

LOGIC EXAM QUESTIONS

2011

① (a) formalise the following in predicate logic.

(i) power d are either solar panels OR wind turbines

"For all x , such that x is a p.g.d., implies that x is a solar panel or a wind turbine, and x cannot be both"
in predicate logic:

$$\forall x (p.g.d.(x) \rightarrow (sp(x) \vee wt(x) \wedge \neg (sp(x) \wedge wt(x))))$$

(ii) solar panels weigh at least 150, and wind turbines at least 100.

use $weight(x, y)$: weight of x is y .

"For all x , x is a solar panel, implies that there is a weight y such that $y \geq 150$ and (same for wind turbines)"

$$\forall x \exists y (sp(x) \rightarrow weight(x, y), y \geq 150) \wedge \forall x \exists y (wt(x) \rightarrow weight(x, y) \wedge y \geq 100)$$

(iii) There is a solar panel that weighs more than any wind turbine

"There exists a x , x is a solar panel such that for all y , y is a wind turbine, $\exists w_1$ and w_2 where weight of x is w_1 and weight y is w_2 implies that $w_1 > w_2$ "

$$\exists x \forall y (sp(x) \wedge wt(y) \rightarrow \exists w_1, w_2 (weight(x, w_1) \wedge weight(y, w_2) \rightarrow w_1 > w_2))$$

(iv) Some buildings have solar panels, and some have wt, but none has both.

x "There exists x , x is a building implies that there exists y, z , y is a solar panel and z is a wt such that x has y OR x has z , but there does not exist any building x has y and z ."

"There exists x, y , x is a sp and y is a wt such that \exists building B x has y ."

$$\exists x \exists y (b(x) \wedge (sp(x) \wedge wt(y) \rightarrow (\exists z (b(z) \rightarrow has(z, x) \vee has(z, y)) \wedge \neg \exists z (b(z) \rightarrow has(z, x) \wedge has(z, y))))$$

$$\exists x, y (sp(x) \wedge wt(y) \rightarrow (\exists z (b(z) \rightarrow has(z, x) \vee has(z, y)) \wedge \neg \exists z (b(z) \rightarrow has(z, x) \wedge has(z, y))))$$

(v) in any building that has a p.g.d., weight of device is \leq max weight

"For all x , x is a building and for all y , y is a p.g.d. such that x has y , implies that there is a weight w_1 and w_2 where w_1 is the weight of p.g.d. and w_2 is max weight $\rightarrow w_1 \leq w_2$."

$$\forall x, y (b(x) \wedge p.g.d.(y) \rightarrow has(x, y) \rightarrow \exists w_1, w_2 (weight(y, w_1) \wedge sup(x, w_2) \rightarrow w_1 \leq w_2))$$

(b) use equivalences to transform the following to one where negation --- pred symbols.

$$\neg (\forall x (p(x) \rightarrow \exists y q(x, y)) \vee \forall x \exists y r(x, a, y))$$

$$\equiv \neg \forall x (p(x) \rightarrow \exists y q(x, y)) \wedge \neg \forall x \exists y r(x, a, y) \quad (\text{De Morgan's Rules})$$

$$\equiv \exists x \neg (p(x) \rightarrow \exists y q(x, y)) \wedge \exists x \neg \exists y r(x, a, y)$$

$$\equiv \exists x \neg ((\neg p(x) \vee \exists y q(x, y)) \wedge \exists x \forall y \neg r(x, a, y)) \quad (\text{Implication Rule})$$

$$\equiv \exists x (\neg \neg p(x) \wedge \neg \exists y q(x, y)) \wedge \exists x \forall y \neg r(x, a, y) \quad (\text{De Morgan Rule})$$

$$\equiv \exists x (p(x) \wedge \forall y \neg q(x, y)) \wedge \exists x \forall y \neg r(x, a, y)$$

*

(c) using natural deduction and part (b) ---

you want to show: $\exists x \neg q(x, a)$.

1. $\neg(\forall x(p(x) \rightarrow \exists y q(x, y)) \vee \forall x \exists y \neg(x, a, y))$ given
 2. $\exists x(p(x) \wedge \forall y \neg q(x, y)) \vee \exists x \forall y \neg(x, a, y)$ 1, proof(b)
 3. $\exists x(p(x) \wedge \forall y \neg q(x, y))$ 2, $\vee E$
 4. $\exists x \forall y \neg(x, a, y)$ 2, $\vee E$
 5. $p(b) \wedge \forall y \neg q(b, y)$ Assume.
 6. $\forall y \neg q(b, y)$ 5, $\wedge E$.
 7. $\neg q(b, a)$ 6, $\forall E$
 8. $\forall x \neg q(x, a)$ 7, $\forall I$
 9. $\exists x \neg q(x, a)$ 8, $\exists I$.
- QED.

