

Circuit Theory and Electronics Fundamentals

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Técnico, University of Lisbon

T2: RC Circuit Analysis

Hugo Tavares dos Santos, 86639
Ricardo Esteves Rodrigues, 95841, n.º95821
Víctor Negrini Liotti, n.º95839


April 5, 2021

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Theoretical Analysis	4
2.1	Analysis for $t \geq 0$	4
2.2	Equivalent Resistance and Initial Conditions	5
2.3	Natural Solution	6
2.4	Forced Solution	7
2.5	Final Total Solution	7
2.6	Frequency Responses	8
3	Simulation Analysis	10
3.1	Operating Point Analysis	10
3.2	Transient Analysis	11
3.2.1	Natural Response	11
3.2.2	Total Response	12
3.3	Frequency Analysis	13
3.3.1	Magnitude Response	13
3.3.2	Phase Response	14
4	Conclusion	14

1 Introduction

The objective of this laboratory assignment is to study an RC circuit as described in Figure 1.



07/04/2021

TCFE: DEEC/Instituto Superior Técnico

1

Figure 1: T2 RC circuit.

The objective of this laboratory assignment is to study the circuit detailed in figure 1, looking at its operation in function of the sinusoidal voltage source v_s .

In Section 2 we will perform the Theoretical Analysis, analogous to the previous section, we will do the analysis of the circuit making use of theoretical methods, such as the Thevenin and the Phasor Analysis.

In Section 3 we will perform the Simulation Analysis of the circuit, making use of the NGSpice software. We will simulate the circuit to obtain, among other things, the natural and the forced responses of the circuit.

In Section 4 we shall compare the results obtained in Sections 2 and 3, and comment on the differences (should they exist) and draw our conclusions.

2 Theoretical Analysis

The values used in both analysis are the following:

Name	Value
R_1	1.007812 $k\Omega$
R_2	2.003112 $k\Omega$
R_3	3.045036 $k\Omega$
R_4	4.178966 $k\Omega$
R_5	3.106157 $k\Omega$
R_6	2.060902 $k\Omega$
R_7	1.006346 $k\Omega$
V_S	5.048640 V
C_n	1.025026 μF
K_b	7.059582 mS
K_d	8.039139 mS

Table 1: Circuit data generated by inputing 86639 to the *t2_datagen.py* file.

2.1 Analysis for $t_1=0$

For $t_1=0$ we can see that we are working in the steady state, given that in that time interval $v_S = V_S$. In a DC circuit, the capacitor charges up to it's full capacity, blocking the flow of electricity. Taking this into account we can replace the capacitor with an open circuit. With this information, and knowing that the the tension in V_4 , since it is connected to ground, we can obtain the following equations.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ G_1 & -G_1 - G_2 - G_3 & G_2 & G_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -K_b - G_2 & G_2 & K_b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ G_1 & -G_1 & 0 & -G_4 & 0 & -G_6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G_6 + G_7 & -G_7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -G_6 * K_d & 1 \\ 0 & G_3 & 0 & -G_3 - G_4 - G_5 & G_5 & -G_6 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \\ V_3 \\ V_5 \\ V_6 \\ V_7 \\ V_8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -V_s \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

After solving them with the Octave software, we obtained the following results:

Name	Value
V1	5.048640 V
V2	4.860629 V
V3	4.468710 V
V5	4.888344 V
V6	5.496080 V
V7	-2.026270 V
V8	-3.015705 V
$I(R_1)$	0.186554 mA
$I(R_2)$	0.195655 mA
$I(R_3)$	0.009102 mA
$I(R_4)$	1.169750 mA
$I(R_5)$	0.195655 mA
$I(R_6)$	0.983196 mA
$I(R_7)$	0.983196 mA
$I(V_s)$	0.186554 mA
I_b	-0.195655 mA
I_c	0.000000 mA
$I(K_d)$	0.983196 mA

Table 2: Nodal Analysis for $t < 0$ s. Currents are expressed in milliAmpere and Voltages are expressed in Volt.

2.2 Equivalent Resistance and Initial Conditions

In order to determine the equivalent resistance we need to determine V_X , this is, the difference between $V_6 - V_8$. This is made to ensure that the voltage in the capacitor is continuous.

Making use of the matrix below:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ G1 & -G1 - G2 - G3 & G2 & G3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -Kb - G2 & G2 & Kb & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ G1 & -G1 & 0 & -G4 & 0 & -G6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G6 + G7 & -G7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -G6 * Kd & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V1 \\ V2 \\ V3 \\ V5 \\ V6 \\ V7 \\ V8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -V_x \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

And knowing that:

$$V_x = V_6 - V_8 \quad (3)$$

$$I_x = \frac{V_6 - V_5}{R_5} + \frac{V_3 - V_2}{R_2} \quad (4)$$

$$R_{eq} = \frac{V_x}{I_x} \quad (5)$$

$$\tau = R_{eq} * C \quad (6)$$

We can obtain the following values:

Name	Value
V_x	8.511786 V
I_x	2.740295 mA
R_{Eq}	3.106157 kΩ
τ	0.003184 s

Table 3: Second nodal analysis and calculations for R_{Eq} and τ . Currents are expressed in *milliAmpere*, Voltages are expressed in *Volt*, Resistances are expressed in *kiloOhm* and Time Constant is expressed in *second*.

2.3 Natural Solution

The natural solution doesn't take into account independent power sources. Using V_x as the initial condition the natural solution can be obtained with the following equation

$$V_{6n}(t) = V_x e^{(-\frac{t}{\tau})} \quad (7)$$

After computing this data on Octave, we can plot the results of the interval [0, 20]ms.




Figure 2: Natural solution V_{6n} in the interval [0, 20] ms plot.

2.4 Forced Solution

In order to obtain the forced solution we must be able to solve the following equation.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -G1 & G1 + G2 + G3 & -G2 & -G3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Kb + G2 & -G2 & -Kb & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -G1 & G1 & 0 & G4 & 0 & G6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -G6 - G7 & G7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & G6 * Kd & -1 \\ 0 & -G3 & 0 & G3 + G4 + G5 & -G5 - jwC & G6 & jwC \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V1 \\ V2 \\ V3 \\ V5 \\ V6 \\ V7 \\ V8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -j \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

The solution to this system is presented in the table below:

Name	Complex Amplitude [V]	Phase [Degrees]
V_1	1.000000	-90.000000
V_2	0.962760	-90.000000
V_3	0.885131	-90.000000
V_5	0.968250	-90.000000
V_6	0.599056	98.067060
V_7	0.401350	90.000000
V_8	0.597330	90.000000

Table 4: Nodal analysis for phasor voltage in forced state.

These values are needed to determine the forced solution V_{6f} , which is given by the following formula:

$$V_{6f}(t) = V_{6r} \cos(\omega t + V_{6\phi}); \quad (9)$$

Where

$$\omega = 2\pi f; \quad (10)$$

2.5 Final Total Solution

The final total solution $V_6(t)$ is achieved by superimposing the natural and forced solutions.

$$V_6(t) = V_{6n}(t) + V_{6f}(t); \quad (11)$$

By converting the phasors to real time functions for $f = 1kHz$ and superimposing the natural and forced solutions, we can plot both $V_S(t)$ and $V_6(t)$ in the interval $[-5, 20] ms$, as shown in the figure below:




Figure 3: Total solution of V_6 and V_s plot.

As expected, both curves shown in Figure 3 are constant for $t < 0$ s. For $t > 0$ s, we can see an evident negative exponential behavior and an induced frequency in V_6 .

2.6 Frequency Responses

Because $V_S(t) = \sin(\omega t)$, the magnitude and phase are independent of the frequency f . This means that both the magnitude and phase are expected to be constant in the plots that follow.

The magnitude frequency response is given in Figure 4. The phase response for frequencies ranging from 0.1 Hz to 1 MHz is given in Figure 5. The apparent discontinuity showed in the phase of $V(6)$ is in fact caused by the domain of the arctan function that is used to determined the phase, which is, in reality, continuous.




Figure 4: Magnitude frequency response plot, in dB, of V_c , $V(6)$ and V_s .




Figure 5: Phase response plot, in degrees, of V_c , $V(6)$ and V_s .

