

# MITIGATING WiFi INTERFERENCE TO IMPROVE THROUGHPUT FOR IN-VEHICLE INFOTAINMENT NETWORKS

WOONGSOO NA, NHU-NGOC DAO, AND SUNGRAE CHO

## ABSTRACT

In recent years, in-vehicle infotainment networks (IVINs) have rapidly become one of the most valuable features auto makers have used to promote their flagship models as an advanced competitive marketing weapon. IVINs can provide passengers with multimedia services locally as well as Internet connectivity through a gateway known as a mobile hotspot. The in-vehicle mobile hotspot is embedded in the car and supports cellular connection. Utilizing this system, mobile devices can access the in-vehicle unified infotainment framework to comfortably enjoy streaming services, online games, online commerce, social network services, and so on. However, because of wireless access characteristics, if a significant number of Wi-Fi mobile hotspots are densely located, the throughput of the mobile devices will be tremendously diminished due to the interference among the mobile hotspots of IVINs, as well as with existing fixed office or residential APs along the road. In this article, we discuss the interference problems of Wi-Fi access in IVINs, provide effective solutions to these problems, and present the performance of each proposed approach within typical case studies.

## INTRODUCTION

Today, Internet of Things (IoT) technology is turning vehicles into the hub of an entire ecosystem of connected services that offer users a wealth of benefits, including enhanced safety and security, a richer user experience, and a new suite of product offerings. Cars have been enabling us to connect our devices via Bluetooth to make calls and navigate; however, it is not currently possible for streaming apps to provide the content we love without the need to fiddle with our phones while driving and consume valuable data plans. By leveraging the always-on connectivity that IoT provides, today's connected car manufacturers are providing an entirely new interface for delivering and interacting with streaming content services. In-vehicular infotainment technology is the perfect answer for all of our mobile requirements [1].

The in-vehicular infotainment network

(IVIN) changes the choices of people thinking about travelling by car. Instead of a boring trip without anything to do, passengers can now enjoy various entertainment services as a personal relaxation experience, including streaming services, online games, online commerce, and social network services. Moreover, the convergence of all services, content, and connections into one unified infotainment framework creates a very convenient environment for passengers as well as drivers [2]. The IVIN interconnects various services, content, and application providers through mobile data networks such as Long Term Evolution (LTE) (Fig. 1).

Although application services offered by the IVIN are interesting to consider, in the scope of this article, we would like to consider the IVIN in terms of its connectivity, which is an important component of a successful system. Due to the mobility behavior, keeping the IVIN connected with the outside world while retaining high user experience is still a question for researchers and manufacturers. Recently, *connected car* services have been researched and developed by a large number of companies and research organizations [3]. In fact, this technology has been commercialized by a large number of companies in recent years. For instance, Ford Motor Company introduced Sync3, based on the Blackberry QNX platform, in order to provide a stable and connected ecosystem [4]. Of course, there are a lot of proposed technologies that can be utilized to provide inside and outside connectivity for the IVIN, such as inter-vehicular communication architecture [5], cognitive radio enabled vehicles [6], dynamic bandwidth distribution [7], as well as various other technologies. However, none of them have been commercialized yet. The popularity of Wi-Fi supported devices directs connected car inventions toward using Wi-Fi as a default acceptable technology. The *fully connected car* is assumed to be equipped with ubiquitous high-speed mobile packet data such as LTE, along with the global positioning system (GPS) [8]. This vehicle might use a gateway that transparently distributes LTE data to users in order to augment the mobile services through in-vehicle wireless local area networks (WLANs) such as IEEE 802.11.

The authors are with Chung-Ang University.

The advantages are quite appealing. However, there are some technical challenges the network faces:

1. *Security*
2. *Positioning*
3. *Interference*

For instance, if a significant number of vehicles are densely located, the throughput of the mobile devices will be tremendously diminished due to the interference among the Wi-Fi enabled networks. Because of the mobility, a mobile hotspot might also interfere with existing fixed office or residential APs installed along the road. These interference phenomena could cause contention among the existing fixed APs and impose serious performance degradation.

