Nonparametric dobuly robust estimation of continuous treatment

This work is to verify the proof of theorems introduced in the paper (Kennedy et al, 2016).

Guide to notation

Z = (L, A, Y) =observed data arising from distribution P with density $p(z) = p(y \mid l, a)p(a \mid l)p(l)$ and support supp $(Z) = Z = \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{Y}$

$$\mathbb{P}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \delta_{z_i} = \text{empirical measure so that } \mathbb{P}_n f(Z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i f(z_i)$$

 $\mathbb{P}(\mho) = \mathbb{P}\{\mho(\mathbb{Z})\} = \int_{\mathcal{Z}} f(z) dP(z) = \text{expectation for new } Z \text{ treating } f \text{ as fixed (so } \mathbb{P}(\mathring{\mho}) \text{ is random if } \mathring{f} \text{ depends on sample, in which case } \mathbb{P}(\mathring{\mho}) \neq E(\mathring{f}))$

$$\pi(a \mid l) = p(a \mid l) = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} P(A \leq a \mid l) = \text{conditional density of treatment A}$$

 $\hat{\pi}(a \mid l)$ = user-specified estimator of $\pi(a \mid l)$, which converges to limit $\overline{\pi}(a \mid l)$ that may not equal true π

$$\omega(a) = p(a) = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} P(A \le a) = E[\pi(a \mid L)] = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \pi(a \mid l) dP(l) = \text{density of A}$$

 $\hat{\omega(a)} = \mathbb{P}_{\kappa}\{\hat{\pi}(a \mid l)\} = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \pi(a \mid l) dP(l) = \text{estimator of } \omega, \text{ which converges to limit } \overline{\omega}(a) \text{ that may not equal true } \omega$

$$\mu(l\mid a) = E(Y\mid L=l, A=a) = \int_{\mathcal{V}} y dP(y\mid l, a) = \text{conditional mean outcome}$$

 $\hat{\mu}(l \mid a) = \text{user-specified estimator of } \mu(l \mid a), \text{ which converges to limit } \overline{\mu}(l, a) \text{ that may not equal true } \mu$

$$\hat{m}(a) = \mathbb{P}_{\kappa} \{ \hat{\mu}(L, a) \} = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \hat{\mu}(l, a) d\mathbb{P}_{\kappa}(l) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \hat{\mu}(l_{i}, a)$$

$$\psi = \int_{A} \int_{C} \mu(l, a) \omega(a) dP(l) da$$

Theorem 1.

Under a nonparametric model, the efficient influence function for ψ is $\xi(Z; \pi, u) - \psi + \int_{\mathcal{A}} \{\mu(L, a) - \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l, a) dP(l)\} \omega(a) da$ where

$$\xi(Z;\pi,u) = \tfrac{Y - \mu(L,A)}{\pi(A|L)} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \pi(A\mid l) dP(l) + \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l,a) dP(l)$$

Importantly, the function $\xi(Z; \pi, u)$ satisfies its desired double robustness property, i.e., that $E[\xi(Z; \pi, u) \mid A = a] = \theta(a)$ if either $\overline{\pi} = \pi$ or $\overline{\mu} = \mu$ where $\theta(a) = E(Y^a)$

This motivates estimating the effect curve $\theta(a)$ by estimating the nuisance functions (π, μ) , and then regressing the estimated psuedo-outcome

$$\hat{\xi}(Z;\hat{\pi},\hat{\mu}) = \frac{Y - \hat{\mu}(L,A)}{\hat{\pi}(A,L)} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \hat{\pi}(A,L) d\mathbb{P}_n(l) + \int_{\mathcal{L}} \hat{\mu}(l,a) d\mathbb{P}_n(l)$$

on treatment A using off-the-shelf nonparametric regression or machine learning methods.