② (ai) $P \otimes Q: P, \text{ but not } Q.$

Definition: $P \wedge \neg Q$

(aii) Show that: $(A \rightarrow B) \otimes (A \rightarrow C) \equiv (A \otimes C) \otimes (A \otimes B).$

Substituting in the above definition, it is enough to show:

$$(A \rightarrow B) \wedge \neg (A \rightarrow C) \equiv (A \wedge \neg C) \otimes (A \wedge \neg B) \\ \equiv (A \wedge \neg C) \wedge \neg (A \wedge \neg B).$$

starting from LHS:

$$(A \rightarrow B) \wedge \neg (A \rightarrow C) = (\neg A \vee B) \wedge \neg (\neg A \vee C) \text{ (Implication Rule)} \\ \equiv \neg (A \wedge \neg B) \wedge \neg (\neg A \wedge \neg C) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\ \equiv (\neg \neg A \wedge \neg C) \wedge \neg (A \wedge \neg B) \text{ (Commutativity)} \\ \equiv (A \wedge \neg C) \wedge \neg (A \wedge \neg B) \text{ (Negation)} \\ \equiv \text{RHS. QED.}$$

(b) (i) Formalize the following:

S1: Warm \vee Sunny $\rightarrow \neg$ Rains Snows

S2: (Sunny $\rightarrow \neg$ Rains) \wedge (cloudy \rightarrow (Rains \vee Snows) $\wedge \neg$ (Rains \wedge Snows))

S3: (Sunny \wedge Windy $\rightarrow \neg$ Warm) \wedge (Sunny $\wedge \neg$ Windy \rightarrow Warm)

S4: Snows \leftrightarrow Cold.

(ii) Formalize S2 with at least 2 occurrences of \otimes : $P \otimes Q: P \text{ and not } Q.$

using (aii),

let A be sunny, and let B be \neg Rains.

let C be cloudy

then consider (cloudy \rightarrow (Rains \vee Snows) $\wedge \neg$ (Rains \wedge Snows))

$(A \rightarrow B) \otimes (C \rightarrow D)$

\ let A be cloudy, B be (Rains \vee Snows) $\wedge \neg$ (Rains \wedge Snows)

\ C sunny and D: Rainy.

\Rightarrow cloudy \otimes ((Rains \vee Snows) \otimes (Rains \wedge Snows)) \otimes (Sunny \otimes Rains)

(ii) Show that: $\neg (A \wedge \neg B) \vdash A \vee B.$

1. $\neg (A \wedge \neg B)$ given

2. $\neg \neg A \vee \neg \neg B$ 1, lemma 1

3. $A \vee B$ 2, lemma 2.

lemma 1: $\neg (A \wedge B) \vdash \neg A \vee \neg B$

1. $\neg (A \wedge B)$ given

2. $\neg (A \vee B)$ Assume

3. $\neg \neg A \vee \neg \neg B$ Assume.

4. $\neg \neg A$ Assume

5. $\neg \neg B$ 3, \vee I.

6. A 2, 3, 4, RAA

7. $\neg B$ Assume.

8. $\neg \neg A \vee \neg \neg B$ 6, \vee I.

9. B 2, 6, 7, RAA

10. $A \wedge B$ 5, 8, \wedge I

11. $\neg \neg A \vee \neg \neg B$ 1, 2, 9, RAA \square

lemma 2: $\neg\neg A \vdash A$

1. $\neg\neg A$ given

2. $\neg A$ Assume.

3. A 1, 2, RAA \square

$\neg(\neg M \wedge \neg N)$

(/ pvs

(ii) 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 $\vdash \neg P \rightarrow M \vee N$ and (i): $\neg(\neg A \wedge \neg B) \vdash A \vee B$.

1. $\neg A \wedge \neg B \rightarrow P$

2. $A \rightarrow (C \rightarrow P \vee (R \rightarrow N))$ } given.

3. $A \rightarrow (\neg C \rightarrow P \vee (R \rightarrow N))$

4. $B \rightarrow M \wedge N$

5. $\neg P \vee S \rightarrow R$

6. $\neg P$ Assume.

7. $\neg A \wedge \neg B$ Assume.

8. P 1, 7, $\rightarrow E$.

8. $\neg(\neg A \wedge \neg B)$ 6, 7, 8, RAA

9. $A \vee B$ 8, part ii

10. $\neg(M \vee N)$ Assume.

11. $\neg M \wedge \neg N$ 10, lemma 3.

12. $\neg M$ 11, $\wedge E$

13. $\neg N$ 11, $\wedge E$.

14. $\neg A$ Assume

15. B 9, 14, $\vee E$.

16. $M \wedge N$ 4, 15, $\rightarrow E$.

17. M 16, $\wedge E$.

18. A 12, 14, 17, RAA

19. $C \rightarrow P \vee (R \rightarrow N)$ 2, 18, $\rightarrow E$

20. $\neg C \rightarrow P \vee (R \rightarrow N)$ 3, 18, $\rightarrow E$.

21. $P \vee (R \rightarrow N)$ 19, 20, lemma 4.

22. $R \rightarrow N$ 6, 21, $\vee E$

23. $\neg P \vee S$ 6, $\vee I$

24. R 23, 5, $\rightarrow E$.

25. N 22, 24, $\rightarrow E$.

26. $M \vee N$ 10, 13, 25, RAA.

27. $\neg P \rightarrow M \vee N$ 6, 26, $\rightarrow I$.

lemma 3: $\neg(A \vee B) \vdash \neg A \wedge \neg B$

1. $\neg(A \vee B)$ given

2. A Assume

3. $A \vee B$ 2, $\vee I$.

4. $\neg A$ 1, 3, RAA

5. B Assume

6. $A \vee B$ 5, $\vee I$.

7. $\neg B$ 1, 6, RAA

8. $\neg A \wedge \neg B$ 4, 7, $\wedge I$.

lemma 4 (Dilemma): $A \rightarrow B, \neg A \rightarrow B \vdash B$

1. $A \rightarrow B$ } given

2. $\neg A \rightarrow B$ }

3. $\neg B$ Assume.

4. $\neg A$ Assume.

5. B 2, 4, $\rightarrow E$.

6. A 3, 5, RAA.

7. B 1, 6, $\rightarrow E$.

8. B 3, 7, RAA.

QED.