Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2}

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	T	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profes	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	45.3	0.5	55.2	0.8	45.4	1.6	39.9	1.0	27.5	1.7
University-associated individual:										
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	27.8	0.5	35.9	0.9	33.1	1.5	20.9	0.9	14.9	1.4
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	34.5	0.5	41.8	0.8	33.7	1.5	31.3	1.0	19.8	1.3
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	14.7	0.4	19.0	0.7	15.0	1.0	11.6	0.7	7.9	0.9
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	10.3	0.4	13.8	0.7	7.2	0.7	9.4	0.7	5.3	0.7
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	10.6	0.4	18.3	0.8	9.6	1.0	5.1	0.5	3.5	0.7
Percent of Victims of Harassment				'		'		'		'
Number of offenders										
1 person	31.7	0.8	31.2	1.2	35.0	2.0	32.8	1.7	30.6	2.8
2 persons	26.7	0.8	28.3	1.1	30.0	1.8	22.4	1.4	30.3	3.2
3 or more persons	41.6	0.9	40.6	1.3	35.1	1.9	44.8	1.6	39.1	3.0

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)		Male (n=2,633)				
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,927)		iate or ssional 981)	Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term											
0 times	19.9	0.6	16.2	1.0	31.1	2.0	20.0	1.3	29.6	3.0	
1 time	21.7	0.7	22.8	1.2	19.0	1.7	21.1	1.3	23.0	2.9	
2 times	22.2	0.7	24.5	1.0	19.0	1.4	20.7	1.4	18.2	2.6	
3-5 times	25.2	0.8	27.5	1.2	23.8	1.8	22.8	1.6	22.0	2.5	
6-9 times	5.3	0.4	4.9	0.5	4.5	0.9	6.2	0.8	3.8	1.1	
10 or more times	5.7	0.4	4.1	0.5	2.5	0.7	9.2	1.0	3.4	1.1	
Association with university				,							
Student	91.2	0.5	93.5	0.6	79.7	1.8	92.9	0.9	86.4	2.3	
Faculty	8.7	0.5	5.0	0.5	28.7	2.1	3.8	0.8	16.6	2.3	
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.1	S	s	1.0	0.4	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	4.0	0.3	2.4	0.3	10.5	1.4	3.1	0.6	7.4	1.8	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	2.4	0.3	2.4	0.4	1.3	0.5	2.5	0.6	2.4	1.0	
The person was not affiliated with [University]	9.2	0.5	10.0	0.8	9.5	1.4	7.7	1.2	8.7	1.9	
Don't know association with [University]	11.3	0.6	13.7	0.8	6.3	1.1	10.1	1.0	6.8	1.6	

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)		Male (n=2,633)				
Survey Item	,	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Relationship to victim											
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	5.5	0.4	7.8	0.6	4.6	0.9	3.5	0.6	S	S	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	6.7	0.4	8.9	0.6	6.0	1.1	5.1	0.8	2.0	0.9	
Teacher or advisor	6.6	0.4	3.8	0.4	20.9	1.6	4.2	0.8	10.2	1.5	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	6.7	0.4	3.4	0.4	20.4	1.8	3.6	0.6	17.9	2.4	
Friend or acquaintance	67.3	0.9	66.6	1.2	60.9	2.2	70.0	1.7	70.2	2.9	
Stranger	43.6	0.9	53.1	1.3	32.7	2.0	36.9	1.7	26.4	3.0	
Other	6.7	0.4	5.3	0.6	9.8	1.1	7.3	1.0	9.2	1.8	
Don't Know	3.0	0.3	2.3	0.3	2.4	0.7	4.4	0.8	3.2	1.0	

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2} (continued)

		TGQN (ı	n=74)			
Survey Item		Undergraduate (n=49)				
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	79.1	5.4	76.2	9.5		
University-associated individual:						
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	71.8	6.3	70.0	9.9		
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	69.1	7.2	70.2	10.3		
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	41.0	7.8	45.3	10.8		
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	13.4	4.9	S	S		
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	15.2	4.3	S	S		
Percent of Victims of Harassment	·					
Number of offenders						
1 person	14.0	5.5	S	S		
2 persons	24.8	7.2	25.8	9.3		
3 or more persons	61.2	7.5	63.9	11.0		

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2} (continued)

		TGQN	(n=74)	
Survey Item	Underg	Profe	uate or ssional =25)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term				
0 times	S	S	22.1	9.4
1 time	13.5	6.0	S	S
2 times	26.9	7.0	22.5	9.8
3-5 times	31.4	7.9	31.4	9.9
6-9 times	12.1	6.0	S	S
10 or more times	12.4	5.1	14.0	7.2
Association with university				
Student	95.4	3.0	83.7	9.5
Faculty	31.9	7.3	46.3	11.0
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	9.0	5.2	S	S
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	S	S
The person was not affiliated with [University]	12.9	5.3	S	S
Don't know association with [University]	18.1	6.4	14.1	6.9

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2} (continued)

		TGQN (r	n=74)			
Survey Item	Underg (n:	Profe	uate or ssional =25)			
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Relationship to victim						
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	S	S	-	-		
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	S	S	-	-		
Teacher or advisor	25.2	7.0	28.1	8.4		
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	10.2	4.9	30.6	10.7		
Friend or acquaintance	58.8	8.5	79.6	9.4		
Stranger	66.0	8.2	30.1	10.3		
Other	S	S	-	-		
Don't Know	-	-	S	S		

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

				Female (n	=3,908)		Male (n=2,633)					
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profes	uate or ssional 792)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Reporting to Others												
Friend	56.8	0.9	68.1	1.1	63.1	2.5	41.3	1.8	40.3	3.1		
Family member	13.3	0.6	15.0	0.9	22.7	2.1	7.4	0.9	10.9	2.0		
Faculty	2.9	0.3	2.5	0.4	7.1	1.0	1.9	0.5	2.4	0.9		
Someone else	5.9	0.4	5.1	0.5	9.7	1.5	4.8	0.9	8.8	1.8		
I didn't tell anyone else	39.4	0.9	29.5	1.1	30.3	2.5	54.2	1.7	54.7	3.1		

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program					'				,	
Contacted at least one program in university list	7.5	0.5	9.2	0.7	8.1	1.5	5.9	0.8	2.2	0.9
Contacted Title IX Coordinator/University Compliance Services (UCS)	8.4	1.9	4.8	2.1	12.7	4.9	15.3	5.4	-	-
Most recent contact		:		·		:				
Fall of 2014 - present	66.3	12.3	83.6	18.5	100	0.0	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	20.4	10.8	-	-	-	-	45.3	22.8	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear									
Not at all	33.7	11.0	61.6	15.7	S	S	S	S	-	-
A little	18.2	10.1	-	-	S	S	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	24.1	11.3	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
Very	24.1	9.6	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)		Male (n=2,633)				
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		raduate ,841)	Profe	uate or ssional 792)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted University of Texas Police Department (UTPD)	26.6	3.0	29.1	3.6	22.7	7.4	26.6	6.9	S	S	
Most recent contact				,	'				1		
Fall of 2014 - present	48.2	7.3	48.3	7.8	30.0	14.1	58.4	18.1	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	29.1	5.9	33.5	8.2	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	9.1	4.2	5.5	3.0	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	13.7	3.8	12.7	5.1	S	S	S	S	S	S	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ool Year				'				1		
Not at all	12.8	5.0	11.9	4.9	S	S	S	s	-	-	
A little	19.6	5.9	16.2	5.6	S	s	33.2	17.0	-	-	
Somewhat	35.6	6.3	25.2	5.7	55.5	15.8	50.1	18.4	S	S	
Very	16.1	4.9	21.8	6.8	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	15.9	4.4	25.0	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=2	2,633)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Behavior Concerns Advice Line (BCAL)	14.2	2.4	11.7	2.6	13.5	5.6	20.7	6.2	-	-
Most recent contact	'								1	
Fall of 2014 - present	31.4	7.9	57.2	14.0	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	32.9	8.0	S	S	S	S	56.3	19.5	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	25.8	8.7	S	S	S	S	43.7	19.5	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	10.0	5.5	21.0	11.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year	'	1			'				
Not at all	12.7	6.8	s	S	-	-	S	s	-	-
A little	14.8	6.2	17.9	8.4	S	s	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	39.1	8.4	19.4	10.3	63.2	24.7	48.5	19.3	-	-
Very	13.3	5.2	23.8	10.7	S	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	20.2	6.7	32.1	10.2	-	-	S	S	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	,	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		raduate ,841)	Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Student Emergency Services (SES)/Deputy Title IX Coordinator	9.4	2.0	10.0	2.3	7.8	4.4	6.3	3.4	-	-
Most recent contact				,				,		
Fall of 2014 - present	49.4	9.5	63.5	12.7	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	42.8	9.9	30.8	12.4	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year	'		'				'		
Not at all	21.3	11.3	16.9	9.7	-	-	s	s	-	-
A little	25.5	10.5	32.8	12.9	-	-	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	11.3	6.3	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Very	22.2	10.1	17.3	9.5	S	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	19.7	8.6	25.2	11.6	-	-	S	S	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	,	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		raduate ,841)	Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Student Judicial Services (SJS)	17.7	2.5	20.6	3.3	S	S	17.9	6.5	-	-
Most recent contact	'				'					<u>'</u>
Fall of 2014 - present	59.1	9.0	59.9	9.6	S	S	51.7	22.3	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	32.6	7.4	36.1	9.3	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year	'					1			<u>'</u>
Not at all	22.0	8.2	24.3	7.1	-	-	s	s	-	-
A little	11.8	5.2	17.4	7.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	25.3	8.4	12.7	6.6	S	S	37.7	20.3	-	-
Very	17.8	6.1	21.2	7.6	-	-	S	S	-	-
Extremely	23.1	6.6	24.4	7.1	-	-	S	S	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			,633)		
Survey Item	т			ergraduate Prof		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		raduate ,841)	Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Counseling & Mental Health Center (CMHC) /Voices Against Violence (VAV)	44.4	3.5	47.5	4.0	51.0	7.9	33.9	6.9	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	55.1	5.1	63.0	5.7	37.9	12.5	42.7	14.4	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	28.3	4.7	20.3	5.0	44.1	11.0	43.2	14.8	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	12.5	3.6	11.2	4.2	S	S	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	4.1	1.9	5.5	2.9	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				'					
Not at all	11.8	3.2	10.3	4.1	14.5	7.9	S	S	-	-
A little	12.6	3.0	11.2	3.3	16.3	8.4	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	18.2	4.0	20.6	4.9	26.4	13.3	S	S	-	-
Very	35.1	4.1	32.7	5.2	31.2	10.6	44.8	14.6	-	-
Extremely	22.3	4.1	25.1	5.6	S	S	27.0	14.1	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)		Male (n=2,633)				
Survey Item	т	Undergra Fotal (n=2,9			Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduat (n=1,841)				
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted University Health Services (UHS)	41.4	3.1	42.0	3.8	35.9	7.5	44.3	7.6	82.1	18.9	
Most recent contact					,						
Fall of 2014 - present	61.2	5.1	59.9	6.1	47.0	14.6	66.8	12.3	76.9	25.5	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	20.8	4.0	21.7	5.1	20.7	10.9	22.4	11.3	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	10.7	2.7	10.2	3.1	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	7.3	2.3	8.3	3.2	S	S	-	-	S	S	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear										
Not at all	6.5	2.7	S	S	s	s	16.8	9.0	-	-	
A little	13.6	2.8	17.1	4.2	S	S	S	S	S	S	
Somewhat	25.5	5.7	28.5	5.2	26.8	13.3	19.7	11.5	S	S	
Very	36.0	4.7	35.2	5.5	39.5	13.2	32.9	11.7	S	S	
Extremely	18.3	4.0	17.7	5.0	S	S	26.1	11.1	-	-	

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=2	2,633)		
Survey Item		Undergraduate Total (n=2,927)		,927)	Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profes (n=	uate or ssional 792)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Office of Institutional Equity (OIE)/Deputy Title IX Coordinator	4.0	1.2	2.1	1.0	12.0	4.3	S	S	S	S	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	76.7	13.8	S	S	86.3	14.3	S	S	S	S	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				,				,		
Not at all	29.1	13.4	69.5	32.4	S	S	-	-	-	-	
A little	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	35.5	15.4	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Very	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	S	

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	т	Undergradu Total (n=2,927)			Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Austin Police Department (APD)	13.4	2.3	14.8	3.0	13.2	6.0	13.4	5.3	-	-
Most recent contact					,				,	
Fall of 2014 - present	53.0	10.4	54.0	10.8	S	s	58.5	28.2	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	27.7	9.0	31.0	10.3	S	s	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	13.5	7.4	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear	'				'	1	'		'
Not at all	13.7	6.1	21.3	9.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	21.9	7.2	18.9	8.5	S	S	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	34.5	8.9	19.7	8.4	S	s	68.2	23.9	-	-
Very	19.5	6.6	23.8	8.9	S	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	10.4	4.9	16.3	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=2	2,633)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Other Law Enforcement Agency (i.e. Travis County Sheriff's Office Williamson County Sheriff's Office)	2.7	0.9	2.2	1.1	-	-	5.6	3.0	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	42.9	21.5	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear	'								
Not at all	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	63.1	19.9	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	T	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		iate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs					'			,		'
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	11.2	0.6	13.3	0.9	11.3	1.6	8.7	1.0	9.0	2.0
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	5.4	0.5	6.0	0.7	6.7	1.2	3.6	0.7	5.1	1.4
I did not think anyone would believe me	2.1	0.2	1.7	0.3	4.0	1.0	1.4	0.4	3.1	1.3
I did not think it was serious enough to report	78.6	0.8	82.9	1.0	77.5	1.8	73.1	1.7	77.9	2.9
I did not want the person to get into trouble	10.5	0.4	9.6	0.6	14.1	1.5	8.3	0.9	18.0	2.2
I feared negative social consequences	9.3	0.5	9.5	0.7	19.0	1.6	4.4	0.8	10.0	1.7
I did not think anything would be done	18.4	0.7	20.9	0.9	27.0	2.2	11.3	1.1	14.6	1.9
I feared it would not be kept confidential	6.5	0.4	6.0	0.7	13.0	1.5	3.8	0.6	7.7	1.4
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	15.9	0.6	19.0	0.9	12.9	1.4	13.7	1.5	11.6	2.2
Incident did not occur while attending school	6.1	0.4	7.4	0.5	4.1	1.0	5.5	0.8	3.3	1.3
Other	13.0	0.5	9.0	0.7	12.6	1.6	18.1	1.3	17.8	2.5

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	т.	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		raduate ,841)	Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	10.5	0.5	12.9	0.7	10.5	1.1	9.3	0.8	6.6	0.9
Partner controlled or tried to control	6.7	0.4	8.7	0.7	7.6	1.0	5.5	0.6	3.4	0.7
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	4.2	0.3	5.3	0.5	5.3	0.7	2.9	0.5	2.6	0.6
Partner used physical force	4.1	0.4	4.5	0.5	4.1	0.7	4.5	0.6	2.0	0.5
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence	·									
Number of offenders										
1 person	90.5	1.3	92.2	1.6	93.6	2.3	87.1	3.3	90.6	4.3
2 persons	7.7	1.3	6.8	1.6	4.2	1.9	9.1	2.5	9.4	4.3
3 or more persons	1.8	0.6	0.9	0.5	S	s	3.8	1.7	-	-
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term	<u> </u>									
0 times	36.6	2.4	28.6	2.9	58.9	5.5	38.1	5.7	42.3	7.8
1 time	22.8	1.6	25.6	2.4	15.7	3.8	21.5	4.0	21.2	6.0
2 times	15.0	1.7	17.6	2.8	9.0	2.5	15.5	3.1	8.3	4.2
3-5 times	16.8	2.1	17.9	2.5	9.5	2.9	17.9	3.8	20.6	6.1
6-9 times	4.2	1.0	4.9	1.4	4.7	2.1	3.8	1.9	S	S
10 or more times	4.5	0.9	5.5	1.3	S	S	3.2	1.5	5.7	3.0

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

		TGQN	l (n=74)	
Survey Item		raduate -49)		r Professional =25)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	11.9	6.5	22.4	10.6
Partner controlled or tried to control	S	S	S	S
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	11.9	6.5	S	S
Partner used physical force	S	S	14.2	7.2
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence				
Number of offenders				
1 person	66.0	34.9	81.7	22.6
2 persons	S	S	S	S
3 or more persons	-	-	-	-
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term				
0 times	S	S	55.0	44.7
1 time	S	S	S	S
2 times	S	S	-	-
3-5 times	-	-	-	-
6-9 times	-	-	-	-
10 or more times	-	-	S	S

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²Percent of students who reported being in a partnered relationship since entering college (question A13 on questionnaire).

³TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

				Female (n	=3,908)		Male (n=2,633)					
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)			raduate ,841)	Profes	ate or ssional 792)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Reporting to Others												
Friend	64.9	2.0	68.0	3.0	80.7	3.7	57.6	4.4	46.0	6.0		
Family member	25.9	1.8	28.1	2.8	35.9	4.6	19.7	4.0	22.5	5.5		
Faculty	4.1	0.8	3.0	1.0	11.5	2.8	4.2	2.0	-	-		
Someone else	12.7	1.6	10.6	2.0	20.8	4.0	10.9	3.1	16.3	5.4		
I didn't tell anyone else	28.7	2.0	27.5	2.7	14.8	3.6	34.3	4.1	41.0	6.8		