Proof of Theorem 1

By definition the efficient influence function for ψ is the unique function $\phi(Z)$ that satisfies $\psi'_{\epsilon}(0) = E[\phi(Z)\ell'_{\epsilon}(Z;0)]$, where $\psi(\epsilon)$ represents the parameter of interest as a functional on the parametric submodel and $\ell(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon) = \log p(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon)$ for any partition $(W, \overline{W}) \subseteq Z$. Therefore

$$\ell'_{\epsilon}(z;\epsilon) = \ell'_{\epsilon}(y \mid l, a; \epsilon) + \ell'_{\epsilon}(a \mid l; \epsilon) + \ell'_{\epsilon}(l; \epsilon)$$

The authors give two important properties of such score functions of such score functions $\ell'_{\epsilon}(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon)$ that will be used throughout this proof. First note that since $\ell_{\epsilon}(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon)$ is a log transformation of $p(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon)$, it follows that $\ell'_{\epsilon}(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon) = p'(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon)/p(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon)/$. Similarly, as with any score function, note that $E[\ell'_{\epsilon}(W \mid \overline{W}; \epsilon) \mid \overline{W}] = 0$ since

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}} \ell'_{\epsilon}(w \mid \overline{w}; 0) dP(w \mid \overline{w}) = \int_{\mathcal{W}} dP'(w \mid \overline{w}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{W}} P(w \mid \overline{w}) = 0$$

The goal in this proof is to show that $\psi'_{\epsilon}(0) = E[\phi(Z)]\ell'_{\epsilon}(Z;0)$ for the proposed influence function $\phi(Z) = \xi(Z;\pi,u) - \psi + \int_{\mathcal{A}} \{\mu(L,a) - \int_{\mathcal{L}} \{\mu(l,a)dP(l)\}\omega(a)da$. First we will give an expression for $\psi'_{\epsilon}(0)$. By definition $\psi(\epsilon) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \theta(a;\epsilon)\omega(a;\epsilon)da$ because

$$\begin{split} \psi &= \int_{\mathcal{A}} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l,a) \omega(a) dP(l) da = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l,a) dP(l) dP(a) \\ &= E \big[E[\mu(l,a)] \big] = E[E(Y \mid L=l,A=a)] = E \big[E[Y^a] \big] = E[\theta(a)]. \end{split}$$

Hence,
$$\psi'_{\epsilon}(0) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \{\theta'(a;0)\omega(a) + \theta(a)\omega'(a;0)\}da = E[\theta'_{\epsilon}(A;0) + \theta(A)\ell'_{\epsilon}(A;0)]$$
 because $\omega(a) = p(a)$ and $\ell'_{\epsilon}(A;0) = \frac{P'_{\epsilon}(A;0)}{P(A;0)} \iff \omega'_{\epsilon}(A;0) = \ell'_{\epsilon}(A;0)P(A;0)$

Also since $\theta(a; \epsilon) = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \int_{\mathcal{V}} y \ p(y \mid l, a; \epsilon) p(l; \epsilon) \ d\eta(y) \ d\upsilon(l)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \theta'(a;0) &= \int_{\mathcal{L}} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} y \ \{ p'_{\epsilon}(y \mid l, a; 0) p(l) + p(y \mid l, a) p'(l; 0) \} \ d\eta(y) \ d\upsilon(l) \\ &= \theta'(a; 0) = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} y \ \{ \ell'_{\epsilon}(y \mid l, a; 0) p(y \mid l, a) p(l) + p(y \mid l, a) \ell'_{\epsilon}(l; 0) p(l) \} \ d\eta(y) \ d\upsilon(l) \\ &= E \big[E \{ Y \ell'_{\epsilon}(Y \mid L, A; 0) \mid L, A = a \} \big] + E \big[\mu(L, a) \ell'_{\epsilon}(L; 0) \big] \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\psi_{\epsilon}'(0) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \Big(E\big[E\{Y\ell_{\epsilon}'(Y\mid L,A;0)\mid L,A=a\} \big] + E\big[\mu(L,a)\ell_{\epsilon}'(L;0) \big] + \theta(a)\ell_{\epsilon}'(a;0) \Big) \omega(a) da$$

