CS 228 : Logic in Computer Science

Krishna. S

Welcome

What is this course about? A mini-zoo of logics.

Welcome

What is this course about? A mini-zoo of logics. Here are some typical questions you will learn to answer:

Welcome

What is this course about? A mini-zoo of logics. Here are some typical questions you will learn to answer:

- Q1: Given a formula φ in a logic L, is φ satisfiable?

Welcome

What is this course about? A mini-zoo of logics. Here are some typical questions you will learn to answer:

- Q1: Given a formula φ in a logic L, is φ satisfiable?
- Q2: Given a formula φ in a logic L, is φ valid?

Welcome

What is this course about? A mini-zoo of logics. Here are some typical questions you will learn to answer:

- Q1: Given a formula φ in a logic L, is φ satisfiable?
- Q2: Given a formula φ in a logic L, is φ valid?
- Q3: How easy is to answer Q1 and Q2?

Welcome

What is this course about? A mini-zoo of logics. Here are some typical questions you will learn to answer:

- Q1: Given a formula φ in a logic L, is φ satisfiable?
- Q2: Given a formula φ in a logic L, is φ valid?
- Q3: How easy is to answer Q1 and Q2?
- Q4: Can you write an algorithm to answer Q1 and Q2?

Welcome

What is this course about? A mini-zoo of logics. Here are some typical questions you will learn to answer:

- Q1: Given a formula φ in a logic L, is φ satisfiable?
- Q2: Given a formula φ in a logic L, is φ valid?
- Q3: How easy is to answer Q1 and Q2?
- Q4: Can you write an algorithm to answer Q1 and Q2?
- Q5: Can you “prove” any factually correct statement using the chosen logic L?

Welcome

What is this course about? A mini-zoo of logics. Here are some typical questions you will learn to answer:

- Q1: Given a formula φ in a logic L, is φ satisfiable?
- Q2: Given a formula φ in a logic L, is φ valid?
- Q3: How easy is to answer Q1 and Q2?
- Q4: Can you write an algorithm to answer Q1 and Q2?
- Q5: Can you "prove" any factually correct statement using the chosen logic L?
- Q6: How is logic L used in computer science?

Welcome

What is this course about? A mini-zoo of logics. Here are some typical questions you will learn to answer:

- Q1: Given a formula φ in a logic L, is φ satisfiable?
- Q2: Given a formula φ in a logic L, is φ valid?
- Q3: How easy is to answer Q1 and Q2?
- Q4: Can you write an algorithm to answer Q1 and Q2?
- Q5: Can you "prove" any factually correct statement using the chosen logic L?
- Q6: How is logic L used in computer science?
- Q7: What are the techniques needed to go about these questions?

Members of the mini-zoo

We will restrict ourselves to the following members:

Members of the mini-zoo

We will restrict ourselves to the following members:

- Propositional Logic

Members of the mini-zoo

We will restrict ourselves to the following members:

- Propositional Logic
- First Order Logic

Members of the mini-zoo

We will restrict ourselves to the following members:

- Propositional Logic
- First Order Logic
- Monadic Second Order Logic

Members of the mini-zoo

We will restrict ourselves to the following members:

- Propositional Logic
- First Order Logic
- Monadic Second Order Logic
- Linear Temporal Logic

Members of the mini-zoo

We will restrict ourselves to the following members:

- Propositional Logic
- First Order Logic
- Monadic Second Order Logic
- Linear Temporal Logic
- Their applications in CS

More if time permits!

References

- To start with, the text book of Huth and Ryan : Logic for CS.

References

- To start with, the text book of Huth and Ryan : Logic for CS.
- As we go ahead, lecture notes/monographs/other text books.

References

- To start with, the text book of Huth and Ryan : Logic for CS.
- As we go ahead, lecture notes/monographs/other text books.
- Classes : Slot 5. Tutorial: To discuss.
- Confirmed TAs: Anish Yogesh Kulkarni, Ameya Vikrama Singh, Om Swostik Mishra, Agnipratim Das, Nilabha Saha, Ashwin Abraham

Propositional Logic

Syntax

- Finite set of propositional variables $p, q, \ldots$

Syntax

- Finite set of propositional variables $p, q, \ldots$
- Each of these can be true/false

Syntax

- Finite set of propositional variables $p, q, \ldots$
- Each of these can be true/false
- Combine propositions using $\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow$

Syntax

- Finite set of propositional variables $p, q, \ldots$
- Each of these can be true/false
- Combine propositions using $\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow$
- Parantheses as required

Syntax

- Finite set of propositional variables $p, q, \ldots$
- Each of these can be true/false
- Combine propositions using $\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow$
- Parantheses as required
- Example : $[p \wedge (q \vee r)] \rightarrow [\neg r \wedge p]$
- $\neg$ binds tighter than $\vee, \wedge$, which bind tighter than $\rightarrow$. In the absence of parantheses, $p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r$ is read as $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$

Natural Deduction

- If it rains, Tia is outside and does not have any raingear with her, she will get wet. $\varphi = (R \wedge \mathit{TiaOut} \wedge \neg RG) \rightarrow \mathit{TiaWet}$

Natural Deduction

- If it rains, Tia is outside and does not have any raingear with her, she will get wet. $\varphi = (R \wedge \mathit{TiaOut} \wedge \neg \mathit{RG}) \rightarrow \mathit{TiaWet}$
- It is raining, and Tia is outside, and is not wet. $\psi = (R \wedge \mathit{TiaOut} \wedge \neg \mathit{TiaWet})$

Natural Deduction

- If it rains, Tia is outside and does not have any raingear with her, she will get wet. $\varphi = (R \wedge \mathit{TiaOut} \wedge \neg RG) \rightarrow \mathit{TiaWet}$
- It is raining, and Tia is outside, and is not wet. $\psi = (R \wedge \mathit{TiaOut} \wedge \neg \mathit{TiaWet})$
- So, Tia has her rain gear with her. *RG*
- Thus, $\chi = \varphi \wedge \psi \rightarrow RG$. You can deduce *RG* from $\varphi \wedge \psi$.
- Is χ valid? Is χ satisfiable?

Two Examples of Natural Deduction

Solve Sudoku

Consider the following kid's version of Sudoku.

	2	4	
1			3
4			2
	1	3	

Rules:

- Each row must contain all numbers 1-4
- Each column must contain all numbers 1-4
- Each 2×2 block must contain all numbers 1-4
- No cell contains 2 or more numbers

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

- Proposition $P(i, j, n)$ is true when cell (i, j) has number n

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

- Proposition $P(i, j, n)$ is true when cell (i, j) has number n
- $4 \times 4 \times 4$ propositions

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

- Proposition $P(i, j, n)$ is true when cell (i, j) has number n
- $4 \times 4 \times 4$ propositions
- Each row must contain all 4 numbers
  - Row 1: $[P(1,1,1) \vee P(1,2,1) \vee P(1,3,1) \vee P(1,4,1)] \wedge$
    $[P(1,1,2) \vee P(1,2,2) \vee P(1,3,2) \vee P(1,4,2)] \wedge$
    $[P(1,1,3) \vee P(1,2,3) \vee P(1,3,3) \vee P(1,4,3)] \wedge$
    $[P(1,1,4) \vee P(1,2,4) \vee P(1,3,4) \vee P(1,4,4)]$