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)		Male (n=2,633)				
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Graduate or Professional (n=792)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Reporting to a Program		'			'						
Contacted at least one program in university list	15.5	1.6	14.9	2.2	29.4	4.1	12.5	3.1	8.6	3.3	
									·		
Contacted Title IX Coordinator/University Compliance Services (UCS)	3.7	2.3	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Most recent contact						!				·	
Fall of 2014 - present	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear								'		
Not at all	100	_	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)		Male (n=2,633)				
Survey Item	1	Undergraduate Total (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted University of Texas Police Department (UTPD)	8.4	2.6	S	S	20.8	8.3	S	S	-	-	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	S	s	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	41.9	20.9	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year				'						
Not at all	S	S	s	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
A little	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	S	S	-	-	S	s	S	s	-	-	
Very	36.5	19.1	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)					
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Behavior Concerns Advice Line (BCAL)	6.1	2.3	11.9	4.7	S	S	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact									,	
Fall of 2014 - present	40.2	27.1	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	59.8	27.1	65.5	28.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear									
Not at all	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	s	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,927)	Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Student Emergency Services (SES)/Deputy Title IX Coordinator	5.3	2.2	S	S	14.1	6.9	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	58.4	26.0	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				,				,	
Not at all	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	52.5	26.0	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=2	2,633)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,927)	Profe	ate or ssional 981)	Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profes	ate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Student Judicial Services (SJS)	5.4	2.1	S	S	13.1	5.8	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact		,			,					
Fall of 2014 - present	35.7	21.3	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	47.9	25.1	-	-	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear	'							1	
Not at all	41.8	16.4	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item		otal	(n=2	raduate ,927)	Profes (n=	ate or ssional 981)	(n=1	raduate ,841)	Profes (n=	iate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Counseling & Mental Health Center (CMHC) /Voices Against Violence (VAV)	70.1	4.5	68.1	7.5	72.8	8.6	69.7	14.0	78.4	21.2
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	39.0	8.4	46.6	11.7	S	S	61.5	19.8	S	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	36.0	6.8	40.5	11.4	42.3	11.8	S	S	S	S
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	4.2	2.4	-	-	S	S	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	20.9	5.8	12.9	7.1	41.8	13.3	S	S	S	S
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Yo	ear									
Not at all	11.3	3.8	S	S	28.8	9.2	S	S	-	-
A little	11.2	4.3	S	S	13.3	7.3	S	S	S	S
Somewhat	22.6	5.2	22.6	7.8	16.6	8.4	S	S	S	S
Very	28.8	6.4	40.5	11.0	21.6	10.9	S	S	S	S
Extremely	26.2	6.0	30.8	10.1	19.7	8.6	S	S	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)		Male (n=2,633)				
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,927)	Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted University Health Services (UHS)	13.4	2.8	13.6	4.9	21.4	7.6	s	S	S	S	
Most recent contact		'			'						
Fall of 2014 - present	51.6	13.6	73.5	19.3	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	21.0	10.6	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear	'				'					
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
A little	34.7	13.0	S	S	54.4	22.8	S	S	-	-	
Somewhat	29.9	13.1	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Very	25.3	11.3	S	s	S	S	-	-	S	S	
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=2	2,633)	
Survey Item	1	⁻ otal		raduate ,927)	Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profes	iate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of Institutional Equity (OIE)/Deputy Title IX Coordinator	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear			,				,	1	'
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	т	otal	_	raduate ,,927)	Graduate or Professional (n=981)			raduate ,841)	Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Austin Police Department (APD)	21.3	4.6	25.7	8.0	28.9	8.8	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact					'					'
Fall of 2014 - present	23.4	10.4	24.5	15.6	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.9	15.2	35.7	26.1	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	14.3	8.3	-	-	43.6	20.9	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	38.5	15.4	39.8	21.8	S	S	S	S	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear			•				•		•
Not at all	26.0	12.9	s	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	s	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	19.1	8.6	s	S	39.1	19.9	-	-	-	-
Extremely	32.8	10.8	50.9	15.3	S	S	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=2	2,633)	
Survey Item	ī	otal		Undergraduate (n=2,927)		Graduate or Professional (n=981)		raduate ,841)	Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Other Law Enforcement Agency (i.e. Travis County Sheriff's Office Williamson County Sheriff's Office)	6.4	3.1	5.4	3.1	S	S	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	s	s	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	68.0	28.0	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	43.3	32.7	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	s	s	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	T	otal	_	raduate ,927)	Profe	Graduate or Professional (n=981)		raduate .,841)	Profe	ate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs	•				'					'
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	8.7	1.3	10.9	2.1	11.9	3.4	4.8	2.2	7.8	4.0
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	17.8	1.9	22.4	2.8	21.4	4.4	10.0	2.8	14.0	5.3
I did not think anyone would believe me	5.0	0.9	4.8	1.4	8.1	3.2	5.0	2.1	S	S
I did not think it was serious enough to report	62.1	2.1	61.8	3.3	45.2	5.2	65.7	4.8	67.6	6.6
I did not want the person to get into trouble	24.4	2.2	29.4	2.9	20.5	4.4	18.6	4.5	18.2	5.8
I feared negative social consequences	12.4	2.0	13.0	2.6	18.1	5.0	8.0	2.7	16.3	6.3
I did not think anything would be done	15.0	1.8	19.6	2.8	13.9	4.5	7.2	2.4	13.8	5.3
I feared it would not be kept confidential	8.6	1.1	10.3	1.8	13.9	4.6	3.9	1.8	7.8	4.0
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	40.3	2.2	37.5	3.0	64.2	6.5	32.4	4.0	50.8	9.3
Incident did not occur while attending school	7.9	1.2	7.9	1.9	7.7	3.4	3.7	1.7	22.3	6.6
Other	13.6	1.8	11.9	2.0	12.2	4.2	18.4	4.4	10.5	4.3

