

46 - Dynamic Arrays in C++ (std::vector)

quarta-feira, 12 de março de 2025 07:14

- Template array, the data type the container contains, is up to you to decide
- Don't need to use templates to use, just need to provide the type
- Class called std::vector
 - o Should be called array list, not vector... but ok
 - o It's a set that doesn't enforce the type
- Unlike array, this can actually resize itself
 - o Create the array and put element into it
 - o We can start without knowing how many elements are in there
- We usually get creating our own types
- Make a vector that allocates 10 elements, and we violate this size
 - o It copy all elements that is already there, and put in another place in memory, larger
 - o But the thing is... it grows and copy things a lot
- We need a way to grow, that is the motivation with vectors
 - o When reached certain amount of data, grow

```
671 struct vertex46
672 {
673     float x, y, z;
674 };
675
676 std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& stream, const vertex46& vertex)
677 {
678     stream << vertex.x << ", " << vertex.y << ", " << vertex.z;
679     return stream;
680 }
681
682 void Function46(const std::vector<vertex46>& vertice)
683 {
684     std::cout << "Always pass vectors by reference!!!!!! Const reference if not going to change it" << std::endl;
685 }
686
687 int main()
688 {
689     // vertex46 vertices46 = new vertex46[5];
690     // we can access array 0 to 4, and if we try here, we get an error
691     // vertex46[0] ~ vertex46[4]
692     // vertex46[5] // error
693
694     // Include a vector
695     std::vector<vertex46> vertex46_2;
696     // Is more optimal to store objects than memory
697     // to perform operations i mean
698     // If store pointers, it's cheaper to resize, because it copies only integers and not objects
699     vertex46_2.push_back({1, 2, 3});
700     vertex46_2.push_back({4, 5, 6});
701
702     // get the size
703     for (int i = 0; i < (int)vertex46_2.size(); i++)
704     {
705         std::cout << vertex46_2[i] << std::endl;
706     }
707
708     // avoid copy at any cost, so let's convert to const reference
709     for (const vertex46& v : vertex46_2)
710     {
711         std::cout << v << std::endl;
712     }
713
714     // clear the element
715     // vertex46_2.clear();
716     // To erase an element
717     vertex46_2.erase(vertex46_2.begin() + 1);
718
719     for (const vertex46& v : vertex46_2)
720     {
721         std::cout << v << std::endl;
722     }
```

```
719
720     for (const vertex46& v : vertex46_2)
721     {
722         std::cout << v << std::endl;
723     }
724
725     Function46(vertex46_2);
726
727
728
```

Optimizing the usage of std::vector in C++

- How to optimize vectors
 - know your environment
 - what should happen
 - Important things to know when optimizing
- For vectors, it's important to know how it works
 - Create a vector and start to push back elements. Until it reaches its limit and has to copy everything across to another larger location and delete the old one
 - This is a slow operation
- How can we avoid copying the objects when dealing with copy

```

685
686 struct Vertex47
687 {
688     float x, y, z;
689
690     Vertex47(float x, float y, float z) : x(x), y(y), z(z) {};
691
692     // Create a copy constructor to display when it is used
693     Vertex47(const Vertex47& vectex) : x(vectex.x), y(vectex.y), z(vectex.z)
694     {
695         std::cout << "Copied!" << std::endl;
696     }
697 };
698
699 int main()
700 {
701     std::vector<Vertex47> vertex47; // 0 COPIES
702     vertex47.push_back({1, 2, 3});
703     // 1 COPY - when constructing it, it's done in main. We need to get
704     // from main function into the actual vector ( the memory where vector
705     // is allocated ) -- can we do it in place?
706     vertex47.push_back({4, 5, 6});
707     // 3 copies -> 1 is the same as before, but the vector. But the size
708     // of the vector reached it's limit and has to resize
709     // 1 by default, moved to 2
710     vertex47.push_back(Vertex47(7, 8, 9));
711     // 6 copies -> 1 is the same as the first, but the vector. But the size
712     // of the vector reached it's limit and has to resize
713     // 2 by default, moved to 3... and copy everything
714
715     // 6 COPIES USING THE DEFAULT CONFIGURATION
716     std::cout << "" << std::endl;
717
718     // First optimization, already define a size for the vector if we already know it
719     std::vector<Vertex47> vertex47_2; // 0 COPIES
720     vertex47_2.reserve(3); // save a great deal of copies here
721     vertex47_2.push_back({1, 2, 3});
722     // 1 COPY - when constructing it, it's done in main. We need to get
723     // from main function into the actual vector ( the memory where vector
724     // is allocated ) -- can we do it in place?
725     vertex47_2.push_back({4, 5, 6});
726     // 2 copies -> 1 is the same as before, but the vector. But the size
727     // did not reach the limit, so no resize.
728     vertex47_2.push_back(Vertex47(7, 8, 9));
729     // 3 copies -> 1 is the same as the first, but the vector. But the size
730     // did not reach the limit, so no resize.
731
732     std::cout << "" << std::endl;
733
734     // Second optimization, already define a size for the vector if we already know it
735     // The idea is to construct direct in the actual vector
736     std::vector<Vertex47> vertex47_3; // 0 COPIES
737     vertex47_3.reserve(3); // save a great deal of copies here
738     vertex47_3.emplace_back(7, 8, 9);
739     // 0 copies, constructor is inline now and no need to copy from main to vector stack
740     vertex47_3.emplace_back(7, 8, 9);
741     // 0 copies, constructor is inline now and no need to copy from main to vector stack
742     vertex47_3.emplace_back(7, 8, 9);
743     // 0 copies, constructor is inline now and no need to copy from main to vector stack
744
745     std::cout << "No copies!" << std::endl;
746
747     std::cout << "" << std::endl;
748

```