Challenge — Divisible Subsequence¹

The core of the problem is proving the lemma below. The algorithm easily follows from the proof.

Lemma 1. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a consecutive subsequence $a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_j$ with $1 \le i \le j \le n$ such that

$$n \mid (a_i + a_{i+1} + \ldots + a_i).$$

Proof. As suggested in the hint, we consider the sums $S_i = \sum_{k=1}^i a_k$ for $1 \le i \le n$. If $R_n(S_l) = 0$ for some $1 \le l \le n$, then we are done (we can choose i = 1 and j = l). Now we assume $R_n(S_l) \ne 0$ for all $1 \le l \le n$. Then the remainder of each sum mod n is in $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, giving n-1 possible remainders. Since we have n sums, by the pigeonhole principle there must exist two sums S_i, S_j with i < j that have the same remainder. We then have

$$R_n(S_j) = R_n(S_i) \iff S_j \equiv_n S_i$$

$$\iff S_j - S_i \equiv_n 0$$

$$\iff n \mid (S_j - S_i) = (a_{i+1} + a_{i+2} + \dots + a_j),$$

giving us the consecutive subsequence $a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \ldots, a_i$ whose sum is divisible by n. \square

The idea of the algorithm is then the following. Using prefix sums we can compute the value of a sum S_i in $\mathcal{O}(1)$. We then check first if any of the sums are already divisible by n and if not, we find two sums with the same remainder (which are guaranteed to exist as shown in the above proof). All of this can be done in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ as follows:

```
Algorithm DivisibleSubsequence(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)
```

```
\begin{array}{l} p[1] = a_1 \\ \text{for } i = 2, \dots, n \text{ do} \\ p[i] = p[i-1] + a_i & \rhd \text{Compute the sum } S_i. \\ \hline\\ r[i] = -1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < n \\ \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n \text{ do} \\ d = R_n(p[j]) \\ \text{if } d = 0 \text{ then} \\ \text{return } a_1, \dots, a_j & \rhd \text{Case } n \mid S_j. \\ \text{else if } r[d] = -1 \text{ then} \\ r[d] = j & \rhd \text{Store } R_n(S_j). \text{ We will have our answer when we find the next sum with the same remainder.} \\ \text{else} \\ \text{return } a_{r[d]+1}, \dots, a_j \end{array}
```

The correctness of the algorithm follows from the proof of Lemma 1.

¹This problem was adapted from Task 5 of the HS18 exam.