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

- Proposition $P(i, j, n)$ is true when cell (i, j) has number n
- $4 \times 4 \times 4$ propositions
- Each row must contain all 4 numbers
  - Row 1: $[P(1,1,1) \vee P(1,2,1) \vee P(1,3,1) \vee P(1,4,1)] \wedge$
    $[P(1,1,2) \vee P(1,2,2) \vee P(1,3,2) \vee P(1,4,2)] \wedge$
    $[P(1,1,3) \vee P(1,2,3) \vee P(1,3,3) \vee P(1,4,3)] \wedge$
    $[P(1,1,4) \vee P(1,2,4) \vee P(1,3,4) \vee P(1,4,4)]$
  - Row 2: $[P(2,1,1) \vee \ldots$
  - Row 3: $[P(3,1,1) \vee \ldots$
  - Row 4: $[P(4,1,1) \vee \ldots$

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Each column must contain all numbers 1-4

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Each column must contain all numbers 1-4

- Column 1: $[P(1,1,1) \vee P(2,1,1) \vee P(3,1,1) \vee P(4,1,1)] \wedge$
  $[P(1,1,2) \vee P(2,1,2) \vee P(3,1,2) \vee P(4,1,2)] \wedge$
  $[P(1,1,3) \vee P(2,1,3) \vee P(3,1,3) \vee P(4,1,3)] \wedge$
  $[P(1,1,4) \vee P(2,1,4) \vee P(3,1,4) \vee P(4,1,4)]$

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Each column must contain all numbers 1-4

- Column 1: $[P(1,1,1) \vee P(2,1,1) \vee P(3,1,1) \vee P(4,1,1)] \wedge$
  $[P(1,1,2) \vee P(2,1,2) \vee P(3,1,2) \vee P(4,1,2)] \wedge$
  $[P(1,1,3) \vee P(2,1,3) \vee P(3,1,3) \vee P(4,1,3)] \wedge$
  $[P(1,1,4) \vee P(2,1,4) \vee P(3,1,4) \vee P(4,1,4)]$
- Column 2: $[P(1,2,1) \vee \ldots$
- Column 3: $[P(1,3,1) \vee \ldots$
- Column 4: $[P(1,4,1) \vee \ldots$

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Each 2×2 block must contain all numbers 1-4

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Each 2×2 block must contain all numbers 1-4

- Upper left block contains all numbers 1-4:

$$[P(1,1,1) \vee P(1,2,1) \vee P(2,1,1) \vee P(2,2,1)] \wedge$$
$$[P(1,1,2) \vee P(1,2,2) \vee P(2,1,2) \vee P(2,2,2)] \wedge$$
$$[P(1,1,3) \vee P(1,2,3) \vee P(2,1,3) \vee P(2,2,3)] \wedge$$
$$[P(1,1,4) \vee P(1,2,4) \vee P(2,1,4) \vee P(2,2,4)]$$

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Each 2×2 block must contain all numbers 1-4

- Upper left block contains all numbers 1-4:

$$[P(1,1,1) \vee P(1,2,1) \vee P(2,1,1) \vee P(2,2,1)] \wedge$$
$$[P(1,1,2) \vee P(1,2,2) \vee P(2,1,2) \vee P(2,2,2)] \wedge$$
$$[P(1,1,3) \vee P(1,2,3) \vee P(2,1,3) \vee P(2,2,3)] \wedge$$
$$[P(1,1,4) \vee P(1,2,4) \vee P(2,1,4) \vee P(2,2,4)]$$

- Upper right block contains all numbers 1-4:

$$[P(1,3,1) \vee P(1,4,1) \vee P(2,3,1) \vee P(2,4,1)] \wedge \dots$$

- Lower left block contains all numbers 1-4:

$$[P(3,1,1) \vee P(3,2,1) \vee P(4,1,1) \vee P(4,2,1)] \wedge \dots$$

- Lower right block contains all numbers 1-4:

$$[P(3,3,1) \vee P(3,4,1) \vee P(4,3,1) \vee P(4,4,1)] \wedge \dots$$

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

No cell contains 2 or more numbers

- For cell(1,1):

$$P(1,1,1) \rightarrow [\neg P(1,1,2) \wedge \neg P(1,1,3) \wedge \neg P(1,1,4)] \wedge$$

$$P(1,1,2) \rightarrow [\neg P(1,1,1) \wedge \neg P(1,1,3) \wedge \neg P(1,1,4)] \wedge$$

$$P(1,1,3) \rightarrow [\neg P(1,1,1) \wedge \neg P(1,1,2) \wedge \neg P(1,1,4)] \wedge$$

$$P(1,1,4) \rightarrow [\neg P(1,1,1) \wedge \neg P(1,1,2) \wedge \neg P(1,1,3)] \wedge$$

