

1. 4.5.5 (7th edition) 5.5.5 (6th edition)

Let X_1, X_2 be a random sample of size $n = 2$ from the distribution having p.d.f.

$f_X(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}$, $0 < x < \infty$, zero elsewhere. We reject $H_0: \theta = 2$ in favor of

$H_1: \theta = 1$ if the observed values of X_1, X_2 , say x_1, x_2 , are such that

$$\frac{f(x_1; 2) \cdot f(x_2; 2)}{f(x_1; 1) \cdot f(x_2; 1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Here $\Omega = \{\theta: \theta = 1, 2\}$. Find the significance level of the test and the power of the test when H_0 is false.

That is, we know that the most powerful rejection region for testing

$H_0: \theta = 2$ vs $H_1: \theta = 1$ is

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{L(2)}{L(1)} = \frac{f(x_1; 2) \cdot f(x_2; 2)}{f(x_1; 1) \cdot f(x_2; 1)} \leq k. \quad \text{Let } k = \frac{1}{2}.$$

(That is, reject H_0 if it is more than twice as likely to observe a data set like ours under the assumption that H_1 is true than under the assumption that H_0 is true.)

Find (i) the significance level of the test and (ii) the power of the test.

2. 1. (continued)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10} be a random sample of size $n = 10$ from the distribution having

p.d.f. $f_X(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}$, $0 < x < \infty$, zero elsewhere. We reject $H_0: \theta = 2$ in

favor of $H_1: \theta = 1$ if the observed values of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10} , say x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10} , are such that

$$\frac{f(x_1; 2) \cdot f(x_2; 2) \cdot \dots \cdot f(x_{10}; 2)}{f(x_1; 1) \cdot f(x_2; 1) \cdot \dots \cdot f(x_{10}; 1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Find the significance level of the test and the power of the test.

“Hint”: Technology is wonderful.

3. 2. (continued)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10} be a random sample of size $n = 10$ from the distribution having p.d.f. $f_X(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}$, $0 < x < \infty$, zero elsewhere. We wish to test $H_0: \theta = 2$ vs $H_1: \theta = 1$ using a 5% level of significance.

- Find the most powerful rejection region with $\alpha = 0.05$.
- Find the power of this test. “Hint”: Technology is wonderful.
- Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i = 8.8$. Find the p-value of the test.

1. 4.5.5 (7th edition) 5.5.5 (6th edition)

Let X_1, X_2 be a random sample of size $n = 2$ from the distribution having p.d.f.

$f_X(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}$, $0 < x < \infty$, zero elsewhere. We reject $H_0: \theta = 2$ in favor of

$H_1: \theta = 1$ if the observed values of X_1, X_2 , say x_1, x_2 , are such that

$$\frac{f(x_1; 2) \cdot f(x_2; 2)}{f(x_1; 1) \cdot f(x_2; 1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Here $\Omega = \{\theta: \theta = 1, 2\}$. Find the significance level of the test and the power of the test when H_0 is false.

That is, we know that the most powerful rejection region for testing

$H_0: \theta = 2$ vs $H_1: \theta = 1$ is

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{L(2)}{L(1)} = \frac{f(x_1; 2) \cdot f(x_2; 2)}{f(x_1; 1) \cdot f(x_2; 1)} \leq k. \quad \text{Let } k = \frac{1}{2}.$$

(That is, reject H_0 if it is more than twice as likely to observe a data set like ours under the assumption that H_1 is true than under the assumption that H_0 is true.)

Find (i) the significance level of the test and (ii) the power of the test.

$$\frac{f(x_1; 2) \cdot f(x_2; 2)}{f(x_1; 1) \cdot f(x_2; 1)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\frac{1}{2} e^{-x_1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} e^{-x_2/2}}{e^{-x_1} \cdot e^{-x_2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad x_1 + x_2 \leq 2 \ln 2 \approx 1.3863.$$

$$\alpha = P(X_1 + X_2 \leq 2 \ln 2 \mid \theta = 2)$$

$$\text{Power} = P(X_1 + X_2 \leq 2 \ln 2 \mid \theta = 1)$$

$$\text{Consider } P(X_1 + X_2 \leq z \mid \theta), \quad z > 0.$$

$$P(X_1 + X_2 \leq z) = P(X_2 \leq z - X_1)$$

$$= \int_0^z \left(\int_0^{z-x} \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta} \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-y/\theta} dy \right) dx$$

$$= \int_0^z \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta} \left(1 - e^{-z/\theta + x/\theta} \right) dx$$

$$= 1 - e^{-z/\theta} - \frac{z}{\theta} e^{-z/\theta}, \quad z > 0.$$



Significance level: $\theta = 2$ $z = 2 \ln 2$

$$\alpha = 1 - e^{-2 \ln 2 / 2} - \frac{2 \ln 2}{2} e^{-2 \ln 2 / 2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 \approx \mathbf{0.1534}.$$

Power: $\theta = 1$ $z = 2 \ln 2$

$$\text{Power} = 1 - e^{-2 \ln 2 / 1} - \frac{2 \ln 2}{1} e^{-2 \ln 2 / 1} = 1 - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 = \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 \approx \mathbf{0.4034}.$$

OR

$X_1 + X_2$ has a Gamma distribution with $\alpha = 2$ and θ .

$$P(X_1 + X_2 \leq z \mid \theta) = P(\text{Poisson}(\frac{z}{\theta}) \geq 2) = 1 - e^{-z/\theta} - \frac{z}{\theta} e^{-z/\theta}.$$

OR

$\frac{2}{\theta} \times (X_1 + X_2)$ has a $\chi^2(2\alpha = 4 \text{ d.f.})$ distribution.

$$\alpha = P(X_1 + X_2 \leq 2 \ln 2 \mid \theta = 2) = P(\chi^2(4) \leq 2 \ln 2).$$

$$\text{Power} = P(X_1 + X_2 \leq 2 \ln 2 \mid \theta = 1) = P(\chi^2(4) \leq 4 \ln 2).$$

2. 2. (continued)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10} be a random sample of size $n = 10$ from the distribution having p.d.f. $f_X(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}$, $0 < x < \infty$, zero elsewhere. We reject $H_0: \theta = 2$ in favor of $H_1: \theta = 1$ if the observed values of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10} , say x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10} , are such that

$$\frac{f(x_1; 2) \cdot f(x_2; 2) \cdot \dots \cdot f(x_{10}; 2)}{f(x_1; 1) \cdot f(x_2; 1) \cdot \dots \cdot f(x_{10}; 1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Find the significance level of the test and the power of the test.

“Hint”: Technology is wonderful.

$$\frac{f(x_1; 2) \cdot f(x_2; 2) \cdot \dots \cdot f(x_{10}; 2)}{f(x_1; 1) \cdot f(x_2; 1) \cdot \dots \cdot f(x_{10}; 1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\frac{1}{2} e^{-x_1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} e^{-x_2/2} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{1}{2} e^{-x_{10}/2}}{e^{-x_1} \cdot e^{-x_2} \cdot \dots \cdot e^{-x_{10}}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{x_1/2} \cdot e^{x_2/2} \cdot \dots \cdot e^{x_{10}/2} \leq 2^9$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{10} \leq 18 \ln 2 \approx 12.47665.$$

$X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{10}$ has a Gamma distribution with $\alpha = 10$ and θ .

$$P(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{10} \leq z | \theta) = P(\text{Poisson}(\frac{z}{\theta}) \geq 10) = 1 - P(\text{Poisson}(\frac{z}{\theta}) \leq 9).$$

```
> 1-ppois(9,9*log(2))
```

```
[1] 0.1013059
```

```
>
```

```
> 1-ppois(9,18*log(2))
```

```
[1] 0.7967764
```

OR

$\frac{2}{\theta} \times (X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{10})$ has a $\chi^2(2\alpha = 20 \text{ d.f.})$ distribution.

$$\alpha = P(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{10} \leq 18 \ln 2 \mid \theta = 2) = P(\chi^2(20) \leq 18 \ln 2).$$

$$\text{Power} = P(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{10} \leq 18 \ln 2 \mid \theta = 1) = P(\chi^2(20) \leq 36 \ln 2).$$

```
> pchisq(18*log(2),20)
```

```
[1] 0.1013059
```

```
>
```

```
> pchisq(36*log(2),20)
```

```
[1] 0.7967764
```

3. 2. (continued)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10} be a random sample of size $n = 10$ from the distribution having p.d.f. $f_X(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}$, $0 < x < \infty$, zero elsewhere. We wish to test $H_0: \theta = 2$ vs $H_1: \theta = 1$ using a 5% level of significance.

- a) Find the most powerful rejection region with $\alpha = 0.05$.

The most powerful rejection region: $\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq c.$

Intuition: θ is “ θ ”.

Small $\theta \Rightarrow$ small X .

The sign is the same as the sign in H_1 .

$X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{10}$ has a Gamma distribution with $\alpha = 10$ and θ .

$$0.05 = \alpha = P(\text{Reject } H_0 \mid H_0 \text{ is true}) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i \leq c \mid \theta = 2\right)$$

$$= P\left(\frac{2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i \leq \frac{2}{2} c \mid \theta = 2\right) = P(\chi^2(20) \leq c).$$

$$\Rightarrow c = \chi_{0.95}^2(20) = \mathbf{10.85}. \quad \text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i \leq 10.85.$$

- b) Find the power of this test.

“Hint”: Technology is wonderful.

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{10} \leq 10.85 \mid \theta = 1) &= P(\text{Poisson}(10.85) \geq 10) \\ &= 1 - P(\text{Poisson}(10.85) \leq 9). \end{aligned}$$

> 1-ppois(9,10.85)

[1] 0.6429912

OR

$\frac{2}{\theta} \times (X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{10})$ has a $\chi^2(2\alpha = 20 \text{ d.f.})$ distribution.

$$\text{Power} = P(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{10} \leq 10.85 \mid \theta = 1) = P(\chi^2(20) \leq 21.70).$$

```
> pchisq(21.70, 20)
[1] 0.6429912
```

c) Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i = 8.8$. Find the p-value of the test.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P-value} &= P(\text{value of } \sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i \text{ as extreme or more extreme than } 8.8 \mid H_0 \text{ true}) \\ &= P(\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i \leq 8.8 \mid \theta = 2) = P(Y \geq \alpha = 10) = 1 - P(Y \leq 9). \end{aligned}$$

where Y has a Poisson($\frac{8.8}{2} = 4.4$) distribution

$$= 1 - 0.985 = \mathbf{0.015}.$$

OR

$$\text{P-value} = P(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{10} \leq 8.8 \mid \theta = 2) = P(\chi^2(20) \leq 8.8).$$

```
> pchisq(8.8, 20)
[1] 0.01488994
```