



Gaussian distributions and graphical models

Niels Richard Hansen Department of Mathematical Sciences



The missing definition

The book is missing a definition:

Definition (Belongs to Section 2.1.7)

If X and Y are two continuous random variables we define their **covariance** as

$$Cov(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y).$$

Their correlation is

$$\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y) = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{V(X)V(Y)}}.$$

Proposition 2.5 implies that if $X \perp Y$ then Cov(X, Y) = 0.

The following rules for computing with covariances apply:

$$Cov(X, Y) = Cov(Y, X)$$

$$Cov(cX + bZ + a, Y) = cCov(X, Y) + bCov(Z, Y)$$

$$V(X + Y) = V(X) + V(Y) + 2Cov(X, Y)$$



The multivariate Gaussian distribution

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector and let Σ be an $n \times n$ matrix. We call Σ positive definite if it is symmetric, $\Sigma^T = \Sigma$, and

$$x^T \Sigma x > 0$$

for all $x \neq 0$.

Definition

The multivariate Gaussian distribution parametrized by μ and a positive definite Σ is the distribution with density

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \det(\mathbf{\Sigma})^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)}$$



The multivariate Gaussian distribution

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector and let Σ be an $n \times n$ matrix. We call Σ positive definite if it is symmetric, $\Sigma^T = \Sigma$, and

$$x^T \Sigma x > 0$$

for all $x \neq 0$.

Definition

The multivariate Gaussian distribution parametrized by μ and a positive definite Σ is the distribution with density

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \det(\mathbf{\Sigma})^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)}$$

That Σ is positive definite is equivalent to (cf. Cholesky decomposition)

$$J = \Sigma^{-1} = C^T C$$

for a full rank $n \times n$ matrix C, and from the last slide on Monday 1/3 this shows that p is a probability density on \mathbb{R}^n .



Gaussian distributions for n=2

For n = 2 with

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{array}\right) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{array}\right); \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sigma_1^2 & \gamma \\ \gamma & \sigma_2^2 \end{array}\right)\right)$$

We can write out the density as

$$p(x_1,x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2 - \gamma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_1 - \mu_1)^2\sigma_2^2 + (x_2 - \mu_2)^2\sigma_1^2 - 2(x_1 - \mu_1)(x_2 - \mu_2)\gamma}{2(\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2 - \gamma^2)}\right)$$



Gaussian distributions for n=2

The case $\gamma = 0$:

$$p(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2 \sigma_2^2 + x_2^2 \sigma_1^2}{2\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2}\right)$$

Mean, variance and covariance in the bivariate Gaussian distribution:



If (X, Y) is multivariate Gaussian we write their parameters in block form:

$$\mu = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu_{\mathbf{X}} \\ \mu_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{array} \right) \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X}} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}} \end{array} \right).$$

Lemma (7.1, p. 250)

The marginal distributions of \boldsymbol{X} and \boldsymbol{Y} are

$$\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\mathbf{X}}, \Sigma_{\mathbf{XX}}) \quad \mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\mathbf{Y}}, \Sigma_{\mathbf{YY}}).$$

Proof:



A special case of the above result is to consider the distribution of just two variables, X_i and X_j , from **X** with $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu; \Sigma)$:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} X_i \\ X_j \end{array}\right) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \mu_i \\ \mu_j \end{array}\right); \left(\begin{array}{cc} \Sigma_{i,i} & \Sigma_{i,j} \\ \Sigma_{j,i} & \Sigma_{j,j} \end{array}\right)\right)$$



A special case of the above result is to consider the distribution of just two variables, X_i and X_j , from **X** with $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu; \Sigma)$:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} X_i \\ X_j \end{array}\right) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \mu_i \\ \mu_j \end{array}\right); \left(\begin{array}{cc} \Sigma_{i,i} & \Sigma_{i,j} \\ \Sigma_{j,i} & \Sigma_{j,j} \end{array}\right)\right)$$

Thus

$$E(X_i) = \mu_i$$
 $E(X_j) = \mu_j$
 $V(X_i) = \Sigma_{i,i}$
 $V(X_j) = \Sigma_{j,j}$
 $Cov(X_i, X_j) = \Sigma_{i,j} = \Sigma_{i,i}$



A special case of the above result is to consider the distribution of just two variables, X_i and X_j , from **X** with $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu; \Sigma)$:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} X_i \\ X_j \end{array}\right) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \mu_i \\ \mu_j \end{array}\right); \left(\begin{array}{cc} \Sigma_{i,i} & \Sigma_{i,j} \\ \Sigma_{j,i} & \Sigma_{j,j} \end{array}\right)\right)$$

Thus

$$E(X_i) = \mu_i$$
 $E(X_j) = \mu_j$
 $V(X_i) = \Sigma_{i,i}$
 $V(X_j) = \Sigma_{j,j}$
 $Cov(X_i, X_j) = \Sigma_{i,j} = \Sigma_{i,i}$

and $X_i \perp X_i$ if and only if $\Sigma_{i,i} = 0$ (Theorem 7.1).