- Similar for other cells

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Encoding Initial Configuration:

$$P(1,2,2) \wedge P(1,3,4) \wedge P(2,1,1) \wedge P(2,4,3) \wedge$$

$$P(3,1,4) \wedge P(3,4,2) \wedge P(4,2,1) \wedge P(4,3,3)$$

Solving Sodoku

To solve the puzzle, just conjunct all the above formulae and find a satisfiable truth assignment!

Gold Rush

(**Box1**) *The gold is not here*

(**Box2**) *The gold is not here*

(**Box3**) *The gold is in Box 2*

Only one message is true; the other two are false. Which box has the gold?

Solve Gold Rush

- Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- Formalize what is given to you

Solve Gold Rush

- Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- Formalize what is given to you
  - $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1$,

Solve Gold Rush

- Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- Formalize what is given to you
  - $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1$, $M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2$,

Solve Gold Rush

- Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- Formalize what is given to you
  - $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1$, $M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2$, $M3 \leftrightarrow G2$

Solve Gold Rush

- Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- Formalize what is given to you
  - $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1$, $M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2$, $M3 \leftrightarrow G2$
  - $\neg(M1 \wedge M2 \wedge M3)$,

Solve Gold Rush

- Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- Formalize what is given to you
  - $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1$, $M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2$, $M3 \leftrightarrow G2$
  - $\neg(M1 \land M2 \land M3)$, $M1 \lor M2 \lor M3$,

Solve Gold Rush

- Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- Formalize what is given to you
  - $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1$, $M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2$, $M3 \leftrightarrow G2$
  - $\neg(M1 \wedge M2 \wedge M3)$, $M1 \vee M2 \vee M3$,
  - $(\neg M1 \wedge \neg M2) \vee (\neg M1 \wedge \neg M3) \vee (\neg M2 \wedge \neg M3)$

Solve Gold Rush

- Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- Formalize what is given to you
  - $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1$, $M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2$, $M3 \leftrightarrow G2$
  - $\neg(M1 \wedge M2 \wedge M3)$, $M1 \vee M2 \vee M3$,
  - $(\neg M1 \wedge \neg M2) \vee (\neg M1 \wedge \neg M3) \vee (\neg M2 \wedge \neg M3)$
  - Conjunct all these, and call the formula φ.

Solve Gold Rush

- Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- Formalize what is given to you
  - $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1$, $M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2$, $M3 \leftrightarrow G2$
  - $\neg(M1 \wedge M2 \wedge M3)$, $M1 \vee M2 \vee M3$,
  - $(\neg M1 \wedge \neg M2) \vee (\neg M1 \wedge \neg M3) \vee (\neg M2 \wedge \neg M3)$
  - Conjunct all these, and call the formula φ.
  - Is there a unique satisfiable assignment for φ?

Solve Gold Rush

- Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- Formalize what is given to you
  - $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1$, $M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2$, $M3 \leftrightarrow G2$
  - $\neg(M1 \wedge M2 \wedge M3)$, $M1 \vee M2 \vee M3$,
  - $(\neg M1 \wedge \neg M2) \vee (\neg M1 \wedge \neg M3) \vee (\neg M2 \wedge \neg M3)$
  - Conjunct all these, and call the formula φ.
  - Is there a unique satisfiable assignment for φ?
  - For example, is $M1 = \mathit{true}$ a part of the satisfiable assignment?