

# Original Bias Factors

Henri Urpani

## Overview

In this document, I outline in detail the series of bias factors which govern ants' behaviours in the original simulation model for the FIT2082 research project linked [here](#). These form the rules which underlie the movements of ants in our simulation model, since motion is chosen according to an ant's preferences or [biases](#). Not every possible location is equally-appealing to an ant, and this allows us to distinguish between them by behaviour.

## Notation

Let's represent the state of an ant as a triple

$$\mathcal{A} = (a, d, h)$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$  is the age of the ant in days,  $d \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^2$  is the direction the ant is facing, and  $h \in \mathbb{R}^*$  is its "holdness" attribute, determining the strength of the ant's preference to continue moving in an approximately-fixed direction.

By default, ants have fixed  ~~$h = 10$~~   $h = 40$ , and an initial age which is determined to mimic the experimental conditions in the study (Mersch, Crespi, and Keller 2013).

## Behaviour

At each step, there is a 20% chance that an ant will remain stationary. Otherwise, it considers a sequence of cardinal and intercardinal directions  $d_1, \dots, d_8 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^2$  with associated weightings  $w_1, \dots, w_8 \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , where  $w_i$  is initially 0 if following  $d_i$  leads to an obstruction, and 1 otherwise. We apply the following to each ant  $\mathcal{A} = (a, d, h)$ .

### Hold-direction bias

~~First, we apply~~

$$w_i \mapsto \frac{w_i h}{1 + \|d_i - d\|_2}$$

which is the hold-direction bias. This serves to have ants prefer to move in roughly straight lines; about one third of the time, a small "wobble" is added to this step.

The new hold-direction bias is simpler. A turn of strictly-less than ninety degrees has its associated weight  $w_i$  mapped to  $w_i h$ , and a turn of ninety degrees has its associated weight mapped to  $\frac{1}{2}w_i h$ . Greater turns than these are associated with weight zero and won't be selected.

If an ant moves diagonally into a corner, this could cause it to get stuck indefinitely, however. To remedy this, we can instead map  $w_i$  to  $\frac{1}{100}w_i h$  so that there is still some positive weighting to the steeper changes in direction.

## General Pheromone bias

We compute

$$b = \frac{1}{5} \exp\left(-\frac{a}{50}\right)$$

If  $d_i$  is not obstructed and leads to  $c$  general pheromones, then we apply the following

$$w_i \mapsto w_i + bc$$

to form the general pheromone bias.

## Brood Pheromone bias

We compute

$$b = \left(A + \frac{B(x-D)}{E}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{C(x-D)}{E}\right)$$

with

$$A = 3, B = 1.65, C = 0.07, D = -4.8, E = 2.33$$

calibrated to obtain a peak factor around roughly the age of 25 days, followed by decay, as interpreted from the real-world study by Mersch, Crespi, and Keller 2013. Once again, if  $d_i$  is not obstructed and leads to  $c$  brood pheromones, then we apply the following

$$w_i \mapsto w_i + bc$$

where  $c$  denotes the brood pheromone count in the associated location, is the brood pheromone bias.

## Exploration bias

Suppose that  $d_i$  leads to a location with less than 3 general pheromones and less than 3 brood pheromones, or that  $d_i$  leads to a location with at least 3 foraging pheromones. In this case, we apply

$$w_i \mapsto 0.065 \left( \tanh\left(\frac{a-130}{10}\right) + 2 \right) w_i$$

which forms the exploration bias.

## Food/Foraging bias

Finally, for every tile in a radius of 5 from the ant's current location which is not contained within the nest area, we apply

$$w_i \mapsto w_i + 15c$$

where  $c$  denotes the amount of food at that location and where  $d_i$  corresponds to the general direction of that food.

## Selection of Direction

A direction  $d_i$  is chosen at random, where the chance of selection  $d_i$  is given by

$$p_i = \frac{w_i}{\sum_i w_i}$$

for each  $1 \leq i \leq 8$ , under the assumption that at least one direction is not obstructed.

## References

- [1] Danielle P. Mersch, Alessandro Crespi, and Laurent Keller. "Tracking Individuals Shows Spatial Fidelity Is a Key Regulator of Ant Social Organization". In: *Science* 340.6136 (2013), pp. 1090–1093. ISSN: 0036-8075. DOI: 10.1126/science.1234316. eprint: <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/340/6136/1090.full.pdf>. URL: <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/340/6136/1090>.