In this article, we focus on discussing the interference problems when the IVIN uses Wi-Fi as an in-vehicle access technology. We also assume that the vehicles are embedded with an LTE antenna that distributes LTE traffic to Wi-Fi enabled devices to form an in-vehicle basic service set (BSS). Based on our investigation, we classify the technical challenges of Wi-Fi access services in IVINs into three categories:

- *Interference among mobile hotspots*
- *Interference between a mobile hotspot and fixed APs*
- *Mobility problems*


We then provide appropriate solutions to these issues. In the first part of the article, we present the technical challenges of interference problems. In the second part, relevant interference avoidance techniques are discussed, and we show examples of solutions to resolve the interference in Wi-Fi IVINs. The performance of each approach is evaluated with case studies. Finally, we draw conclusions and suggest future directions.

## TECHNICAL CHALLENGES

In this section, we present the technical challenges of the Wi-Fi IVIN. Although Wi-Fi service in vehicles can provide a variety of useful applications, it also causes potential problems, including interference among mobile hotspots, mobility problems, and interference between mobile hotspots and fixed APs. In particular, we consider the vehicle's mobility and backward compatibility with existing fixed APs in order to provide appropriate solutions for mobile hotspots in IVIN design.

### INTERFERENCE AMONG MOBILE HOTSPOTS

As mentioned in the previous section, the emergence of mobile hotspots can cause a variety of problems. One of the problems is interference among mobile hotspots. If a huge number of mobile hotspots are densely located, the throughput of the user devices in a vehicle can be tremendously reduced, or even drop Internet service altogether. For example, on a road or highway, if there are a lot of cars equipped with mobile hotspots, they may not have much of a chance to occupy the available channel to access the Internet because of a traffic jam (Fig. 2). In particular, this problem can be exacerbated when the passengers are served with time-critical services such as video streaming or real-time traffic notifications. In a Wi-Fi IVIN, both the mobile



**Figure 1.** An overview of an IVIN in a connected car.

device and mobile hotspot move very quickly. This means that vehicles which suffer from interference can potentially move out of the interference zone.

Traditionally, these kinds of problems have been challenged by a number of studies [9]. Interference avoidance techniques have been taken into account as a major research issue in wireless networks from the physical to the data link layers. The existing interference avoidance techniques have used multi-channel, power control, or cooperative scheduling schemes [10, 11]. Unfortunately, not only have there been few proposals aimed at resolving the interference, but also they do not consider the mobility of mobile devices or access points (mobile hotspots) in Wi-Fi WLANs; furthermore, since they assume that mobile devices and mobile hotspots have less mobility or no mobility (fixed), the existing techniques are not suitable for Wi-Fi IVINs.


### MOBILITY PROBLEM

Since a mobile hotspot has mobility characteristics along with vehicle movement, it will appear and disappear on other specific networks repeatedly. This phenomenon will disrupt the existing competition among mobile hotspots and create a hidden node problem. Figure 3a shows the vehicle's mobility problem. As described in the figure, two vehicles are driving at 10 km/h, and one vehicle is driving at 60 km/h. These two groups of vehicles do not interfere with each other. Moreover, they are not aware of each other because of their sensing range. After a while, the latter vehicle overtakes the other two vehicles and invades their communication range. As a result, the communications of the above group and latter vehicles collapse.

### INTERFERENCE BETWEEN A MOBILE HOTSPOT AND FIXED APs

The emergence of the mobile hotspot will cause serious interference problems with existing fixed APs installed in public areas or buildings along the road. Fixed APs are installed after considering the transmission range, set of available channels, and/or number of neighboring APs. Therefore, users who exploit fixed AP networks are provided with stable throughput. However, it cannot provide reliable services anymore due to the appearance of mobile hotspots. Mobile

If a mobile hotspot moves along the road, interference can occur and the communication of the fixed APs might be disturbed. This problem will become even more serious when there are lots of APs densely located in a downtown area or public area. To resolve this problem, a mobile hotspot has to actively avoid interfering with the fixed APs communication range.



**Figure 2.** Interference among mobile hotspots.

hotspots frequently cause changes in network topologies and the environment. Moreover, some vehicles can stay on a particular network for an extended time, while other vehicles can go through the network instantaneously.

Figure 3b shows the interference between a mobile hotspot and fixed APs. The fixed APs are installed without any interference from each other. However, if a mobile hotspot moves along the road, interference can occur, and the communication of the fixed APs might be disturbed. This problem will become even more serious when there are lots of APs densely located in a downtown area or public area. To resolve this problem, a mobile hotspot has to actively avoid interfering with fixed APs' communication range.

