## 2.4 $(f,\Gamma)$ -Divergences on Polish Spaces

When working on a Polish space, S, and under further assumptions on f and  $\Gamma$ , we are able to show that  $D_f^{\Gamma}$  interpolates between the classical f-divergence,  $D_f$ , and the  $\Gamma$ -IPM,  $W^{\Gamma}$ . At various points, we will require f and  $\Gamma$  to have the following properties:

**Definition 14** We will call  $f \in \mathcal{F}_1(a,b)$  admissible if  $\lim_{y\to-\infty} f^*(y) < \infty$  (note that this limit always exists by convexity) and  $\{f^* < \infty\} = \mathbb{R}$ . If f is also strictly convex at 1 then we will call f strictly admissible. We will call  $\Gamma \subset C_b(S)$  admissible if  $0 \in \Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma$  is convex, and  $\Gamma$  is closed in the weak topology generated by the maps  $\tau_{\mu}$ ,  $\mu \in M(S)$  (see Section 2.1).  $\Gamma$  will be called strictly admissible if it also satisfies the following property: There exists a  $\mathcal{P}(S)$ -determining set  $\Psi \subset C_b(S)$  such that for all  $\psi \in \Psi$  there exists  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $c \pm \epsilon \psi \in \Gamma$ .

Our main result, Theorem 15, will require admissibility of both f and  $\Gamma$ . The functions  $f_{KL}$  and  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ , defined in Eq. (8), are strictly admissible but  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  is not admissible (however, Theorem 8 above does apply to  $f_{\alpha}$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ). The admissibility requirements that  $\Gamma$  be convex and closed will let us express  $D_f^{\Gamma}$  as the infinite-dimensional convex conjugate of a convex and LSC functional. This will allow us to analyze  $D_f^{\Gamma}$  using tools from convex analysis. Strict admissibility will be key in proving the divergence property for both  $W^{\Gamma}$  and  $D_f^{\Gamma}$ .

## Examples of strictly admissible $\Gamma$ :

- 1.  $\Gamma = C_b(S)$ , which leads to the classical f-divergences.
- 2.  $\Gamma = \text{Lip}_b^1(S)$ , i.e., all bounded 1-Lipschitz functions, which leads to generalizations of the Wasserstein metric.
- 3.  $\Gamma = \{g \in C_b(S) : |g| \leq 1\}$ , which leads to generalizations of the total variation metric.
- 4.  $\Gamma = \{g \in \text{Lip}_h^1(S) : |g| \leq 1\}$ , which leads to generalizations of the Dudley metric.
- 5.  $\Gamma = \{g \in X : ||g||_X \leq 1\}$ , the unit ball in a RKHS  $X \subset C_b(S)$  (under appropriate assumptions given in Lemma 77). This yields a generalization of MMD and is also related to the recent KL-MMD interpolation method in Glaser et al. (2021); the latter employs a soft constraint rather than working on the RKHS unit ball and is based on the representation (10) instead of (11).

Note that the first two examples are shift invariant (hence Equation 18 is applicable) while the latter three are not.

We are now ready to present the second key theorem in this paper, where we derive the infimal convolution representation of  $D_f^{\Gamma}$  and provide alternative (to Theorem 8) conditions that ensure  $D_f^{\Gamma}$  possesses the divergence property. The proof can be found in Appendix C, Theorem 74.

**Theorem 15** Suppose f and  $\Gamma$  are admissible. For  $Q, P \in \mathcal{P}(S)$  let  $D_f^{\Gamma}(Q||P)$  be defined by (15) and let  $W^{\Gamma}(Q, P)$  be defined as in (16). These have the following properties: