

Examples for 10/19/2020 (2) (continued)

1. Let $\beta > 0$ and let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the distribution with probability density function

$$f(x; \beta) = \frac{\beta^2 \ln x}{x^{\beta+1}}, \quad x > 1, \quad \text{zero otherwise.}$$

Recall: $W = \ln X$ has a Gamma($\alpha = 2, \theta = \frac{1}{\beta}$) distribution. ($\lambda = \beta$)

- i) Recall: The maximum likelihood estimator for β is $\hat{\beta} = \frac{2n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i}$.

Is $\hat{\beta}$ a consistent estimator of β ? *Justify your answer.*

(NOT enough to say “because it is the maximum likelihood estimator”)

- j) Assume $\beta > 1$.

Recall: A method of moments estimator for β is $\tilde{\beta} = \frac{\sqrt{\bar{X}}}{\sqrt{\bar{X}} - 1}$.

Is $\tilde{\beta}$ a consistent estimator of β ? *Justify your answer.*

(NOT enough to say “because it is a method of moments estimator”)

Battleplan: ① WLLN, $\bar{\heartsuit} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \heartsuit_i \xrightarrow{P} E(\heartsuit).$

② $\spadesuit \xrightarrow{P} a, g \text{ is continuous at } a \Rightarrow g(\spadesuit) \xrightarrow{P} g(a)$

Answers:

1. Let $\beta > 0$ and let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the distribution with probability density function

$$f(x; \beta) = \frac{\beta^2 \ln x}{x^{\beta+1}}, \quad x > 1, \quad \text{zero otherwise.}$$

Recall: $W = \ln X$ has a Gamma($\alpha = 2, \theta = \frac{1}{\beta}$) distribution. ($\lambda = \beta$)

- i) Recall: The maximum likelihood estimator for β is $\hat{\beta} = \frac{2n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i}$.

Is $\hat{\beta}$ a consistent estimator of β ? *Justify your answer.*

(NOT enough to say “because it is the maximum likelihood estimator”)

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{2n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i} = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i} = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n W_i} = \frac{2}{\bar{W}}.$$

By WLLN, $\bar{W} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \xrightarrow{P} E(W) = \alpha \theta = \frac{2}{\beta}.$

$$\spadesuit \xrightarrow{P} a, \text{ } g \text{ is continuous at } a \Rightarrow g(\spadesuit) \xrightarrow{P} g(a)$$

Since $g(x) = \frac{2}{x}$ is continuous at $\frac{2}{\beta}$,

$$\hat{\beta} = g(\bar{W}) \xrightarrow{P} g\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right) = \beta. \quad \hat{\beta} \text{ is a consistent estimator of } \beta.$$

j) Assume $\beta > 1$.

Recall: A method of moments estimator for β is $\tilde{\beta} = \frac{\sqrt{\bar{X}}}{\sqrt{\bar{X}} - 1}$.

Is $\tilde{\beta}$ a consistent estimator of β ? *Justify your answer.*

(NOT enough to say “because it is a method of moments estimator”)

By WLLN,
$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{P} \mu = \frac{\beta^2}{(\beta-1)^2}.$$

$$\spadesuit \xrightarrow{P} a, \text{ } g \text{ is continuous at } a \Rightarrow g(\spadesuit) \xrightarrow{P} g(a)$$

Since $g(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x} - 1}$ is continuous at $\frac{\beta^2}{(\beta-1)^2}$,

$$\tilde{\beta} = g(\bar{X}) \xrightarrow{P} g\left(\frac{\beta^2}{(\beta-1)^2}\right) = \beta.$$

$\tilde{\beta}$ is a consistent estimator of β .