## Nonparametric dobuly robust estimation of continuous treatment

This work is to verify the proof of theorems introduced in the paper (Kennedy et al, 2016).

## Guide to notation

Z = (L, A, Y) =observed data arising from distribution P with density  $p(z) = p(y \mid l, a)p(a \mid l)p(l)$  and support supp $(Z) = Z = \mathcal{L} \times A \times \mathcal{Y}$ 

$$\mathbb{P}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \delta_{z_i} = \text{empirical measure so that } \mathbb{P}_n f(Z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i f(z_i)$$

 $\mathbb{P}(\mho) = \mathbb{P}\{\mho(\mathbb{Z})\} = \int_{\mathcal{Z}} f(z) dP(z) = \text{expectation for new } Z \text{ treating } f \text{ as fixed (so } \mathbb{P}(\mathring{\mho}) \text{ is random if } \mathring{f} \text{ depends on sample, in which case } \mathbb{P}(\mathring{\mho}) \neq E(\mathring{f}))$ 

$$\pi(a \mid l) = p(a \mid l) = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} P(A \leq a \mid l) = \text{conditional density of treatment A}$$

 $\hat{\pi}(a \mid l)$  = user-specified estimator of  $\pi(a \mid l)$ , which converges to limit  $\overline{\pi}(a \mid l)$  that may not equal true  $\pi$ 

$$\omega(a) = p(a) = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} P(A \le a) = E[\pi(a \mid L)] = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \pi(a \mid l) dP(l) = \text{density of A}$$

 $\hat{\omega(a)} = \mathbb{P}_{\kappa}\{\hat{\pi}(a \mid l)\} = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \pi(a \mid l) dP(l) = \text{estimator of } \omega, \text{ which converges to limit } \overline{\omega}(a) \text{ that may not equal true } \omega$ 

$$\mu(l\mid a) = E(Y\mid L=l, A=a) = \int_{\mathcal{V}} y dP(y\mid l, a) = \text{conditional mean outcome}$$

 $\hat{\mu}(l \mid a) = \text{user-specified estimator of } \mu(l \mid a), \text{ which converges to limit } \overline{\mu}(l, a) \text{ that may not equal true } \mu$ 

$$\hat{m}(a) = \mathbb{P}_{\kappa} \{ \hat{\mu}(L, a) \} = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \hat{\mu}(l, a) d\mathbb{P}_{\kappa}(l) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \hat{\mu}(l_{i}, a)$$

$$\psi = \int_{A} \int_{C} \mu(l, a) \omega(a) dP(l) da$$

## Theorem 1.

Under a nonparametric model, the efficient influence function for  $\psi$  is  $\xi(Z; \pi, u) - \psi + \int_{\mathcal{A}} \{\mu(L, a) - \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l, a) dP(l)\} \omega(a) da$  where

$$\xi(Z;\pi,u) = \frac{Y - \mu(L,A)}{\pi(A \mid L)} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \pi(A \mid l) dP(l) + \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mu(l,a) dP(l)$$

Importantly, the function  $\xi(Z; \pi, u)$  satisfies its desired double robustness property, i.e., that  $E[\xi(Z; \pi, u) \mid A = a] = \theta(a)$  if either  $\overline{\pi} = \pi$  or  $\overline{\mu} = \mu$  where  $\theta(a) = E(Y^a)$ 

This motivates estimating the effect curve  $\theta(a)$  by estimating the nuisance functions  $(\pi, \mu)$ , and then regressing the estimated psuedo-outcome

$$\hat{\xi}(Z;\hat{\pi},\hat{\mu}) = \tfrac{Y - \hat{\mu}(L,A)}{\hat{\pi}(A,L)} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \hat{\pi}(A,L) d\mathbb{P}_{\bowtie}(l) + \int_{\mathcal{L}} \hat{\mu}(l,a) d\mathbb{P}_{\bowtie}(l)$$

on treatment A using off-the-shelf nonparametric regression or machine learning methods.

## Proof of Theorem 1

By definition the efficient influence function for  $\psi$  is the unique function  $\phi(Z)$  that satisfies  $\psi'_{\epsilon}(0) = E[\phi(Z)\ell'_{\epsilon}(Z;0)]$ , where  $\psi(\epsilon)$  represents the parameter of interest as a functional on the parametric submodel and  $\ell(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon) = \log p(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon)$  for any partition  $(W, \overline{W}) \subseteq Z$ . Therefore

$$\ell'_{\epsilon}(z;\epsilon) = \ell'_{\epsilon}(y \mid l, a; \epsilon) + \ell'_{\epsilon}(a \mid l; \epsilon) + \ell'_{\epsilon}(l; \epsilon)$$

The authors give two important properties of such score functions of such score functions  $\ell'_{\epsilon}(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon)$  that will be used throughout this proof. First note that since  $\ell_{\epsilon}(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon)$  is a log transformation of  $p(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon)$ , it follows that  $\ell'_{\epsilon}(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon) = p'(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon)/p(w \mid \overline{w}; \epsilon)/$ . Similarly, as with any score function, note that  $E[\ell'_{\epsilon}(W \mid \overline{W}; \epsilon) \mid \overline{W}] = 0$  since

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}} \ell'_{\epsilon}(w \mid \overline{w}; 0) dP(w \mid \overline{w}) = \int_{\mathcal{W}} dP'(w \mid \overline{w}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{W}} P(w \mid \overline{w}) = 0$$

The goal in this proof is to show that  $\psi'_{\epsilon}(0) = E[\phi(Z)]\ell'_{\epsilon}(Z;0)$  for the proposed influence function  $\phi(Z) = \xi(Z;\pi,u) - \psi + \int_{\mathcal{A}} \{\mu(L,a) - \int_{\mathcal{L}} \{\mu(l,a)dP(l)\}\omega(a)da$  given in the main text. First we will give an expression for  $\psi'_{\epsilon}(0)$ . By definition  $\psi(\epsilon)$