Augmented Inverse Propensity Weighting

(Doubly Robust Estimation)

From IP Weighting part, we saw how to unbiasedly estimate the average treatment effect through inverse probability weighting. Our goal is still to estimate the average causal treatment effect from observational data, adjusting for confounding. This time, we are introducing the Augmented inverse Propensity Weighting (AIPW) method to estimate the average treatment effect. This method is also known as doubly robust estimation. This is because AIPW estimator remains consistent for the average treatment effect if either the propensity score model or the outcome regression is misspecified but the other is properly specified.

Regression Model & Adjustment by Regression Modeling

Let Y is the outcome, A is the treatment, and L is the set of all measured covariates (confounders).

Regression of Y on A and L:

We can identify the regression $E[Y \mid A, L]$, as this depends on the observed data.

For continuous outcome, $E[Y \mid A, L] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 A + L^T \beta_2$

 $E[Y \mid A = 1, L]$ is the regression among treated and $E[Y \mid A = 0, L]$ among control.

If we average over all possible values of L, we get the following result:

$$\begin{split} &E(E[Y\mid A=1,L])\\ &=\ E(E[Y^1\mid A=1,L]) \quad \text{(by consistency)}\\ &=\ E(E[Y^1\mid L]) \qquad \text{(by conditional exchangeabiltiy under no unmeasured confounders, $Y^a\perp\!\!\!\perp A\mid L$)}\\ &=\ E[Y^1] \end{split}$$

Similarly, $E(E[Y \mid A = 0, L]) = E[Y^0]$

Thus,
$$\triangle = E[Y^1] - E[Y^0]$$

= $E(E[Y^1 \mid A = 1, L]) - E[Y^1 \mid A = 0, L])$
= $\beta_0 + \beta_1 + L^T \beta_2 - \beta_0 + L^T \beta_2$
= β_1

$$\therefore \hat{\triangle} = \hat{\beta_1}$$

Propensity Score Model & Adjustment by Inverse Weighting

Propensity score: $P(A = 1 \mid L) = E[I(A = 1) \mid L] = E[A \mid L]$

Under no unmeasured confounders, $Y^a \perp \!\!\!\perp A \mid E[A \mid L]$

Fitting a logistic regression for propensity score:

$$P(A = 1 \mid L) = \frac{exp(\alpha_0 + L^T \alpha_1)}{1 + exp(\alpha_0 + L^T \alpha_1)}$$

Rather than use the difference of simple averages $\overline{Y}^{(1)} - \overline{Y}^{(0)}$, estimate \triangle by the difference of inverse propensity score weighted averages:

$$\hat{\triangle}_{IPW} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{A_i Y_i}{\hat{P}(A=1|L_i)} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(1-A_i) Y_i}{1-\hat{P}(A=1|L_i)}$$

If $\hat{P}(A=1 \mid L) = P(A=1 \mid L)$, the true propensity score:

$$\begin{split} E\left[\frac{AY}{P(A=1|L)}\right] &= E\left[\frac{AY^1}{P(A=1|L)}\right] & \text{(by } AY = A(Y^1A + Y^0(1-A)) = AY^1 \text{ for } A^2 = A) \\ &= E\left(E\left[\frac{AY^a}{P(A=1|L)} \mid Y^a, L\right]\right) \\ &= E\left(\frac{Y^1}{P(A=1|L)} E\left[A \mid Y^a, L\right]\right) \\ &= E\left(\frac{Y^1}{P(A=1|L)} E\left[A \mid L\right]\right) & \text{(under no unmeasured confounders)} \\ &= E\left(\frac{Y^1}{E(A|L)} E\left[A \mid L\right]\right) \\ &= E[Y^1] \end{split}$$

Similarly,
$$E\left[\frac{(1-A)Y}{1-P(A=1|L)}\right]=E[Y^0]$$

 \therefore $\hat{\triangle}_{IPW}$ estimates \triangle $(E[Y^1] - E[Y^0])$ when the propensity model is identical to the true propensity score.

Doubly Robust Estimator

$$\hat{\triangle}_{DR} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{A_{i}Y_{i}}{\hat{P}(A=1|Li)} - \frac{A_{i}-\hat{P}(A=1|Li)}{\hat{P}(A=1|Li)} \hat{E}(Y_{i} \mid A_{i}=1, L_{i}) \right] - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{(1-A_{i})Y_{i}}{1-\hat{P}(A=1|Li)} + \frac{A_{i}-\hat{P}(A=1|Li)}{1-\hat{P}(A=1|Li)} \hat{E}(Y_{i} \mid A_{i}=0, L_{i}) \right]$$

$$= \hat{\mu}_{1,DR} - \hat{\mu}_{0,DR}$$

What does $\hat{\mu}_{1,DR}$ estimate?

$$= E \left[\frac{AY}{P(A=1|L)} - \frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)} E(Y \mid A=1, L) \right]$$

$$= E \left[\frac{AY^1}{P(A=1|L)} - \frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)} E(Y \mid A=1, L) \right]$$

$$= E \left[Y^1 + \frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)} \left\{ Y^1 - E(Y \mid A=1, L) \right\} \right]$$
 (by algebra)
$$= E[Y^1] + E \left[\frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)} \left\{ Y^1 - E(Y \mid A=1, L) \right\} \right]$$

Does $\hat{\triangle}_{DR}$ estimate \triangle ?

 $\hat{\mu}_{1,DR}$ estimates

$$E[Y^{1}] + E\left[\frac{A - P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)} \{Y^{1} - E(Y \mid A=1,L)\}\right] \tag{*}$$

In order for $\hat{\mu}_{1,DR}$ to estimate $E[Y_1]$, the second term in (*) must be 0.

It is 0 when either postulated propensity score model or postulated regression model is correct.