## INTERFERENCE MITIGATION TECHNIQUES

In this section, we present techniques to resolve the above problems. To avoid interference among mobile hotspots and/or other fixed APs, there has been a significant amount of research that uses channel switching, power control, and cooperation with neighbor nodes. We discuss each approach in terms of how to avoid interference in detail and what its limitations are in the Wi-Fi IVIN. After that, we propose some solutions that are suitable for Wi-Fi IVIN networks.

### CHANNEL HOPPING TECHNIQUE

To resolve the aforementioned problems, we have surveyed a large variety of schemes in the literature. As a result, we have found that one of the most effective solutions for interference

avoidance is the channel hopping technique. We classify prior channel hopping techniques among APs<sup>1</sup> into two categories: *proactive* [12, 13] and *reactive* [14]. The former is an interference avoidance technique that presumably changes the frequency of channels to statistically reduce the chances of having the same channel among APs. With the proactive scheme, the probability of having the same channel will be relatively small in the next period even if the APs currently have the same channel. However, the proactive scheme involves synchronization among the APs, and also causes unexpected overhead since the APs need to change the frequency channel unnecessarily, even if the APs currently have different channels. The latter is a reactive scheme (avoidance technique) that tries to resolve the interference once it occurs. Unlike the former, this strategy can reduce unnecessary overhead since channel hopping occurs only when needed.

The aforementioned mechanisms try to resolve interference by selecting a channel reactively or proactively. However, they do not take the mobility of the APs into consideration, where mobile hotspots can randomly or dynamically move in any direction. This shortcoming makes the result less effective in the case of Wi-Fi IVINs.

To cope with the dynamicity of this topology, our approach exploits the mobility vector and location information of neighboring mobile hotspots provided by GPS. Based on the location information, the proposed scheme computes the interference duration with neighboring mobile hotspots. If the interference duration of the current channel is negligible, as in Fig. 3c(i), it continues to use the channel. However, if the interference duration is considerably long, as in Fig. 3c(ii), the mobile hotspots will search for another available channel to avoid interference. The rationale behind this approach is that the general channel hopping technique will impose unnecessary overhead when the mobile hotspot interferes with the others in a very short period of time. If the interference duration is relatively long, the mobile hotspots are required to search for and move to another channel. While searching, mobile hotspots contend periodically with each other to acquire a channel. For the contention, we provide a notion of priority based on the definition of traffic volume levels in Wi-Fi IVINs. The mobile hotspot with higher traffic volume will gain higher priority in terms of channel occupation to increase the overall network throughput.

### POWER CONTROL TECHNIQUE

In the vehicle, the distance between a mobile hotspot and mobile devices used by passengers is relatively small and limited. With the help of existing power control techniques, adjusting the transmit power optimizes the whole vehicle coverage to be minimal, reducing the risk of interference among mobile hotspots. Unfortunately, most of the previous studies adopted power control approaches that were focused on energy saving [15]. Few studies have tried to resolve the interference problem by using power control for in-vehicle communications. Moreover, these approaches cause another potential problem:

<sup>1</sup> In this subsection, the term AP covers mobile hotspot as well.


a hidden node resulting from asymmetric links due to the variable transmit power (Fig. 3d). As shown in Fig. 3d, mobile hotspots in IVIN<sub>1</sub> and IVIN<sub>2</sub> use the maximum power level, whereas the mobile hotspot in IVIN<sub>3</sub> uses a controlled power level. Although the mobile hotspot in IVIN<sub>3</sub> can detect the mobile hotspots in IVIN<sub>1</sub> and IVIN<sub>2</sub>, the contrary case is not true; that is, IVIN<sub>1</sub> and IVIN<sub>2</sub> cannot sense the existence of a mobile hotspot in IVIN<sub>3</sub>. Therefore, the communication of the mobile hotspots in IVIN<sub>1</sub> and IVIN<sub>2</sub> will interfere with the mobile hotspot in IVIN<sub>3</sub>. Even if the transmit power level is limited to covering just one vehicle, the mobile devices located near the vehicle's surface interfere with other IVINs. Moreover, although the mobile device limits its power level, its coverage might be extended to neighboring vehicles. To resolve the above problem, the mobile hotspots or devices report that they are engaged in communication with their neighboring nodes, where neighboring nodes can interfere with the originator's communication. For this reason, the transmit power level must be the same as the power level of the others. One of the ways to adopt the same power level is for the sender to transmit request to send/clear to send (RTS/CTS), including its communication information, using the maximum power level. Since the RTS/CTS frame size is relatively small compared to the data frame size, the interference duration caused by the maximum power level is not a serious problem. However, if this scheme is adopted for Wi-Fi IVINs, vehicles that did not receive an RTS can interfere with the sender. These vehicles might be located outside the range of the sender's maximum power level and must be gradually getting closer to the sender. Therefore, the maximum power level is not enough to cover all of the neighboring nodes, and the mobility of the vehicles must also be considered in the case of mobile hotspot design.