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking 1,2

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	T	otal		raduate ,927)	Profes	iate or ssional 981)		raduate ,841)	Profes	ate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	4.9	0.2	7.7	0.5	7.8	0.8	2.0	0.3	2.2	0.5
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	2.7	0.2	4.0	0.4	3.9	0.6	1.3	0.2	1.3	0.4
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	2.3	0.2	3.6	0.3	5.1	0.7	0.8	0.2	0.6	0.3
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	1.5	0.1	2.3	0.2	2.9	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.9	0.3
Percent of Victims of Stalking				i	ı	i		i		•
Number of incidents since beginning	of 201	4 term								
0 times	26.4	2.3	23.6	2.9	44.8	5.9	12.4	5.3	36.9	13.7
1 time	16.5	1.5	17.8	1.9	10.7	3.1	22.3	6.7	S	S
2 times	17.2	2.0	19.3	2.2	8.9	3.0	19.8	8.0	S	s
3-5 times	28.1	2.3	26.8	2.5	23.8	4.8	34.9	9.3	26.7	11.8
6-9 times	6.7	1.4	7.9	1.8	4.1	2.2	8.1	4.4	-	-
10 or more times	5.2	1.1	4.5	1.4	7.6	3.1	S	S	s	S
Association with university										
Student	57.2	2.6	58.2	3.1	52.5	6.1	66.1	7.2	39.6	12.1
Faculty	2.2	0.8	S	S	4.5	2.4	S	S	-	-
Other staff or administrator	2.4	0.8	S	S	1.3	1.2	S	S	S	S
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	2.0	0.8	1.9	1.0	S	S	S	S	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	9.8	1.7	9.4	2.1	5.1	2.3	8.5	4.7	28.6	12.3
Don't know association with university	37.0	2.7	37.9	3.3	45.4	6.3	26.4	6.1	32.7	12.6

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	T			raduate ,927)	Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profes	ate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	8.5	1.3	6.9	1.7	9.7	3.4	17.4	6.6	_	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	24.8	2.3	21.9	3.0	37.2	4.8	27.1	6.7	S	S
Teacher or advisor	0.6	0.4	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	3.6	1.2	1.5	0.7	5.8	2.6	S	S	19.9	12.8
Friend or acquaintance	40.5	2.9	44.5	4.0	39.1	5.4	37.9	11.2	s	S
Stranger	27.1	2.4	28.9	2.7	21.8	5.1	21.8	7.3	39.5	13.3
Other	11.3	1.8	9.7	2.2	11.6	3.7	13.6	6.4	23.3	10.8
Don't Know	3.9	1.1	1.8	1.0	s	S	11.0	5.2	S	S

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1, 2} (continued)

		TGQN (n=74)	
Survey Item		graduate =49)	Profe	uate or ssional =25)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	8.6	3.8	3.2	S
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	6.5	3.4	-	-
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	S	S	-	-
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	-	-	S	S
Percent of Victims of Stalking				
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term				
0 times	S	S	-	-
1 time	-	-	-	-
2 times	-	-	-	-
3-5 times	66.6	34.4	S	S
6-9 times	-	-	-	-
10 or more times	-	-	-	-
Association with university				
Student	66.6	34.4	-	-
Faculty	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	S	S
Don't know association with university	S	S	-	-

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1, 2} (continued)

		TGQN (r	=74)	
Survey Item		raduate -49)	Profes	ate or ssional :25)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	-	-	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	S	S	-	-
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	77.9	24.3	-	-
Stranger	-	-	S	S
Other	-	-	-	-
Don't Know	-	-	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	,	otal		raduate ,927)	Profes	uate or ssional 981)		raduate ,841)	Profes	ate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	86.3	1.9	89.1	2.4	91.2	2.8	76.1	7.7	67.3	13.7
Family member	45.3	2.7	46.8	3.3	48.9	5.8	39.5	8.7	42.4	13.6
Faculty	13.0	1.5	8.7	1.9	25.4	4.5	14.1	5.8	17.2	8.7
Someone else	18.4	2.3	16.6	2.8	26.4	4.9	19.3	6.9	S	S
I didn't tell anyone else	6.7	1.4	5.1	1.5	4.0	2.2	11.1	5.4	19.7	9.8

