

# Beweisaufgabe mit Polynomen

Let  $F$  be a finite field. Show that there exists an irreducible polynomial  $p(x) \in F[x]$  with  $\deg(p(x)) > 1$ .

# Proof systems (HS21)

( $\star$ ) Let  $\Pi_1 = (\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{P}_1, \tau_1, \phi_1)$  and  $\Pi_2 = (\mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{P}_2, \tau_2, \phi_2)$  be two proof systems. We combine  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  into a third proof system

$$\Pi_3 = (\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{P}_1 \times \mathcal{P}_2, \tau_3, \phi_3),$$

where

$$\tau_3(s_1, s_2) = 1 \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \quad \tau_1(s_1) = 1 \text{ or } \tau_2(s_2) = 1,$$

and

$$\phi_3((s_1, s_2), (p_1, p_2)) = 1 \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \quad \phi_1(s_1, p_1) = 1 \text{ or } \phi_2(s_2, p_2) = 1.$$

# Proof systems (HS21)

(★) Let  $\Pi_1 = (\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{P}_1, \tau_1, \phi_1)$  and  $\Pi_2 = (\mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{P}_2, \tau_2, \phi_2)$  be two proof systems. We combine  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  into a third proof system

$$\Pi_3 = (\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{P}_1 \times \mathcal{P}_2, \tau_3, \phi_3),$$

where

$$\tau_3(s_1, s_2) = 1 \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \quad \tau_1(s_1) = 1 \text{ or } \tau_2(s_2) = 1,$$

and

$$\phi_3((s_1, s_2), (p_1, p_2)) = 1 \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \quad \phi_1(s_1, p_1) = 1 \text{ or } \phi_2(s_2, p_2) = 1.$$

(a) Prove or disprove: If  $\Pi$  complete, then  $\Pi_1$  complete or  $\Pi_2$  complete.

# Proof systems (HS21)

( $\star$ ) Let  $\Pi_1 = (\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{P}_1, \tau_1, \phi_1)$  and  $\Pi_2 = (\mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{P}_2, \tau_2, \phi_2)$  be two proof systems. We combine  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  into a third proof system

$$\Pi_3 = (\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{P}_1 \times \mathcal{P}_2, \tau_3, \phi_3),$$

where

$$\tau_3(s_1, s_2) = 1 \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \quad \tau_1(s_1) = 1 \text{ or } \tau_2(s_2) = 1,$$

and

$$\phi_3((s_1, s_2), (p_1, p_2)) = 1 \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \quad \phi_1(s_1, p_1) = 1 \text{ or } \phi_2(s_2, p_2) = 1.$$

- (a) Prove or disprove: If  $\Pi$  complete, then  $\Pi_1$  complete or  $\Pi_2$  complete.
- (b) Prove or disprove: If  $\Pi_1$  sound or  $\Pi_2$  sound, then  $\Pi$  sound.

# Kalküle (FS22)

Consider the calculus consisting of the following four derivation rules:

$$\emptyset \vdash_{R_1} F \rightarrow F$$

$$\{F\} \vdash_{R_2} F \vee F$$

$$\{\neg F \vee \neg F\} \vdash_{R_3} F \rightarrow (\neg F \vee \neg F)$$

$$\{F \rightarrow (G \vee H), G \rightarrow H\} \vdash_{R_4} F \rightarrow H$$

Formally derive  $A \rightarrow \neg A$  from  $\{\neg A\}$ .