

**Theorem 1 (Factorization Theorem):**

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  denote random variables with joint p.d.f. or p.m.f.  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta)$ , which depends on the parameter  $\theta$ . The statistic  $Y = u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  is **sufficient** for  $\theta$  if and only if

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \phi[u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n); \theta] \cdot h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $\phi$  depends on  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  only through  $u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .

- 1/2. Let  $\lambda > 0$  and let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the distribution with the probability density function

$$f(x; \lambda) = 2\lambda^2 x^3 e^{-\lambda x^2}, \quad x > 0, \quad \text{zero elsewhere.}$$

Find the sufficient statistic  $Y = u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  for  $\lambda$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \lambda) &= f(x_1; \lambda) f(x_2; \lambda) \dots f(x_n; \lambda) \\ &= \left[ 2^n \lambda^{2n} e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} \right] \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Factorization Theorem,  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\lambda$ .

1. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from a Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda$ . That is,

$$f(k; \lambda) = P(X_1 = k) = \frac{\lambda^k \cdot e^{-\lambda}}{k!}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

- a) Use Factorization Theorem to find  $Y = u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ , a sufficient statistic for  $\lambda$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1; \lambda) f(x_2; \lambda) \dots f(x_n; \lambda) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i} \cdot e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!} \\ &= \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \cdot e^{-n\lambda} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i!}. \end{aligned}$$

By Factorization Theorem,

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ is a sufficient statistic for } \lambda.$$

$$\left[ \Rightarrow \bar{X} \text{ is also a sufficient statistic for } \lambda. \right]$$

- b) Show that  $P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n \mid Y = y)$  does not depend on  $\lambda$ .

Since  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  has a Poisson distribution with mean  $n\lambda$ , if  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n \mid Y = y) &= \\ &= \frac{\frac{\lambda^{x_1} \cdot e^{-\lambda}}{x_1!} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{x_2} \cdot e^{-\lambda}}{x_2!} \dots \frac{\lambda^{x_n} \cdot e^{-\lambda}}{x_n!}}{\frac{(n\lambda)^y \cdot e^{-n\lambda}}{y!}} = \frac{y!}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^y \end{aligned}$$

does not depend on  $\lambda$ .

$$\left[ P(X_1 = x_1, X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n \mid Y = y) = 0 \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \neq y. \right]$$

1¼. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from an Exponential distribution with probability with mean  $\theta$ .

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^n \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right).$$

By Factorization Theorem,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

OR

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta} = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\theta} \cdot x - \ln \theta\right]. \quad K(x) = x.$$

$\Rightarrow Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  has Gamma distribution with  $\alpha = n$ , one can show that the

conditional distribution of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  given  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = y$  does not depend on  $\theta$ : the conditional p.d.f. is

$$\frac{\frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x_1/\theta} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x_2/\theta} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x_n/\theta}}{\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)\theta^n} y^{n-1} e^{-y/\theta}} = \frac{(n-1)!}{y^{n-1}} \quad \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = y,$$

zero otherwise.

(Each  $X_i$  is the time of the first occurrence of some random event or the time between two consecutive occurrences,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is the time of the  $n$ th occurrence. If we wait until the  $n$ th occurrence, knowing when the first  $n-1$  occurrences were does not help us estimate  $\theta$ , the average time between occurrences, better than if we just knew the time of the  $n$ th occurrence.)

1½. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from a Geometric distribution with probability of “success”  $p$ .

$$f(x_1; p) f(x_2; p) \dots f(x_n; p) = p^n (1-p)^{\sum x_i - n}.$$

By Factorization Theorem,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $p$ .

[  $\Rightarrow \bar{X}$  is also a sufficient statistic for  $p$ . ]

[  $\Rightarrow 1/\bar{X}$  is also a sufficient statistic for  $p$ . ]

OR

$$f(x; \theta) = p \cdot (1-p)^{x-1} = \exp [ \ln(1-p) \cdot x - \ln(1-p) + \ln p ].$$

$K(x) = x \quad \Rightarrow \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $p$ .

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  has Negative Binomial distribution with  $r = n$ , one can show

that the conditional distribution of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  given  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = y$  does not depend on  $p$ : the conditional p.m.f. is

$$\frac{p(1-p)^{x_1-1} \cdot p(1-p)^{x_2-1} \dots \cdot p(1-p)^{x_n-1}}{\binom{y-1}{n-1} p^n (1-p)^{y-n}} = \frac{1}{\binom{y-1}{n-1}} \quad \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = y,$$

zero otherwise.

