars.Year > 2010
          -> GROUP BY
                          StateTax.State, Cars.CarName
          -> ORDER BY
          -> StateTax.State, PopularityScore DESC
          -> Limit 15;
                                          s=15 loops=1)
init input to 15 row(e) per chunk (actual time=8.040.8.041 rows=15 loops=1)
init input to 15 row(e) per chunk (actual time=8.040.8.041 rows=15 loops=1)
(-668.7.403 rows=783 loops=1)
unl time=7.666.7.666 rows=783 loops=1)
ortionist.CarID) (cost=10861244.53 rows=3329507) (actual time=2.947..6.588 rows=804 loops=1)
(cost=0.28 rows=148) (actual time=0.010..2.607 rows=3292 loops=1)
=0.28 rows=4441) (actual time=0.010..2.607 rows=4340 loops=1)
                           sh join (FavoriteList.UserID = Users.userID) (cost=681034.69 rows=674883) (actual time=1.655..2.582 rows=1063 loops=1) 
e scan on FavoriteList (cost=0.00 rows=1100) (actual time=0.011..0.627 rows=1100 loops=1)
                            nner hash join (Users.stateID = StateTax.StateID) (cost=6142.21 rows=6135) (actual time=0.150..1.282 rows=1155 loops=1)

-> Table scan on Users (cost=0.27 rows=1203) (actual time=0.012..0.812 rows=1203 loops=1)
```

Attempt1: CREATE INDEX add_c_year_idx ON Cars(Year)

This design of the index is able to reduce the time. After utilizing an index scan on the joined table, the query efficiently located relevant data rows. This reduction in the number of times the table needed to be scanned led to a significant improvement in query performance. It reduces the general cost in the first line.

Attempt2: CREATE INDEX add_st_State_idx ON StateTax(State)

This index design significantly reduces query execution time. By employing an index scan on the joined table, the query efficiently identifies relevant data rows. Consequently, the reduced number of table scans results in a substantial improvement in query performance, leading to cost savings overall.

Attempt3: CREATE INDEX add_c_CarName_idx ON Cars(CarName)

This index design of car name in cars table is similar with car year, which reduced number of table scans.

Query 4

```
mysql> EXPLAIN ANALYZE

-> SELECT
-> Year,
-> Fuel,
-> AVG(SellingPrice) AS AverageSellingPrice
-> FROM
-> Cars
-> GROUP BY
-> Year, Fuel
-> HAVING
-> AVG(SellingPrice) > (SELECT AVG(SellingPrice) FROM Cars WHERE Year < YEAR(CURRENT_DATE()))
-> ORDER BY
-> Year DESC, AverageSellingPrice DESC;

|-> Sort: Cars. Year' DESC, AverageSellingPrice DESC (actual time=6.932.8.933 row=12 loops=1)
-> Filter: (avg(cars.8ellingPrice) > (select $2)) (actual time=6.988.89.8910 row=12 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary (actual time=6.988.89.8910 row=75 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=6.910.2.7.587 row=75 loops=1)
-> Select & (camporary) Cartual time=6.910.2.7.587 row=75 loops=1)
-> Select & (camporary) Cartual time=6.910.2.7.587 row=75 loops=1)
-> Filter: (avg(cars.8ellingPrice) (select $30.3 of row=1) (actual time=6.910.2.7.587 row=4340 loops=1)
-> Filter: (carc. Year' Cartual time=6.910.2.7.587 row=4340 loops=1)
-> Filter: (carc. Cars. "Cartual time=6.910.2.7.597 row=4340 loops=1)
-> Filter: (carc. Year' Carched Year Carchadel ()) (cott=155.02 row=4340 loops=1)
-> Table scan on Cars (cost=155.02 row=4441) (actual time=6.012.2.2.339 row=4340 loops=1)
-> Table scan on Cars (cost=155.02 row=4441) (actual time=6.012.2.2.339 row=4340 loops=1)
```

Attempt1: CREATE INDEX add_c_fuel_idx ON Cars(Fuel)

This design increased the total actual time. Since the cars table still needs to be scanned with year and price, this design makes it more complicated and costs more time.

Attempt2: CREATE INDEX add_c_year_idx ON Cars(Year)

This design does not make improvements. This might be because the table scan cannot be reduced when using the car year index.

Attempt3: CREATE INDEX add_c_sellprice_idx ON Cars(SellingPrice)

This design of using sell price as index does not make any improvement on the actual time. It does not reduce the time of aggregate process and also no reduce of table scan.