Now we will consider the covariance

$$\begin{split} E[\phi(Z)\ell_{\epsilon}'(Z;0)] &= E[\phi(Z)\{\ell_{\epsilon}'(Y\mid L,A;0) + \ell_{\epsilon}'(A,L;0)\}] \text{ because} \\ \ell_{\epsilon}'(z;\epsilon) &= \ell_{\epsilon}'(y\mid l,a;\epsilon) + \ell_{\epsilon}'(a\mid l;\epsilon) + \ell_{\epsilon}'(l;\epsilon) = \ell_{\epsilon}'(y\mid l,a;0) + \ell_{\epsilon}'(a,l;0) \end{split}$$

which we need to show equals the earlier expression for $\psi'_{\epsilon}(0)$.

The proposed efficient influence function given in **Theorem 1** is

$$\xi(Z;\pi,u) = \frac{Y - \mu(L,A)}{\pi(A \mid L)} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \pi(A \mid l) dP(l) + \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l,a) dP(l)$$

where we define $m(a) = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l,a) dP(l)$ as the marginalized version of the regression function μ , so that $m(a) = \theta(a)$ if μ is the true regression function.

$$m(a) = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l,a) dP(l) = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} y \ dP(y \mid l,a) dP(l) = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} y \ p(y \mid l,a) p(l) \ d\eta(y) \ d\upsilon(l) = \theta(a)$$

Thus,
$$E\left[\phi(Z)\ell'_{\epsilon}(Y\mid L,A;0)\right]$$

$$= E\left(\left[\frac{Y-\mu(L,A)}{\pi(A\mid L)/\omega(A)} + \int_{\mathcal{A}}\{\mu(L,a-\theta(a)\}\omega(a)\ da + \theta(A) - \psi\right]\ell'_{\epsilon}(Y\mid L,A;0)\right)$$

$$= E\left[\frac{Y\ell'_{\epsilon}(Y\mid L,A;0)}{\pi(A\mid L)/\omega(A)}\right]$$

$$= E\left[\frac{E[Y\ell'_{\epsilon}(Y\mid L,A;0)\mid L,A]}{\pi(A\mid L)/\omega(A)}\right]$$

$$= \int_{A} E\left[E\{Y\ell'_{\epsilon}(Y\mid L,A;0)\mid L,A=a\}\right]\omega(a)\ da$$

where the first equality follows since

$$\begin{split} \phi(Z) &= \frac{Y - \mu(L,A)}{\pi(A|L)} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \pi(A\mid l) dP(l) + \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l,a) dP(l) - \psi + \int_{\mathcal{A}} \{\mu(L,a) - \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l,a) dP(l)\} \omega(a) da \\ &= \frac{Y - \mu(L,A)}{\pi(A\mid L)/\omega(A)} + \theta(A) - \psi + \int_{\mathcal{A}} \{\mu(L,a) - \theta(A)\} \omega(a) da \end{split}$$

the second since $E[\ell'_{\epsilon}(Y \mid L, A; 0) \mid L, A] = 0$, the third by iterated expectation conditioning on L and A, the last by iterated expectation conditioning on L gives:

$$\begin{split} &E\Big(E\Big[\frac{E[Y\ell_{\epsilon}'(Y|L,A;0)|L,A]}{\pi(A|L)/\omega(A)}\mid L\Big]\Big)\\ &=E\Big(E\Big[\frac{E[Y\ell_{\epsilon}'(Y|L,A;0)|L,A]}{\pi(A|L)/E[\pi(A|L)]}\mid L\Big]\Big)\\ &=E\Big(E\Big[E[Y\ell_{\epsilon}'(Y\mid L,A;0)\mid L,A]\Big]\Big)\\ &=\int_{\mathcal{A}}E\Big[E\{Y\ell_{\epsilon}'(Y\mid L,A;0)\mid L,A=a\}\Big]\omega(a)\ da \end{split}$$