( Each  $X_i$  is the number of attempts to get the first “success”,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is the number of attempts to the first  $n$  “successes”. If we wait until the  $n$ th “success”, knowing when the first  $n-1$  “successes” were does not help us estimate  $p$  better than if we just know how many attempts it took to get  $n$  “successes”. )

2. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from a Uniform( $0, \theta$ ) distribution. That is,

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}, \quad 0 < x < \theta, \quad \text{zero elsewhere.}$$

- a) Use Factorization Theorem to find  $Y = u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ , a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

Define 
$$I\{A\} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \text{ is true} \\ 0 & \text{if } A \text{ is false} \end{cases}.$$

Then 
$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot I\{x < \theta\} \cdot I\{x > 0\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1; \theta) f(x_2; \theta) \dots f(x_n; \theta) &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n I\{x_i < \theta\} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n I\{x_i > 0\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} \cdot I\{\max x_i < \theta\} \cdot I\{\min x_i > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Factorization Theorem,

$\max X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

- b) Show that the conditional distribution of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  given  $Y = y$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .

Since  $f_{\max X_i}(y) = \frac{n \cdot y^{n-1}}{\theta^n}$ ,  $0 < y < \theta$ , zero elsewhere, if  $\max x_i = y$ ,

$$\frac{f(x_1; \theta) f(x_2; \theta) \dots f(x_n; \theta)}{f_{\max X_i}(y)} = \frac{\frac{1}{\theta} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} \dots \frac{1}{\theta}}{\frac{n \cdot y^{n-1}}{\theta^n}} = \frac{1}{n \cdot y^{n-1}}$$

does not depend on  $\theta$ .

**Theorem 2:**

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a distribution with a p.d.f. or p.m.f. of the exponential form

$$f(x; \theta) = \exp [p(\theta) K(x) + S(x) + q(\theta)],$$

on a support free of  $\theta$ . The statistic  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

- 1/2.** Let  $\lambda > 0$  and let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the distribution with the probability density function

$$f(x; \lambda) = 2\lambda^2 x^3 e^{-\lambda x^2}, \quad x > 0, \quad \text{zero elsewhere.}$$

- b) Use Theorem 2 to find a sufficient statistic  $Y = u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  for  $\lambda$ .

$$f(x; \lambda) = \exp \{ -\lambda \cdot x^2 + \ln 2 + 2 \ln \lambda + 3 \ln x \}. \quad \Rightarrow \quad K(x) = x^2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \text{ is a sufficient statistic for } \lambda.$$

- 1.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from a Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda$ .

- c) Use Theorem 2 to find  $Y = u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ , a sufficient statistic for  $\lambda$ .

$$f(x; \lambda) = \exp [ \ln \lambda \cdot x - \ln(x!) - \lambda ]. \quad K(x) = x.$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ is a sufficient statistic for } \lambda.$$

$$[ \Rightarrow \bar{X} \text{ is also a sufficient statistic for } \lambda. ]$$

Cannot use Theorem 2 for Example 2,  $\text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$ , since the support depends on  $\theta$ .

3. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from the distribution with probability density function

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot x^{\frac{1}{\theta} - 1}, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < \theta < \infty.$$

Find a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

$$f(x) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} \ln x - \ln \theta \right\}. \quad K(x) = \ln x.$$

$$\Rightarrow Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i \text{ is a sufficient statistic for } \theta.$$

$$\Rightarrow Y_2 = e^{Y_1} = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ is also a sufficient statistic for } \theta.$$

OR

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{1-\theta/\theta}.$$

By Factorization Theorem,  $Y_2 = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

$$\Rightarrow Y_1 = \ln Y_2 = \ln \prod_{i=1}^n X_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i \text{ is also a sufficient statistic for } \theta.$$

4. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from a  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution. Find joint sufficient statistics for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \mu, \sigma) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x_i - \mu)^2\right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \frac{n\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ are joint sufficient statistics for } \mu \text{ and } \sigma.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \text{ and } \bar{X} \text{ are joint sufficient statistics for } \mu \text{ and } \sigma.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - n(\bar{X})^2 \text{ and } \bar{X} \text{ are joint sufficient statistics for } \mu \text{ and } \sigma.$$

$$\Rightarrow S^2 \text{ and } \bar{X} \text{ are joint sufficient statistics for } \mu \text{ and } \sigma.$$

$$\Rightarrow S \text{ and } \bar{X} \text{ are joint sufficient statistics for } \mu \text{ and } \sigma.$$

$$\text{Recall: } S^2 = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1} = \frac{\sum X_i^2 - n(\bar{X})^2}{n-1}.$$

5. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from a  $\text{Uniform}(a, b)$  distribution. Find joint sufficient statistics for  $a$  and  $b$ .

$$f(x; a, b) = \frac{1}{b-a} \cdot I\{x < b\} \cdot I\{x > a\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; a, b) &= \frac{1}{(b-a)^n} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n I\{x_i < b\} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n I\{x_i > a\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(b-a)^n} \cdot I\{\max x_i < b\} \cdot I\{\min x_i > a\}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \min X_i \text{ and } \max X_i \text{ are joint sufficient statistics for } a \text{ and } b.$$