Scenario 1: Propensity score model $P(A = 1 \mid L)$ is correct

$$\begin{split} &E\left[\frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)}\{Y^{1}-E(Y\mid A=1,L)\}\right]\\ &=E\left(E\left[\frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)}\{Y^{1}-E(Y\mid A=1,L)\}\mid Y^{1},L\right]\right)\\ &=E\left(\{Y^{1}-E(Y\mid A=1,L)\}E\left[\frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)}\mid Y^{1},L\right]\right)\\ &=E\left(\{Y^{1}-E(Y\mid A=1,L)\}E\left[\frac{A-E(A|L)}{P(A=1|L)}\mid Y^{1},L\right]\right)\\ &=E\left(\{Y^{1}-E(Y\mid A=1,L)\}E\left[\frac{E[A|Y^{1},L]-E(A|L)}{E(A|L)}\right]\right)\\ &=E\left(\{Y^{1}-E(Y\mid A=1,L)\}E\left[\frac{E[A|Y^{1},L]-E(A|L)}{E(A|L)}\right]\right)\\ &=E\left(\{Y^{1}-E(Y\mid A=1,L)\}E\left[\frac{E[A|L]-E(A|L)}{E(A|L)}\right]\right) \quad \text{(under no unmeasured confounders)}\\ &=0 \end{split}$$

 \therefore $\hat{\mu}_{1,DR}$ estimates $E[Y^1]$ and similarly $\hat{\mu}_{0,DR}$ estimates $E[Y^0]$ when propensity score model is correct

Scenario 2: Regression model $E(Y \mid A = 1, L)$ is correct

$$\begin{split} &E\left[\frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)}\{Y^1-E(Y\mid A=1,L)\}\right]\\ &=E\left(E\left[\frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)}\{Y^1-E(Y\mid A=1,L)\}\mid A,L\right]\right)\\ &=E\left(\frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)}E\left[\{Y^1-E(Y\mid A=1,L)\}\mid A,L\right]\right)\\ &=E\left(\frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)}E\left[\{Y^1-E(Y\mid A=1,L)\}\mid A,L\right]\right)\\ &=E\left(\frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)}E\left[\{Y^1-E(Y\mid A=1,L)\}\mid A,L\right]\right)\\ &=E\left(\frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)}\{E[Y^1\mid A,L]-E(Y\mid A=1,L)\}\right)\\ &=E\left(\frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)}\{E[Y^1\mid A,L]-E(Y^1\mid A=1,L)\}\right)\\ &=E\left(\frac{A-P(A=1|L)}{P(A=1|L)}\{E[Y^1\mid A,L]-E(Y^1\mid L)\}\right) \quad \text{(under no unmeasured confounders)}\\ &=0 \end{split}$$

 \therefore $\hat{\mu}_{1,DR}$ estimates $E[Y^1]$ and similarly $\hat{\mu}_{0,DR}$ estimates $E[Y^0]$ when regression model is correct

Therefore, $\hat{\triangle}_{DR}$ estimates \triangle !

Generating data with true ATE = 0.5

Case when regression model is correct, but propensity model is incorrect

```
## AIPW Estimator:
## -----
## Estimated ATE: 0.5043
              z-statistic Pr(>|z|)
##
        SE
## SE
## Emp. Sandwich 0.0727
              6.9339
                        < 0.0001
              6.8163
                       < 0.0001
## Estim. Asymptotic 0.074
           5.0409
## Bootstrap 0.1
## IPW Estimator:
## -----
## Estimated ATE: 0.9472
         SE
              z-statistic
                       Pr(>|z|)
## Estim. Asymptotic 0.0766 12.3687
## Bootstrap 0.092 10.3003
              12.3687
                        < 0.0001
                        < 0.0001
##
```

```
## Regression Estimator:
## -----
## Estimated ATE: 0.5052
             SE
                      z-statistic
##
                                     Pr(>|z|)
                       6.8288
## Estim. Asymptotic 0.074
                                     < 0.0001
## Bootstrap 0.0991
                       5.0969
                                     < 0.0001
## General Information
## Control Value: A = 0
## Treated Value: A = 1
## Number of Discarded Units: 0
## Number of Truncated Propensity Scores: 0
## Number of Treated Units Before Discards/Truncations: 139
## Number of Treated Units not Discarded/Truncated: 139
## Number of Control Units Before Discards/Truncations: 361
## Number of Control Units not Discarded/Truncated: 361
```

Case when propensity model is correct, but regression model is incorrect

```
## AIPW Estimator:
## Estimated ATE: 0.5465
                     z-statistic Pr(>|z|)
4.6151 < 0.0001
5.0095 < 0.0001
8.2807 < 0.0001
           SE
##
## Emp. Sandwich 0.1184
## Estim. Asymptotic 0.1091 5.0095
## Bootstrap 0.066 8.2807
## IPW Estimator:
## Estimated ATE: 0.2668
      SE
                                  Pr(>|z|)
                     z-statistic
                     2.3859
1.5505
## Estim. Asymptotic 0.1118
                                   0.017
## Bootstrap 0.1721
                                    0.121
```

```
##
## Regression Estimator:
## -----
## Estimated ATE: 0.9469
           SE
                 z-statistic Pr(>|z|)
##
## Estim. Asymptotic 0.1076 8.7994
## Bootstrap 0.0772 12.2737
                               < 0.0001
                                < 0.0001
##
## General Information
## -----
## Control Value: A = 0
## Treated Value: A = 1
## Number of Discarded Units: 0
## Number of Truncated Propensity Scores: 0
## Number of Treated Units Before Discards/Truncations: 139
## Number of Treated Units not Discarded/Truncated:
                             139
## Number of Control Units Before Discards/Truncations: 361
## Number of Control Units not Discarded/Truncated:
```