### COOPERATION WITH INFRASTRUCTURES AND NEIGHBORING VEHICLES

Another solution to avoid interference among mobile hotspots is to have the mobile hotspots cooperate with infrastructures or neighboring vehicles. For example, some vehicles can configure small networks where the vehicles have similar mobility. Therefore, they can negotiate and communicate with each other according to the time schedule or control the transmit power for simultaneous communication. The merit of this approach is that it is less sensitive to network changes, but it incurs additional network infrastructure configuration cost.

### PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Since the existing techniques for interference avoidance in Wi-Fi access networks do not consider vehicular mobility, we conclude that those techniques are not suitable for Wi-Fi IVINs. In this section, we evaluate two interference avoidance techniques. The first technique uses a vehicle's mobility vector and calculates the interference duration among vehicles. If the interference duration is long, one of the vehicles must switch channels. The second technique uses a power control mechanism where the mobile



**Figure 3.** Interference problems in Wi-Fi IVINs: a) hidden node problem due to a vehicle's mobility; b) interference between a mobile hotspot and fixed APs; c) different interference duration due to the dynamicity of topology; d) hidden node problem due to asymmetric power level.


hotspot limits the power in order to cover only the vehicle, reducing the interference. This technique also transmits an RTS frame using the maximum power level. It can reduce the probability of the hidden node problem in Wi-Fi IVINs.

The performance is evaluated using the OPNET modeler. The maximum transmission power is 100 mW. The traffic type is set to variable bit rate (VBR) with a packet size between 1000 and 5000 bytes, and a packet interarrival time of 25 ms, operating in three channels (1, 6, and 11). We applied the Random Walk, Manhattan, and Highway scenarios to the given topology. The topology sizes are 2 km × 2 km (Random Walk, Manhattan) and 10 m × 400 km (Highway) along with a car density of between 0 and 320 cars/km<sup>2</sup>. Vehicles move at speeds of around 80–120 km/h, 10–60 km/h, and 60–140 km/h in Random Walk, Manhattan, and Highway, respectively. Moreover, we deployed fixed APs in the Manhattan scenario in order to evaluate the performance under a real environment.

### CASE STUDY 1

To evaluate interference avoidance techniques in Wi-Fi IVINs, we compare the performance of the proposed scheme with the IEEE 802.11g standard, the distributed dynamic channel selection (DDCS) scheme [14], and the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR)-based channel hopping scheme in densely located Wi-Fi IVINs. In the DDCS scheme, each vehicle exploits the MAC delay by observing the channel continuously, where channel switching occurs if the MAC delay exceeds a certain threshold. In the SNR-based channel






**Figure 4.** Simulation topologies: a) Manhattan model (1 km  $\times$  1 km; 10~60 km/h speed); b) Random Walk model (1 km  $\times$  1 km; 10~120 km/h speed); c) Highway model (10 m  $\times$  400 km; 70~110 km/h speed).

hopping scheme, each vehicle monitors the channel status and randomly switches the channel if the SNR drops lower than a specific threshold. To simulate a more realistic scenario, we apply the Random Walk, Manhattan, and Highway scenarios to a given topology. The initial node positions in the topology are randomly selected (Fig. 4).

Figure 5a shows that the throughput is directly proportional to the number of nodes. This result was measured under a fixed traffic load of 40 frames/s. As shown in the figure, the average of the aggregated throughput increases as the number of nodes increases for all four schemes

and mobility models. In the range of 0–40 nodes, the throughput increases rapidly, and the number of collisions from each mobile hotspot will not be significant because the node density and probability of interference are relatively small. However, in the range of 40–120 nodes, the aggregated throughput grows more slowly since the increase in node density causes more collisions.

