The Missing Link: Augmenting Biology Laboratory Notebooks. Mackay, et al. UIST. 2002.

What are the core research questions addressed by the work?

 Provide a link between paper, physical artifacts, and on-line data to support biology lab notebook use

What motivates the work?

 Research biologists face a complex information processing task, managing physical paper documents, physical research specimens, on-line documents, and on-line services
 forced to constantly juggle paper and electronic forms of the same information

How does the work understand the usage, capabilities, and limitations of paper?

- Capabilities of paper: easier to read, meets subtle needs for people working collaboratively, lightweight, ubiquitous, inexpensive, easy to use
- In the context of biology research
 - Notebooks are official documents and the product of careful reflection
 - Intended as both a personal record and a public document
 - Research findings always dated, critical for future claim
 - o Biology notebooks are extremely multimedia documents
 - Notebooks preferred for its simplicity and flexibility
 - Liked ability to freely highlight, annotate, and sketch
 - Some tasks cumbersome: creating tables, searching
 - Paper found to be very efficient: quantity of hand-writing is small, formatting easy, sketches and annotations added at will
- Digital systems enhance communication and data analysis

What is the target application domain of the work?

Biology research

What are some proposed extensions to paper proposed by the work?

- Lists three technical problems their work addresses: capturing user information, display information in response to commands, managing the link between physical and on-line data
- Digitize cumbersome tasks like creating table of contents/index, searching through minutely different information, accessing information in colleague's notebooks
- Support indexing and accessing data (esp. which is not retained from physical book),
 storing media without decay, persistent digital storage

How are the proposed extensions implemented?

- Iteratively developed three prototypes: a tablet prototype, a cross-pad prototype, and an "a-book" prototype
- The A-book Prototype: Records user writing on paper, enables interaction through a sort of magic lens metaphor (e.g. for creating digital links)
 - Three peripherals: inking pen (for writing on paper), non-inking pen (for interacting with the Interaction Lens, and a 4D mouse for tracking the position of the interaction lens

What findings have been obtained from either the implementation process or an evaluation of the proposed system?

For the prototype to be actually deployed in a biology research setting, it must be entirely fail-proof