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	,	otal		graduate 2,927)	Profe	uate or ssional 981)		raduate ,841)	Profes	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program								1	,	
Contacted at least one program in university list	28.4	2.8	26.4	3.3	34.4	5.4	37.0	7.6	S	S
Contacted Title IX Coordinator/University Compliance Services (UCS)	4.3	2.1	7.7	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact					'					
Fall of 2014 - present	100	_	100	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear								,	
Not at all	49.0	36.3	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	51.0	36.3	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	=2,633)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate 2,927)	Profe	iate or ssional 981)	Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted University of Texas Police Department (UTPD)	24.5	4.1	25.3	5.5	31.9	9.5	18.9	11.2	-	-
Most recent contact				·						
Fall of 2014 - present	36.4	9.3	53.5	16.6	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	36.0	10.8	33.6	17.3	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	15.8	7.4	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	11.8	6.5	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	ol Year	'		_	'				'	
Not at all	25.8	9.5	33.5	14.7	S	s	-	-	-	-
A little	14.7	7.9	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	25.1	8.5	S	S	S	S	100	0.0	-	-
Very	26.1	7.5	33.1	11.8	S	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	S	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	,	otal		graduate 2,927)	Profe	uate or ssional 981)	Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profes	iate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Behavior Concerns Advice Line (BCAL)	8.1	2.8	5.9	3.0	10.1	5.4	S	S	S	S
Most recent contact	'				'					
Fall of 2014 - present	58.1	17.9	71.5	31.9	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	32.1	18.1	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year	'		_						
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	32.1	16.6	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S
Very	29.1	16.9	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	=2,633)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate 2,927)	Profe	uate or ssional 981)	Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Student Emergency Services (SES)/Deputy Title IX Coordinator	12.9	3.5	16.2	4.9	S	S	S	s	-	-
Most recent contact				·					,	
Fall of 2014 - present	46.6	15.9	61.6	20.9	S	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	53.4	15.9	38.4	20.9	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	l Year	'	1			'				
Not at all	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	29.5	15.1	41.9	20.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	27.3	16.6	S	S	S	S	S	s	-	-
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-
Extremely	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,927)	Profes	ate or ssional 981)	Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profes	ate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Student Judicial Services (SJS)	18.6	3.6	20.9	5.1	16.0	7.3	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact									,	
Fall of 2014 - present	39.2	12.9	47.9	17.5	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	43.7	13.3	43.7	17.5	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear					'		'		'
Not at all	33.4	12.0	46.2	16.5	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	19.0	10.2	30.2	15.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	23.4	11.7	S	s	S	S	S	S	-	-
Very	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	20.7	10.6	S	s	S	S	S	S	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	Т	otal		raduate ,927)	Profe	iate or ssional 981)	Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Counseling & Mental Health Center (CMHC) /Voices Against Violence (VAV)	38.9	4.5	34.0	6.4	38.7	8.8	56.6	17.2	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	40.2	10.4	49.8	12.4	S	S	41.4	30.6	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.5	7.0	28.0	12.8	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	16.5	6.0	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	19.8	6.8	S	S	49.5	18.1	S	S	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear								,	
Not at all	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	23.1	7.3	S	S	31.4	16.1	40.0	18.3	-	-
Somewhat	12.5	5.3	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Very	40.1	8.3	44.5	11.8	53.7	18.0	S	S	-	-
Extremely	21.3	7.5	34.4	11.3	-	-	S	S	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n=	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,927)	Graduate or Professional (n=981)		Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Graduate or Professional (n=792)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted University Health Services (UHS)	8.0	2.9	6.8	3.5	s	S	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact									,	
Fall of 2014 - present	65.5	23.6	S	S	s	s	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear	'		'		'		'		'
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-
Extremely	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	=2,633)	
Survey Item	,	otal		raduate ,,927)	Profe	ate or ssional 981)	Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	iate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of Institutional Equity (OIE)/Deputy Title IX Coordinator	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year									
Not at all	S	S	s	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	,	otal		raduate 2,927)	Profe	uate or ssional 981)	Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	uate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Austin Police Department (APD)	23.6	3.7	24.5	6.2	47.6	7.4	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact	'	,								<u>'</u>
Fall of 2014 - present	50.8	10.0	58.2	14.5	40.6	14.4	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.5	8.6	29.2	13.8	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	22.4	8.7	S	S	35.9	15.0	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year	'								<u>'</u>
Not at all	20.6	9.1	26.6	14.0	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	28.1	9.0	26.1	12.8	30.8	13.4	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	38.7	10.6	32.8	14.0	47.1	15.0	-	-	-	-
Very	12.6	6.4	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	Т	otal		raduate ,927)	Profe	uate or ssional 981)		raduate ,841)	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	ssional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Other Law Enforcement Agency (i.e. Travis County Sheriff's Office Williamson County Sheriff's Office)	9.8	3.2	4.3	2.5	15.8	7.2	S	S	S	S
Most recent contact					,					
Fall of 2014 - present	42.5	20.5	100	0.0	-	-	-	-	S	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	33.6	17.6	-	-	100	0.0	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear	'				•				
Not at all	s	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	S	S
A little	35.7	22.0	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Very	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,908)			Male (n=	2,633)	
Survey Item	T	otal	_	raduate ,,927)	Profe	uate or ssional 981)	Undergraduate (n=1,841)		Profe	iate or ssional 792)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs					,					,
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	26.6	2.6	25.6	3.4	26.5	6.6	32.2	10.7	30.6	13.0
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	18.0	2.6	13.8	2.7	18.3	5.5	34.9	10.8	25.8	12.8
I did not think anyone would believe me	14.8	2.3	12.5	2.4	16.8	5.2	16.2	8.8	24.7	12.4
I did not think it was serious enough to report	57.7	2.8	60.3	3.2	44.8	8.3	56.0	10.8	62.5	13.6
I did not want the person to get into trouble	13.8	2.4	14.2	2.9	10.3	4.7	S	S	25.9	12.8
I feared negative social consequences	21.6	2.8	17.7	3.1	24.4	5.7	25.9	10.7	39.6	14.0
I did not think anything would be done	32.6	2.8	33.1	3.8	41.4	7.0	28.2	10.6	S	S
I feared it would not be kept confidential	14.5	2.6	11.8	2.7	19.0	5.6	20.6	10.3	S	S
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	24.7	2.8	24.8	3.0	31.3	6.8	23.4	9.6	S	S
Incident did not occur while attending school	5.1	1.4	6.5	1.9	S	S	S	S	S	S
Other	11.4	1.7	8.5	2.0	20.0	6.2	19.9	8.9	S	S