Now,
$$E[\phi(Z)\ell'_{\epsilon}(A, L; 0)]$$

= $E\Big[\Big\{\frac{Y - \mu(L, A)}{\pi(A|L)/\omega(A)}\Big\}\ell'_{\epsilon}(A, L; 0) + \{\theta(A) - \psi\}\Big\{\ell'_{\epsilon}(L \mid A; 0) + \ell'_{\epsilon}(A; 0)\Big\}$
+ $\int_{\mathcal{A}}\Big\{\mu(L, a) - \theta(a)\Big\}\omega(a)da\Big\{\ell'_{\epsilon}(A \mid L; 0) + \ell'_{\epsilon}(L; 0)\Big\}\Big]$

$$= E \Big[\theta(A) \epsilon(A;0) + \int_{\mathcal{A}} \mu(L,a) \ell'_{\epsilon}(L;0) \omega(a) da \Big]$$

since by definition $\ell'_{\epsilon}(A, L; 0) = \ell'_{\epsilon}(L \mid A; 0) + \ell'_{\epsilon}(A; 0) = \ell'_{\epsilon}(A \mid L; 0) + \ell'_{\epsilon}(L; 0)$, and the equality used iterated expectation conditioning on L and A for the first term in the first line:

$$E[\ell_\epsilon'(A,L;0)\mid A,L] = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \ell_\epsilon'(A,l;0) dP(A,l) = \int_{\mathcal{L}} dP_\epsilon'(A,l) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{L}} dP(A,L) = 0$$

iterated expectation conditioning on A for the second term in the first line:

$$E[\ell'_{\epsilon}(L \mid A; 0) \mid A] = 0 \text{ and } E[\ell'_{\epsilon}(A; 0) \mid A] = 0$$

and iterated expectation conditioning on L for the second line.

Adding the expression $E[\phi(Z)\ell'_{\epsilon}(Y \mid L, A; 0)]$ and $E[\phi(Z)\ell'_{\epsilon}(A, L; 0)]$ gives:

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} \Big(E\big[E\{Y\ell_\epsilon'(Y\mid L,A;0)\mid L,A=a\} + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) \big] + \theta(A)\epsilon(A;0) \Big) \omega(a) \ da = \psi_\epsilon'(0) + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) \Big] + \theta(A)\epsilon(A;0) + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) \Big] + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) \Big] + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) \Big] + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) \Big] + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) \Big] + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) \Big] + \mu(L,a)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) + \mu(L;0) + \mu(L;0)\ell_\epsilon'(L;0) + \mu(L;0) + \mu($$

 $\therefore \phi$ is the efficient influence function.

Double robutness of efficient influence function & mapping

Here the goal is to show that $E[\phi(Z; \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu}, \psi)] = 0$ if either $\overline{\pi} = \pi$ or $\overline{\mu} = \mu$, where $\phi(Z; \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu}, \psi)$ is the influence function defined as

$$\xi(Z; \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu}) - \psi + \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left\{ \overline{\mu}(L, a) - \int_{\mathcal{L}} \overline{\mu}(l, a) dP(l) \right\} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \overline{\pi}(a, l) dP(l) da,$$

where

$$\xi(Z;\overline{\pi},\overline{\mu}) = \tfrac{Y - \overline{\mu}(L,A)}{\overline{\pi}(A|L)} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \overline{\pi}(A\mid l) dP(l) + \int_{\mathcal{L}} \overline{\mu}(l\mid A) dP(l)$$

