

Coronavirus RF Epidemiological Modeling

Charles Xu

VIDER

July 7, 2020

Past modeling work

- Simulation of virion in Abaqus to observe mechanical resonance and the distribution of stresses along the particle






Figure: von Mises stresses are colored

Past modeling work

- Simulation of virion in Abaqus to observe mechanical resonance and the distribution of stresses along the particle



ODB: Job-1.odb Abaqus/CAE Student Edition 2019 Mon Jun
Step: Step-1, Freq
Mode 14: Value = 2.1994 Freq = 0.23603 (cycles/time)
Primary Var: U, Magnitude

Simulated HIFU heating of virion in water using k-wave toolbox.





Figure: 10 seconds of HIFU heating of virion with 20 seconds cooling

Figure: von Mises stresses are colored


Modeling COVID-19 infection with RF inactivation

- Provide a greater understanding of the impacts of RF on COVID spread
- Assess role in reducing hospitalizations and deaths
- Set targets for inactivation and RF coverage

Infection can be described with compartmental modeling



Infection can be described with compartmental modeling



$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta(t)(I + \eta A)\frac{S}{N}$$

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \beta(t)(I + \eta A)\frac{S}{N} - \sigma E$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \alpha\sigma E - \phi I - \gamma_I I$$

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = (1 - \alpha)\sigma E - \gamma_A A$$

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \phi I - \delta H - \gamma_H H$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma_I I + \gamma_A A + \gamma_H H$$

$$\frac{dD}{dt} = \delta H$$

The base model is adapted to account for inactivation

- Partition the population into a group that interacts in areas with inactivation and areas without inactivation (model based on work from Eikenberry et al. 2020)
- Each compartment in the base model has a corresponding class with inactivation
- Need to obtain a parameter (ϵ) that captures the efficacy of inactivation at preventing spread

Efficacy depends on rate of inactivation

Independent action hypothesis (IAH)

Each virion has an equal, nonzero probability of causing an infection.





Figure: Figure from Stadnytskyi et al. 2020

- Used 100% inactivation in 25 with UVC at $3 \text{ mJ/cm}^2/\text{hour}$ as reported in Buonanno et al. 2020. Assumed a **linear** rate of inactivation.
- ϵ is the ratio of the areas under the new curves.

Model of 50% population with inactivation technology



- At the end of the simulation, the number of recovered individuals is produces herd immunity effects.

Benefits are dependent upon the percentage of individuals exposed to the inactivation




Figure: (a) Day of peak hospitalization (b) Hospitalized cases (c) Total deaths

Benefits are dependent upon the percentage of individuals exposed to the inactivation







Figure: (a) Day of peak hospitalization (b) Hospitalized cases (c) Total deaths



Outcomes depend on rate of inactivation



Outcomes depend on rate of inactivation



- Is the assumption of having a linear rate of inactivation valid?
- Future work will attempt to compartmentalize interactions based on location. i.e. if RF inactivation is used in public transportation