Two Worlds Apart: Bridging the Gap between Physical and Virtual Media for Distributed Design Collaboration. Everitt, et al. CHI. 2003.

What are the core research questions addressed by the work?

 Address the tension between designers' comfort with digital artifacts and the need for effective remote collaboration

What motivates the work?

 Designers currently forced to choose between physical tools they prefer and the electronic mechanisms available

How does the work understand the usage, capabilities, and limitations of paper?

- Specific to the design process
 - Pen and paper, walls, and tables are central artifacts to developing and communicating ideas the early phases
 - Currently remote collaboration in design is conducted as follows:
 - Whiteboard, video, email
 - Two whiteboards and video conference
 - Collocated meetings
 - Visio (GUI diagramming tool) and e-mail
- Capabilities of paper: tangible, portable, readily manipulable, editable
- Limitations of paper: unable to support remote collaborations effectively

What is the target application domain of the work?

Collaborative design

What are some proposed extensions to paper proposed by the work?

Paper as an input device to the electronic world for collaboration between remote users
What design constraints or objectives guided the work's implementation of the proposed extensions?

- It should provide a unified workspace with support for spatial gestures between remote colleagues
- It should provide presence and awareness mechanisms to help remote participants establish common ground
- In supporting the two requirements above, also it should maximally retain the traditional means of interaction and a calm interface

How are the proposed extensions implemented?

- SMART board for interaction background
- Vision and tracking with cameras
 - Front-facing camera to capture physical note content
- Rear-projection camera displaying notes placed by remote hosts
- Data transfer with peer-to-peer protocol
- Using the SATIN toolkit for software infrastructure
- Transient ink displays annotations in all spaces
- 'Shadow capture' conveys approximate location & gesturing of remote collaborators

What findings have been obtained from either the implementation process or an evaluation of the proposed system?

- The proposed system has the following limitations:
 - o Conflicts occur, though infrequent and easily corrected
 - o The system is somewhat jumpy and distracting
 - The system needs better visual feedback for interactions
 - o Participants from the evaluation suggested integrating audio