Our proposed scheme outperforms the other three schemes. This is because, in the DDCS and SNR-based schemes, channel switching is triggered after suffering from interference. On the other hand, in the proposed scheme, the vehicle proactively predicts the interference duration



**Figure 5.** The throughput vs. the number of nodes in Wi-Fi IVINs: a) channel hopping technique; b) power control technique.

based on the mobility vectors and location information of the neighboring vehicles, and takes appropriate action in advance.

### CASE STUDY 2

Another proposed technique uses power control in order to avoid interference among mobile hotspots. In this technique, each mobile hotspot computes the optimum transmit power and limits the power to cover the vehicle only; this enables maximization of the spatial reuse of a given frequency channel. Furthermore, the RTS frame with increased power can resolve the hidden node problem, where the RTS frame can be relayed by a neighboring vehicle. Since the vehicle has mobility, the RTS frame has to be delivered outside of the transmission range. Therefore, we expect that our approach can reduce not only the probability of the hidden node problem, but also the interference between mobile hotspots and fixed APs.

This simulation was conducted in the same network topology as in the first case study. However, we limited the number of channels to two. To evaluate our technique, we compared our method with the IEEE 802.11g standard, DDCS scheme, and PCM scheme. The PCM scheme controls the transmit power and continuously transmits with maximum power in order to prevent the hidden node problem. Figure 5b shows that the aggregated throughput is directly proportional to the number of nodes in the three simulation topologies with three mobility models. The increase in the number of nodes generates a greater traffic load for all schemes in the figure. We observe that our proposed interference avoidance scheme has relatively better performance in the Highway and Random Walk models compared to the Manhattan model, as well as other schemes. The reason for this is that the fixed APs are not used by our scheme for the Manhattan model. Therefore, fixed APs transmit a frame with normal power, which causes more interference and a hidden node problem. On the other hand, all of the APs transmit a frame with normal power in IEEE 802.11g, and thus the hid-

den node problem does not occur. Therefore, IEEE 802.11g outperforms the Manhattan model compared to the other topology models.

### DISCUSSION

The throughput gain of the proposed scheme is achieved at the expense of extra signaling traffic. To reduce signaling overhead, we can consider other schemes such as SNR [10] and DDCS [14]. However, as mentioned previously, their approaches blindly react after interference (Fig. 5a), so these types of schemes are not suitable for IVINs.

Additionally, when the relative velocity of the vehicles is very high (as in the Highway model), the update time for the mobility vector and location information may be insufficient. However, the interference duration would be very short in that case; hence, it does not cause significant performance degradation. We can observe this phenomenon in the results of the Highway model in Fig. 5. In the case studies, we show two approaches separately to evaluate and compare the effectiveness and performance between them. The combined solution of the two approaches might be useful to provide better performance of IVINs.

### CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The in-vehicular infotainment network plays an important role in human life, while the personal and public transportation means have risen rapidly. This article introduces the Wi-Fi IVIN and its technical challenges of interference. To resolve this problem, there has been a great deal of research aimed at avoiding the interference by using channel hopping, power control, and cooperation with neighboring nodes. However, most of the research does not consider the vehicular mobility problem. Due to a vehicle's mobility, the topology of the network frequently changes. We propose two types of interference avoidance techniques for Wi-Fi IVIN in this article. Our simulation results show that when considering the mobility of vehicles, the proposed techniques outperform the existing schemes significantly in terms of throughput.

There are still technical challenges needed to fully exploit the potential of the IVINs. For example, the proposed techniques have signaling overheads and processing time delay. Therefore, the proposed schemes need to be further refined in order to take advantage of the opportunities in the IVINs.

There are still technical challenges that need to be addressed to fully exploit the potential of IVINs. For example, the proposed techniques have signaling overheads and processing time delay. Therefore, the proposed schemes need to be further refined in order to take advantage of the opportunities in IVINs.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work has been supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2013R1A1A2012443).

