IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(Original Jurisdiction)

PRESENT:

Mr. Justice Yahya Afridi, CJ

Mr. Justice Muhammad Shafi Siddiqui

Mr. Justice Miangul Hassan Aurangzeb

Crl. Original Petition No.233 of 2017 in Civil Appeal No.633 of 2017, Crl. Original Petition No.242 of 2017 in Civil Appeal No.353 of 2015, Crl. Original Petition No.243 of 2017 in Civil Appeal No.632 of 2017, Crl. Original Petition No.12 of 2024 in Civil Review Petition No.368 of 2017 in Civil Appeal No.632 of 2017, Crl. Original Petition Nos.29 & 30 of 2024 and Crl. Original Petition No.7 of 2025 in Civil Appeal No.632 of 2017

- 1. <u>Crl.O.P.233/2017:</u>
 Iftikhar Ahmed Chaudhry v. Saeed Ahmed Khan etc.
- 2. <u>Crl.O.P.242/2017:</u> Ghazanfar H.K Tareen etc. v. Saeed Ahmed Khan etc.
- 3. <u>Crl.O.P.243/2017:</u>
 Iftikhar Rasool Anjum etc. v. Saeed Ahmed Khan etc.
- 4. <u>Crl.O.P.12/20124:</u> Ghulam Ahmed Chaudhry v. Rehmat Ali Hasnie etc.
- 5. <u>Crl.O.P.29/2014:</u> Abdul Latif Qureshi v. Rehmat Ali Hasnie
- 6. <u>Crl.O.P.30/2014:</u>
 Syed Tariq Badar v. Rehmat Ali Hasnie, President National Bank of Pakistan, Karachi
- 7. <u>Crl.O.P.7/2025:</u>
 Sharif Gul Bangash v. Rehmat Ali Hasnie, President of National Bank of Pakistan, Karachi

For the Petitioner(s):

Nemo

(in Crl.O.Ps.233/2017, 12, 29 & 30/2024 &

7/2025)

Mr. Abdul Rahim Bhatti, ASC (in Crl.O.Ps.242 & 243/2017)

Rana Muhammad Khalil, in person

(via video link, Lahore)

For Respondent/NBP:

Mr. Rashid Anwer, ASC

Mr. Muhammad Jaffar Sial, Head HR

Legal Compliances

Muhammad Riaz, Wing Head HR Legal

Date of Hearing:

02.05.2025

ORDER

There are written applications for adjournment filed on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioners. The learned counsel for the respondent-bank, who is present before us, has made a categorical statement that, according to their records, total payment has been made to all the appellants in accordance with the decision of this Court passed in Civil Appeal No. 632 of 2017 etc. He further informs the Court that these criminal original petitions have been moved by individuals seeking the same relief that was granted to other retired employees of the bank. When confronted as to why similar payments have not been made to those individuals who were not parties to the said proceedings, the learned counsel submitted that the issue of payment to those employees is currently pending before this Court in Civil Appeal No. 1688 of 2021, which arises from a judgment passed by the learned Lahore High Court. Propriety would demand that the said appeal be fixed along with the present petitions.

- 2. Rana Muhammad Khalil, who is present in person before us *via* video link from Lahore, refutes the assertion made by the learned counsel for the respondent-bank regarding the payments made in accordance with the decision of this Court.
- 3. At this stage, the learned counsel for the respondent-bank seeks time to obtain fresh instructions regarding the claim made and to apprise the Court accordingly on the next date of hearing.
- 4. Relist on 17.06.2025.

Judge

Judge

Islamabad, the
02 May 2025
Not approved for reporting
Waqas Naseer