



## DASH: ANS

### Exam 2022

Version A

## Solutions

Consider the  $D$  dataset below to answer questions along the exam:

|                | $y_1$ | $y_2$ | $y_3$ | $y_4$ | class | cluster |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| $\mathbf{x}_1$ | -2    | 2     | B     | D     | X     | C1      |
| $\mathbf{x}_2$ | 3     | 4     | A     | C     | X     | C2      |
| $\mathbf{x}_3$ | 0     | 4     | A     | C     | X     | C1      |
| $\mathbf{x}_4$ | -2    | 2     | A     | D     | Y     | C1      |

### I. Clustering [6.1v]

Given  $D$  and distance  $d(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = \text{Manhattan}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B | y_1, y_2) + \text{Hamming}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B | y_3, y_4)$

1. [0.5v] Complete the following pairwise distance matrix

|                | $\mathbf{x}_1$ | $\mathbf{x}_2$ | $\mathbf{x}_3$ | $\mathbf{x}_4$ |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| $\mathbf{x}_1$ | 0              | 9              | ?              | ?              |
| $\mathbf{x}_2$ |                | 0              | 3              | 8              |
| $\mathbf{x}_3$ |                |                | 0              | 5              |
| $\mathbf{x}_4$ |                |                |                | 0              |

$$d(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_3) = 6, \quad d(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_4) = 1$$

2. [1v] Can the given clustering solution be obtained by an agglomerative algorithm under *single* link? Justify by presenting the final dendrogram.

No. Dendrogram:  $\{\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_4\}[1] \{\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3\}[3]\}[5]$

3. [1.2v] Let  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_4$  be the initial centroids of  $k$ -means. Compute *one* iteration of the  $k$ -means, identifying the new centroids using *medoid* averaging criteria.

After iteration:  $\{\mathbf{x}_1\}, \{\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4\}$

Medoids:  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_3$

4. [0.6v] Using  $d(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ , identify the silhouette of observation  $\mathbf{x}_4$ .

$$\text{silhouette}(\mathbf{x}_4) = 1 - \frac{3}{8} = \frac{5}{8}$$

5. [0.8v] Consider *class* to be our ground truth, compute the purity of the clustering solution.

$$\text{purity} = \frac{1}{4}(1 + 2) = 0.75$$

6. [0.5v] Select the limitations of the k-Means algorithm (*i.e.* the true statements):

- a) dependent on initialization/seeding ◀
- b) sensitive to outliers under *mean* centroid criteria ◀
- c) not suitable to discover clusters with irregular/non-convex shapes ◀
- d) dependent on the specification of a proper linkage criterion

7. [0.5v] Given the following data plot (*right*),  
select the proper clustering stances to recover its clusters:



8. [1v] Classify the following statements as *True* or *False*:

- a) Clustering becomes semi-supervised when pairs of observations are known to belong to the same cluster. *True*
- b) Agglomerative clustering algorithms allow to manually select a desirable number of clusters once a dendrogram is inferred. *True*
- c) Complete (maximum) link criterion tends to break large clusters and is biased towards globular clusters. *True*
- d) A rand index that is close to zero suggests that the clustering algorithm was unable to guarantee high cluster dissimilarity. *False*

## II. Dimensionality reduction [2.7v]

Consider that the application PCA over the numeric variables of  $D$  produced the following covariance matrix, eigenvectors and eigenvalues:

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 5.58 & 2.33 \\ 2.33 & 1.33 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_1 = ?, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9 \\ 0.4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_1 = 0.302, \quad \lambda_2 = 6.614$$

**9.** [1v] What is the percentage of data variability explained by eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}_2$ ?

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} = 95.6\%$$

**10.** [1.2v] Project the numeric values of  $D$  to the reduced space using  $\mathbf{v}_2$ .

|       | $c_2 = 0.9y_1 + 0.4y_2$ |
|-------|-------------------------|
| $x_1$ | -1                      |
| $x_2$ | 4.3                     |
| $x_3$ | 1.6                     |
| $x_4$ | -1                      |

**11.** [0.5v] Identify the eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}_1$ .

Solving  $C\mathbf{v}_1 = \lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1$  equations (and optional normalization) yields  $\mathbf{v}_1 \approx \begin{pmatrix} -0.4 \\ 0.9 \end{pmatrix}$

### III. Pattern Mining [6.95v]

**12.** [1.7v] Selecting  $y_3$  and  $y_4$ , identify all the closed and maximal frequent itemsets with a relative support above 0.5.