3 Simulation Analysis

In this section, the circuit shown in Figure 1 is simulated with the use of NGSpice. Using the operating point analysis for both $t < 0$ s and $t = 0$ s, we determine the initial conditions needed for the transient analysis, which in turn simulates the circuit's total response. Because of the use of NGSpice for this simulation, there was a need to create a "dummy" voltage source, between nodes 7 and 8, that provided 0 V to the circuit (thus not changing the behavior of the original circuit). Because this is just a technical issue that does not affect the original circuit, the one shown in Figure 1 can be used for illustrative purposes.

3.1 Operating Point Analysis

Table 5 shows the simulated operating point results for $t < 0$ s, where it's assumed that no current flows through the capacitor (open circuit). Table 6 shows the simulated operating point results for $t = 0$ s, where V_S is short-circuited and the capacitor is replaced with a voltage source $V_x = V(6) - V(8)$ (with $V(6)$ and $V(8)$ as obtained in Table 5).

Name	Value [A or V]
v(1)	0.000000e+00
v(2)	1.662312e-15
v(3)	5.127494e-15
v(5)	1.417269e-15
v(6)	8.511786e+00
v(7)	-8.18711e-16
v(8)	-1.77636e-15
v(9)	-8.18711e-16

Table 5: Operating point data for $t < 0$ s. A variable preceded by @ is of type Current and is expressed in Ampere; other variables are of type Voltage and are expressed in Volt.

Name	Value [A or V]
v(1)	0.000000e+00
v(2)	1.662312e-15
v(3)	5.127494e-15
v(5)	1.417269e-15
v(6)	8.511786e+00
v(7)	-8.18711e-16
v(8)	-1.77636e-15
v(9)	-8.18711e-16

Table 6: Operating point data for $t = 0$ s. A variable preceded by @ is of type Current and is expressed in Ampere; other variables are of type Voltage and are expressed in Volt.

Compared to the theoretical analysis results, one notices that the simulated data matches almost perfectly the theoretical values. This is expected, as the circuits being simulated in both scenarios are exclusively composed by linear components. Moreover, the slight discrepancies (of the order of $1e - 15$) can be associated to the precision of ngspice and to some approximations made by octave because of the precision of the floating point used.

3.2 Transient Analysis

3.2.1 Natural Response

Figure 6 shows the plot of the simulated transient analysis results in the interval $[0, 20] \text{ ms}$, using the boundary conditions of $V(6)$ and $V(8)$ as determined before. Once again, the simulation data matches with the theoretical natural response prediction, and one can clearly see the negative exponential behavior of $V(6)$, as was expected.




Figure 6: Natural response of V_6 in the interval $[0, 20] \text{ ms}$.

3.2.2 Total Response

Figure 7 shows the plot of the simulated transient analysis results in the interval $[0, 20] \text{ ms}$, by using $V_S(t)$ as given in Figure 1 and $f = 1 \text{ kHz}$. Once again, the simulation data matches with the theoretical total response prediction, as was expected.




Figure 7: Total response of V_6 and V_S in the interval $[0, 20] \text{ ms}$.

3.3 Frequency Analysis

In this section, the frequency response in node 6 is simulated, with the frequency in logscale, magnitude in dB and phase in $degrees$, for the frequency range of 0.1 Hz to 1 MHz .

3.3.1 Magnitude Response

Figure 8 shows the magnitude of the frequency response for the circuit under analysis. Compared to the theoretical analysis results, one notices a clear match between plots. Thus, the reasons for how $V(6)$ and V_S differ from each other are the same as explained in the theoretical analysis above.




Figure 8: Magnitude of frequency response of $V(6)$ and V_S plot.

3.3.2 Phase Response

Figure 9 shows the magnitude of the frequency response for the circuit under analysis. Compared to the theoretical analysis results, one notices a clear match between plots. Thus, the reasons for how $V(6)$ and V_S differ from each other are the same as explained in the theoretical analysis above.




Figure 9: Phase response of $V(6)$ and V_S plot.

4 Conclusion

In this laboratory assignment the objective of analysing an RC circuit has been achieved with success.

The theoretical estimates were compared with the simulation results, yielding very similar results. The plots obtained from performing the nodal method in GNU Octave and the simulation in NGSpice are mostly identical, with errors being of such low order of magnitude that they become negligible, and are most likely attributable to rounding errors. This outcome was expected, since the circuit is composed of linear components, and hence NGSpice likely used the same methods as Octave did in the theoretical part. Because the results are coherent and within expectation, we consider this task to be successful.