(Point-and-click) How many connected components in the preimage of an interval?

Here's my proposal for how a point-and-click system can prove this problem.

- Click 'Prove the opposite': We have a "for all" conjecture to prove or disprove. It's easier to disprove it (its negation is an "exists" statement) so we start with that. We now have to find a continuous function from a compact space to the reals which has an interval with infinitely many connected components in its preimage.
- Click 'specialize': We instead want to prove we can find such a function which has a *point* (interval of length 0) with infinitely many connected components in its preimage.
- Click 'guess': We have a library of maybe thirty of so functions that a student would typically see in a calculus or analysis class. We choose xsin(1/x) because it is both "continuous" and "wiggly" (which we know intuitively corresponds to a point having a lot of preimages). More formally, perhaps each function in this library of functions could have several "tags" (or lemmas concerning it) one of the lemmas would be continuity, but I'm not yet sure what the lemma could be that captures its "wiggliness."
- Click 'specialize': Choose the point 0. We now satisfy the specialization.
- Click 'generalize': Now we want to go back to finding a function where the *interval* has infinitely connected components in its preimage. So we turn the point 0 into the interval $(-\epsilon, +\epsilon)$.
- Click 'fail': At this point the human user might realize they failed, use routine moves to prove there are actually only finitely many components. And so, the 'failure' will add as a lemma that that particular function, while it has infinitely many preimages of a point, finitely many in an interval.
- Click 'Prove the opposite' The failure has now caused the point "L" (with infinitely many preimages) to enter the proof state as a potentially significant mathematical object. Now we try to prove the lemma using this "L".

- **Click 'unfold'**We might now be motivated to unfold to the sequential definition of compactness.
- Apply routine moves We now apply routine moves to try to find a contradiction regarding the definition of f(L)...
- ullet Click 'fail'...but we ultimately find no contradiction can be achieved because the point L can be defined to be an endpoint of the interval I.
- Click 'Prove the opposite' It seems each time we fail, we are convinced what we are doing isn't possible, so we negate the statement. So here we do again.
- Click 'specialize' We use the function $x \sin(1/x)$ as before.
- Click 'specialize' And finally, we know we should make the point L=0 an endpoint of the interval so we specialize the interval to (0,1).

And we're done.