First note that, letting $\overline{\omega}(a) = E[\overline{\pi}(a \mid L)]$ and $\overline{m}(a) = E[\overline{\mu}(L, a)]$, we have

$$E[\xi(Z; \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu}) \mid A = a]$$

$$= E\left[\frac{Y - \overline{\mu}(L, A)}{\overline{\pi}(A|L)/\overline{\omega}(A)} + \overline{m}(A) \mid A = a\right]$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\mu(l,a) - \overline{\mu}(l,a)}{\overline{\pi}(a\mid l)/\overline{\omega}(a)} dP(l\mid a) + \overline{m}(a)$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{L}} \left\{ \mu(l, a) - \overline{\mu}(l, a) \right\} \frac{\pi(a \mid l) / \omega(a)}{\overline{\pi}(a \mid l) / \overline{\omega}(a)} dP(l) + \overline{m}(a)$$

$$= \theta(a) + \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l, a) - \overline{\mu}(l, a) \Big\} \Big\{ \frac{\pi(a \mid l)/\omega(a)}{\overline{\pi}(a \mid l)/\overline{\omega}(a)} - 1 \Big\} dP(l)$$

where the first equality follows by definition, the second equality follows because:

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l, a) dP(l \mid a) = E[u(l, a)] = E[Y^a]$$

the third follows since $p(l \mid a) = p(a \mid l)p(l)/p(a)$ and the fourth by rearranging:

$$\theta(a) - \int_{\mathcal{L}} \left\{ \mu(l,a) - \overline{\mu}(l,a) \right\} dP(l) = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l,a) dP(l) - \int_{\mathcal{L}} \left\{ \mu(l,a) - \overline{\mu}(l,a) \right\} dP(l) = \overline{m}(a)$$

The last line shows that $E[\xi(Z; \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu}) \mid A = a] = \theta(a)$ as long as either $\overline{\pi} = \pi$ or $\overline{\mu} = \mu$, since in either case the remainder is zero.

Therefore if $\overline{\pi} = \pi$ or $\overline{\mu} = \mu$, we have:

$$\int_{A} E\left[\xi(Z; \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu}) \mid A = a\right] \omega(a) da - \psi = \int_{A} \theta(a) \omega(a) da - \psi$$

so that

$$E[\phi(Z; \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu}, \psi)] = E\left[\int_{\mathcal{A}} \left\{\overline{\mu}(L, a) - \overline{m}(a)\right\}\overline{\omega}(a)da\right]$$
 because

 $E[\phi(Z; \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu}, \psi)]$

$$= E\left[\xi(Z; \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu}) - \psi + \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left\{ \overline{\mu}(L, a) - \int_{\mathcal{L}} \overline{\mu}(l, a) dP(l) \right\} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \overline{\pi}(a, l) dP(l) da \right]$$

$$= E \left[E \left[\xi(Z; \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu}) \mid A \right] - \psi + \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left\{ \overline{\mu}(L, a) - \int_{\mathcal{L}} \overline{\mu}(l, a) dP(l) \right\} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \overline{\pi}(a, l) dP(l) da \right]$$

$$= E \left[0 + \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left\{ \overline{\mu}(L, a) - \overline{m}(a) \right\} \overline{\omega}(a) da \right]$$

And

$$E\left[\int_{A}\left\{\overline{\mu}(L,a)-\overline{m}(a)\right\}\overline{\omega}(a)da\right]=\int_{A}\left\{\overline{m}(a)-\overline{m}(a)\right\}\overline{\omega}(a)da=0$$
 by definition:

$$E\big[\int_{\mathcal{A}}\big\{\overline{\mu}(L,a)-\overline{m}(a)\big\}\overline{\omega}(a)da\big]=\int_{\mathcal{L}}\int_{\mathcal{A}}\big\{\overline{\mu}(L,a)-\overline{m}(a)\big\}\overline{\omega}(a)\ dadl$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{A}} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \left\{ \overline{\mu}(L, a) - \overline{m}(a) \right\} dl \ \overline{\omega}(a) da (Fubini's \ theorem) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} E \left[\overline{\mu}(L, a) - \overline{m}(a) \right] \overline{\omega}(a) da$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left\{ \overline{m}(a) - \overline{m}(a) \right\} \overline{\omega}(a) da = 0$$

$$\therefore E[\phi(Z;\overline{\pi},\overline{\mu},\psi)] = 0 \text{ if either } \overline{\pi} = \pi \text{ or } \overline{\mu} = \mu$$