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence or Stalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response				Female (3,908)		Male (2,633)				
	Т	Total		Undergraduate (2,927)		Graduate or Professional (981)		Undergraduate (1,841)		Graduate or Professional (792)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Harassment											
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	42.8	0.5	53.9	0.8	42.9	1.8	36.9	1.1	25.7	1.7	
Non-Heterosexual	62.7	1.9	65.4	2.8	62.2	4.0	62.4	3.2	45.7	5.1	
Ethnicity		'		'				'			
Hispanic	44.7	1.3	50.0	1.9	45.3	3.9	39.0	2.7	35.8	4.6	
Not Hispanic	45.4	0.6	57.0	0.9	45.4	1.8	40.1	1.1	26.4	1.8	
Race				,		•		,			
American Indian or Alaska Native	48.2	4.5	61.5	6.1	56.5	14.6	32.4	7.5	40.3	12.0	
Asian	38.8	1.2	50.4	2.0	34.3	3.4	36.9	2.0	18.0	2.8	
Black or African American	47.7	2.6	56.1	3.3	37.5	7.7	42.1	5.0	22.0	12.0	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	49.4	7.2	65.7	9.0	S	S	40.3	14.4	-	-	
White	48.8	0.6	57.8	1.0	51.2	1.7	42.7	1.2	32.0	2.2	
Intimate partner violence Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	9.7	0.5	12.3	0.7	8.4	1.0	8.5	0.7	6.4	0.8	
Non-Heterosexual	16.5	1.6	19.3	2.7	22.9	3.8	14.5	2.7	7.4	3.1	
Ethnicity											
Hispanic	12.2	1.2	12.9	1.3	14.4	3.2	12.6	2.4	6.3	2.3	
Not Hispanic	10.0	0.5	12.9	0.8	9.7	1.1	8.3	0.8	6.7	1.0	
Race				'				'			
American Indian or Alaska Native	16.2	3.7	16.2	5.6	S	S	14.3	7.8	26.1	12.1	
Asian	10.5	1.0	15.0	1.7	8.3	2.3	8.8	1.9	5.3	1.7	
Black or African American	14.1	2.9	13.4	3.3	9.1	5.1	19.4	6.2	S	S	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	16.0	6.2	33.8	12.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	
White	10.1	0.5	12.0	0.7	11.0	1.2	8.6	0.8	7.2	1.1	

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence or Stalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2} (continued)

			Female (3,908)				Male (2,633)			
	Total		Undergraduate (2,927)		Graduate or Professional (981)		Undergraduate (1,841)		Graduate or Professional (792)	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Stalking										
Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	4.4	0.2	7.2	0.5	6.5	0.8	1.7	0.3	2.1	0.5
Non-Heterosexual	8.1	1.0	12.1	1.9	15.6	3.1	3.7	1.6	S	S
Ethnicity	<u> </u>			,						
Hispanic	5.8	0.6	8.0	1.0	9.0	2.3	2.4	0.8	5.9	2.4
Not Hispanic	4.7	0.3	7.6	0.6	7.5	0.8	1.9	0.3	1.6	0.4
Race	'			,				'		
American Indian or Alaska Native	5.7	1.9	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Asian	3.4	0.4	5.3	0.9	8.6	1.9	0.7	0.4	2.4	0.9
Black or African American	6.1	1.1	7.7	2.1	6.1	3.4	4.0	2.1	S	S
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	5.4	0.3	8.6	0.6	8.2	1.1	2.2	0.3	1.7	0.5

¹Per 100 students.

²Estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.