A completely different place to start is by factors of the form

$$\varphi_{ij}(x_i,x_j)=e^{-J_{i,j}x_ix_j}$$

for $i \neq j$ and

$$\varphi_{ii}(x_i,x_i)=e^{-\frac{1}{2}J_{i,i}x_i^2+h_ix_i}.$$



A completely different place to start is by factors of the form

$$\varphi_{ij}(x_i,x_j)=e^{-J_{i,j}x_ix_j}$$

for $i \neq j$ and

$$\varphi_{ii}(x_i,x_i)=e^{-\frac{1}{2}J_{i,i}x_i^2+h_ix_i}.$$

Then

$$p(\mathbf{x}) \propto \prod_{\{i,j\}: i \neq j} e^{-J_{i,j}x_ix_j} \prod_i e^{-\frac{1}{2}J_{i,i}x_i^2 + h_ix_i}$$

factorizes over the Markov network structure with i—j if and only if $J_{i,i} \neq 0$ for $i \neq j$.



A completely different place to start is by factors of the form

$$\varphi_{ii}(x_i,x_i)=e^{-J_{i,j}x_ix_j}$$

for $i \neq j$ and

$$\varphi_{ii}(x_i,x_i)=e^{-\frac{1}{2}J_{i,i}x_i^2+h_ix_i}.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \rho(\mathbf{x}) &\propto \prod_{\{i,j\}: i \neq j} e^{-J_{i,j}x_ix_j} \prod_i e^{-\frac{1}{2}J_{i,i}x_i^2 + h_ix_i} \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i,j: i \neq j} J_{i,j}x_ix_j + \sum_i J_{i,i}x_i^2\right) + \sum_i h_ix_i\right) \end{split}$$

factorizes over the Markov network structure with i—j if and only if $J_{i,i} \neq 0$ for $i \neq j$.



A completely different place to start is by factors of the form

$$\varphi_{ii}(x_i,x_i)=e^{-J_{i,j}x_ix_j}$$

for $i \neq j$ and

$$\varphi_{ii}(x_i,x_i)=e^{-\frac{1}{2}J_{i,i}x_i^2+h_ix_i}.$$

Then

$$p(\mathbf{x}) \propto \prod_{\{i,j\}: i \neq j} e^{-J_{i,j}x_ix_j} \prod_i e^{-\frac{1}{2}J_{i,i}x_i^2 + h_ix_i}$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i,j: i \neq j} J_{i,j}x_ix_j + \sum_i J_{i,i}x_i^2\right) + \sum_i h_ix_i\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T J\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}^T\mathbf{x}\right)$$

factorizes over the Markov network structure with i—j if and only if $J_{i,i} \neq 0$ for $i \neq j$.



For the factorization to define a probability density, the partition function has to be finite;

$$Z(J, \mathbf{h}) = \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T J \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}^T \mathbf{x}\right) d\mathbf{x} < \infty.$$

- The markov random field defined by J and \mathbf{h} has pairwise potentials
- The pairwise energies (log-potentials) are the quadratic functions

$$\epsilon_{i,j}(x_i,x_j) = -J_{i,j}x_ix_j$$

and the node log-potentials are the quadratic functions

$$\epsilon_i(x_i) = -\frac{1}{2}J_{i,i}x_i^2 + h_ix_i$$

 All quadratic log-potentials are of this form for a symmetric J and a vector h



Connecting the dots ...

Starting with a Gaussian distribution,

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mu) - \frac{1}{2} \log ((2\pi)^n \det(\Sigma))$$
=



Connecting the dots ...

Starting with a Gaussian distribution,

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mu) - \frac{1}{2} \log ((2\pi)^n \det(\Sigma))$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T J \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}^T \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} \mu^T J \mu + \frac{1}{2} \log ((2\pi)^{-n} \det(J))$$

where $J = \Sigma^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{h} = J\mu = \Sigma^{-1}\mu$.

