

## Semigroup where $BBBC=BBCB$

Tim devised the following problem.

Consider the semigroup with three generators: A, B, and C. We know:

- $AB = BA$
- $BC = CAB$
- $ABB = ABBC$

We want to prove:

- $BBBC = BBCB$

### The solution

Since this is a semigroup, the only things I could do were:

- use one of the three rewrite rules,
- forward-reason by multiplying both sides by an element, or
- backward-reason by cancelling an element from both sides.

I noticed that in trying to prove  $BBBC = BBCB$ , I could hop the C all the way to the front by repeatedly applying  $BC=CAB$ . So, I realized proving the goal is equivalent to proving  $CABABAB = CABABB$ . Then, I thought it might be nicer to rearrange, using the  $AB=BA$  rule, to something like  $CAAABBB = CAABBB$ . So that became my new goal — to “create an A” in a specific situation.

Now, I had to prove I could “create an A” in a specific situation. After a few attempts at the problem, the “intuition” behind the rules became engrained in me:

```
AB = BA      (the way to move around As and Bs)
BC = CAB     (the way to introduce/destroy As)
ABB = ABBC   (the way to introduce/destroy Cs)
```

So how to prove that  $CAAABBB = CAABBB$ ? At this point, I realized the only rule I hadn't used yet was  $ABB=ABBC$ . So I started from that statement. And I knew I wanted to hop the C (to create an A) by applying the rule  $BC=CAB$ .

```
ABB = ABBC
    = ABCAB   (hop up the C)
    = ACABCAB (hop up the C)
```

To make my state look like the target, I needed to get the C all the way to the front. But I realized there is no way to move the C up without a B before it. So I started afresh.

```

ABB  = ABBC
BABB = BABBC    (set up a B at the front)
      = BABCAB   (hop up the C)
      = BACABAB  (hop up the C)
      = ABCABAB  (put the B before the C...)
      = ACABBAB  (...so I can hop up the C)

```

Ah but still, there was no way for that C to get ahead of that B. I realized moving a C up wasn't doable as long as there is an A in front. Another issue was that I had hopped the C twice, so now I had too many As.

Then I realized — I don't have to get the C all the way to the front. I could remove it entirely. That is, I could change my goal to proving the stronger statement: `AABBB = AAABBB`.

So then I started off the same track, but tweaked a little:

```

ABB  = ABBC
BABB = BABBC    (set up a B at the front)
      = BABCAB   (hop up the C)
      = ABBCAB   (set up for destruction)
      = ABBAB    (destroy the C)
ABBB = AABBB    (tidy up)
AABBB= AAABBB   (make it look like the goal)

```

And so, I can create "A"s!

So, using these intermediary lemmas, I could prove the statement:

```

AABBB = AAABBB    (by the lemma)
CAABBB = CAAABBB  (by multiplying by C)
BBBC = BBCB       (by the lemma)

```