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Mohammed, "How Connected Cars Have Established A New Ecosystem Powered By IoT," *TechCrunch*, Jan. 2015, <http://techcrunch.com/2015/01/31/how-connected-cars-have-established-a-new-ecosystem-powered-by-iot/>."
- [2] E. Costa-Montenegro *et al.*, "Vehicular Entertainment Systems: Mobile Hotspot Application Enhancement in Networked Infrastructures," *IEEE Vehic. Tech. Mag.*, vol. 7, 2012, pp. 73–79.
- [3] NG Connect Program, <http://www.ngconnect.org>.
- [4] Ford Motor Company, <http://www.ford.com>.
- [5] C. E. Palazzi, M. Rocchetti, and S. Ferretti, "An Intervehicular Communication Architecture for Safety and Entertainment," *IEEE Trans. Intelligent Transportation Sys.*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2010, pp. 90–99.
- [6] M. D. Felice *et al.*, "Smart Radios for Smart Vehicles: Cognitive Vehicular Networks," *IEEE Vehic. Tech. Mag.*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2012, pp. 26–33.
- [7] R. D. Mendonca *et al.*, "Dynamic Bandwidth Distribution For Entertainment Vehicular Networks Applications," *Proc. WAINA*, 2014, pp. 827–32.
- [8] N. M. Rabadi, "Self-Certified Public Key Implicit Certificate Scheme for Drivers' Anonymity in Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communication Networks," *Proc. IEEE SoutheastCon*, Mar. 2010, pp. 375–79.
- [9] B. R. Chang, H. F. Tsai, and C. P. Young, "A Fast and Effective Approach to Lane Marking and Neighboring Vehicles Detections Based on Vision/GPS Sensing Together with Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communication," *Proc. Hybrid Intelligent Systems*, Aug. 2009, pp. 3–8.
- [10] W. K. Liu and T. Y. Wu, "Globally Optimized Cooperative Game for Interference Avoidance in WBAN," *Intelligent Data Analysis and Its Applications*, vol. 2, 2014, pp. 449–57.
- [11] K. H. Phung *et al.*, "Schedule-Based Multi-Channel Communication in Wireless Sensor Networks: A Complete Design and Performance Evaluation," *Ad Hoc Networks*, vol. 26, 2015, pp. 88–102.

- [12] P. Du and G. Roussos, "Adaptive Time Slotted Channel Hopping for Wireless Sensor Networks," *Proc. Comp. Sci. and Eng. Conf.*, Sept. 2012, pp. 29–34.
- [13] R. Riggio *et al.*, "Interference and Traffic Aware Channel Assignment in WiFi-based Wireless Mesh Networks," *Ad Hoc Networks*, vol. 9, 2011, pp. 864–75.
- [14] Z. Lin *et al.*, "Jump-Stay Based Channel-Hopping Algorithm with Guaranteed Rendezvous for Cognitive Radio Networks," *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, Apr. 2011, pp. 2444–52.
- [15] S. Rizvi, K. Elleithy, and M. Khan, "An Opportunistic Frequency Channels Selection Scheme for Interference Minimization," *Proc. Amer. Soc. Eng. Education*, Apr. 2014, pp. 1–6.

### BIOGRAPHIES

WOONGSOO NA received his B.S. and M.S. degrees in computer science and engineering from Chung-Ang University, Seoul, Korea. He is currently pursuing his Ph. D. degree in computer science and engineering at Chung-Ang University. His research interests include Wireless Mesh Network, Directional MAC, and Vehicular WLANs.

NHU-NGOC DAO received his B.S. degrees in electronics and telecommunications from the Posts & Telecommunications Institute of Technology, Vietnam, in 2009. He is currently working toward his M.S. degree in computer science and engineering at Chung-Ang University. His research interests include vehicular WLANs and software defined networking.

SUNGRAE CHO (srcho@cau.ac.kr) received his Ph.D. degree in electrical and computer engineering from Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, in 2002, and his B.S. and M.S. degrees in electronics engineering from Korea University, Seoul, in 1992 and 1994, respectively. He is currently a full professor with the School of Computer Science and Engineering, Chung-Ang University. Prior to joining Chung-Ang University, he was an assistant professor with the Department of Computer Sciences, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, from 2003 to 2006, and a Senior Member of Technical Staff at Samsung Advanced Institute of Technology (SAIT), Kiheung, Korea, in 2003. From 1994 to 1996, he was a member of research staff at the Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute, Daejeon, Korea. From 2012 to 2013, he held a visiting professorship at the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland. He is an Editor of the *Elsevier Ad Hoc Networks Journal* since 2012 and has served numerous international conferences as an organizing committee member, such as IEEE SECON, ICOIN, ICTC, ICUFN, TridentCom, and IEEE MASS. His research interests include wireless networking, ubiquitous computing, performance evaluation, and queuing theory.