Proposition (not explicit in book)

If J^{-1} is **positive definite** the pairwise factorization gives a well defined probability distribution, which is a Gaussian distribution with parameters $\mu = J^{-1}\mathbf{h}$ and $\Sigma = J^{-1}$. Moreover,

$$Z(J,\mathbf{h}) = \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2}}{\det(J)^{1/2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}^T J^{-1}\mathbf{h}}.$$



Positive definite *J*

It holds that $\Sigma = J^{-1}$ is positive definite if and only if J is positive definite, but otherwise there is no simple way to determine if the local pairwise interactions $J_{i,j}$ give a valid Gaussian Markov random field.

Proposition (7.2, p. 256)

If J is diagonally dominant, that is

$$\sum_{j \neq i} |J_{i,j}| < J_{i,i}$$

for all i = 1, ..., n, then J gives a valid Gaussian Markov random field.



Conditional independence

It follows from Theorem 4.2 that:

Theorem (7.2, p. 251)

Let **X** follow a multivariate Gaussian distribution with $J = \Sigma^{-1}$. Then $X_i \perp X_j \mid \mathbf{X} \setminus \{X_i, X_j\}$ if and only if $J_{ij} = 0$.



Conditioning

If (**X**, **Y**) is multivariate Gaussian we write their **information parameters** in block form:

$$\mathbf{h} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{X}} \\ \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{pmatrix} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} J_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}} & J_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}} \\ J_{\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X}} & J_{\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition (not explicit in book)

The **conditional** distribution of \mathbf{Y} given $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$ is Gaussian with information parameters

$$\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{Y}} - J_{\mathbf{YX}}\mathbf{x}$$
 and $J = J_{\mathbf{YY}}$.

Proof:



Block matrix inversion

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \Sigma_{\textbf{XX}} & \Sigma_{\textbf{XY}} \\ \Sigma_{\textbf{YX}} & \Sigma_{\textbf{YY}} \end{array} \right)^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} (\Sigma_{\textbf{XX}})^{-1} + (\Sigma_{\textbf{XX}})^{-1}\Sigma_{\textbf{XY}} J_{\textbf{YY}} \Sigma_{\textbf{YX}} (\Sigma_{\textbf{XX}})^{-1} & -(\Sigma_{\textbf{XX}})^{-1}\Sigma_{\textbf{XY}} J_{\textbf{YY}} \\ -J_{\textbf{YY}}\Sigma_{\textbf{YX}} (\Sigma_{\textbf{XX}})^{-1} & J_{\textbf{YY}} \end{array} \right)$$

where

$$J_{YY} = (\Sigma_{YY} - \Sigma_{YX}(\Sigma_{XX})^{-1}\Sigma_{XY})^{-1}.$$

The matrix $(J_{YY})^{-1}$ is known as the **Schur complement** of Σ_{XX} .



Conditioning

If (X, Y) is multivariate Gaussian we write their parameters in block form:

$$\mu = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu_{\mathbf{X}} \\ \mu_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{array} \right) \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X}} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}} \end{array} \right).$$

Theorem (7.4, p. 253, generalized)

The conditional distribution of \mathbf{Y} given $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$ is a Gaussian distribution with mean

$$\mu_{\mathbf{x}} = \mu_{\mathbf{Y}} + \Sigma_{\mathbf{YX}}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{XX}})^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mu_{\mathbf{X}})$$

and covariance matrix

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{YY}}} - \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{YX}}} (\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{XX}}})^{-1} \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{XY}}}$$

Proof:



Summary

For the multivariate Gaussian distribution we have the following properties:

- All marginal distributions are Gaussian
- All conditional distributions are Gaussian



Summary

For the multivariate Gaussian distribution we have the following properties:

- All marginal distributions are Gaussian
- All conditional distributions are Gaussian

But different parametrizations serve the two operations differently:

- In the (μ, Σ) -parametrization it is straightforward to compute parameters for marginal distributions
- In the (h, J)-parametrization it is straightforward to compute parameters for conditional distributions



Summary

For the multivariate Gaussian distribution we have the following properties:

- All marginal distributions are Gaussian
- All conditional distributions are Gaussian

But different parametrizations serve the two operations differently:

- In the (μ, Σ) -parametrization it is straightforward to compute parameters for marginal distributions
- In the (h, J)-parametrization it is straightforward to compute parameters for conditional distributions

Going between the parametrizations,

$$\mathbf{h} = \Sigma^{-1}\mu$$
, $J = \Sigma^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \mu = J^{-1}\mathbf{h}$, $\Sigma = J^{-1}$,

requires matrix inversion, with standard algorithms of $O(n^3)$ run time.