closed:  $A[sup = 3], AC[sup = 2], D[sup = 2]$

maximal:  $AC[sup = 2], D[sup = 2]$

**13.** [0.8v] Given the association rule,  $AC \Rightarrow X$ , compute its support, confidence and lift.

$$sup(R) = sup(ACX) = 0.5, conf(R) = \frac{sup(ACX)}{sup(AC)} = 1, lift(R) = \frac{conf(R)}{sup(X)} = \frac{4}{3}$$

**14.** [1v] Consider that we have access to additional observations, leading to the following re-evaluation of rule

$AC \Rightarrow X$  [support = 0.5, Binomial pvalue = 1E - 3, confidence = 0.8, lift = 0.99]

Classify the following statements as *True* or *False*:

- a) Assuming a significance level  $\alpha = 0.1$ , the given pattern is not statistically significant **False**
- b) The given lift suggests an interesting/strong association rule **False**
- c) The given lift suggests that the consequent,  $X$ , is highly frequent (support > 0.5) **True**
- d) If  $AC$  is a frequent itemset, a superset (e.g.  $ACX$ ) is also frequent (monotonicity) **False**

- 15.** [1.4v] Selecting  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ , identify the largest constant bicluster and the largest order-preserving bicluster with  $\delta=0$  and no noise ( $\varepsilon = 0$ )

Constant ( $I=\{x_1, x_4\}, J=\{y_1, y_2\}$ ), Order-preserving ( $I=\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}, J=\{y_1, y_2\}$ )

- 16.** [0.8v] Given the additive bicluster ( $I=\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}, J=\{y_1, y_2\}$ ) and  $\delta=0$ , compute its quality.

Considering additive factors  $\{\gamma_1=0, \gamma_2=2, \gamma_3=2, \gamma_4=0\}$ , the quality is 7/8

- 17.** [0.75v] Classify the following statements as *True* or *False*:

- a) A biclustering solution with 2 biclusters with overlapping elements is always non-exhaustive on rows and columns. **False**
  - b) Given a biclustering search, a statistically significant bicluster that was not retrieved by this search is termed false positive. **False**
  - c) The coherence strength of a bicluster determines the deviations from expectations. **True**
- 18.** [0.5v] Which of the following actions generally increase the average size of patterns in a solution (where size is the number of elements, i.e. support  $\times$  pattern length):
- a) increase tolerance to noise (i.e. decrease quality) ◀
  - b) choose closed pattern representations instead of all patterns ◀
  - c) given perfect quality, increase the cardinality of variables in discrete data
  - d) decrease coherence strength (higher deviations allowed) in real-valued data ◀

#### iv. Outlier analysis [1.25v]

- 19.** Classify the following statements as *True* or *False*:

- a) Given specific context variables, a contextual outlier observation is an observation that significantly deviates from other observations that share the same context. **True**
- b) A collective outlier is an observation that deviates from neighbour observations. **False**
- c) Observations in clusters with bad cohesion (sparse clusters) are outlier candidates. **True**
- d) Given a data where a few observations are annotated with *normal/non-outlier* tag, these observations should be removed to better detect outliers. **False**
- e) Density-based outlier analysis approaches can be used to identify local outliers. **True**

## v. Learning from Complex Data [3v]

**20.** Classify the following statements as *True* or *False*:

- a) The order of a multivariate time series corresponds to the number of time points. **False**
- b) Pattern mining in time series can be either considered in the context of a single time series (e.g. motif discovery) or multiple time series (e.g. biclustering). **True**
- c) When computing the distance between time series, Minkowski distances (e.g. Euclidean) cannot account for temporal misalignments. **True**
- d) Statistics extracted with a sliding window along time series observations can be used to produce a multivariate dataset. **True**
- e) As frequent itemsets are solely focused on co-occurrences, sequential patterns are solely focused on precedences. **False**
- f) Given time series data, biclustering can be extended to accommodate time lags between observations. **True**
- g) Nominal univariate events are also termed typed events. **True**
- h) Complex patterns can generally be mapped into binary or numeric variables (one variable per pattern) for subsequent multivariate data analysis. **True**
- i) The data of a system with stationary sensors producing signals at different locations can be described by a georeferenced time series structure. **True**
- j) The spatial slicing principle suggests that it is rather more important to learn global models than multiple local/regional models. **False**
- k) Inductive logic can be used to capture associations between tables. **True**

**END**