

**BFS DFS and UCS****(Project1)**

Nguyen Quoc Huy

23020082

CS 188

## **Method**

### **Research Design**

Objective: Understand the functionality of three Uninformed Searches including Depth-First Search, Breadth-First Search, and Uniform Cost Search.

Approach: Analyzing completeness, optimality, time complexity, and space complexity.

## **Results**

### **Depth-First Search (DFS)**

Completeness: If there are cycles in the state space graph, the corresponding search tree will be infinite in depth. In such cases, DFS will search for the deepest node in an infinite-sized tree, making it unable to find a solution.

Optimality: DFS finds a solution without considering path costs, so it is not optimal.

Time complexity: In the worst case, DFS may explore all entire nodes in the search tree. Hence, given a tree with maximum depth  $m$ , the runtime of DFS is  $O(b^m)$ .

Space complexity: In the worst-case scenario, DFS maintains  $b$  nodes at each level of depth. Once  $b$  children exist on the frontier, it implies that some parents are enqueued. Therefore, the space complexity of DFS is  $O(bm)$ .

### **Breadth-First Search (BFS)**

Completeness: The completeness of BFS is guaranteed by the finite depth of the shallowest node, ensuring the existence of a solution.

Optimality: BFS does not consider costs when determining so it is not optimal.

Time complexity: In the worst case, we go through all nodes at every depth from 1 to  $s$ . Hence, the time complexity is  $O(b^s)$ .

Space complexity: In the worst-case scenario, DFS maintains all the nodes in the level corresponding to the shallowest solution. Hence, there are  $O(b^s)$  nodes at this depth.

### **Uniform Cost Search (UCS)**

Completeness: It must have some finite length shortest path to guarantee the solution exists.

Hence, UCS is complete.

Optimality: When all edge costs are nonnegative, our approach involves expanding our frontier by removing a node with the lowest cost. Consequently, it ensures that the path to a goal state is guaranteed to have the lowest cost.

Time complexity: Assuming the optimal path cost is  $C$  and the minimal cost between two nodes in the state space graph is  $e$ , we roughly explore all nodes at depths ranging from 1 to  $C / e$ . Hence, the time complexity is  $O(b^{C/e})$ .

Space complexity: Our frontier contains all nodes at the level of the cheapest solution, so the space complexity of UCS is  $O(b^{C/e})$ .

## Project1

I implemented three search algorithms in file search.py. These pass all tests successfully.

### Discussion

About when we should mark a node as visited in code:

- With DFS: it is required to make the path go deep. Hence, we do not instantly mark a node as visited when pushing it to the stack. We mark a node as visited when updating the frontier from it.
- With BDS: it always selects the shallowest frontier node from the start node for expansion. We need to guarantee the path to all children of a parent node represents the same level of depth. Hence, we mark a node as visited instantly when enqueue it.
- With UCS: the strategy for exploration is selecting the lowest cost frontier node from the start code for expansion. We need to update some paths to a node until that node is removed from the frontier. Hence, we mark a node as visited when updating the frontier from it.

## References

CS188. *Uninformed Search*. Fall 2018.