



# **CS 228 : Logic in Computer Science**

Krishna. S

## Polynomial Time Formula Classes

# Horn Formulae

---

- ▶ A formula  $F$  is a Horn formula if it is in CNF and every disjunction contains at most one positive literal.
- ▶  $p \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r) \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg b)$  is Horn, but  $a \vee b$  is not Horn.
- ▶ A basic Horn formula is one which has no  $\wedge$ . Every Horn formula is a conjunction of basic Horn formulae.

# Horn Formulae

---

- ▶ Three types of basic Horn : no positive literals, no negative literals, have both positive and negative literals.
- ▶ Basic Horn with both positive and negative literals are written as an implication  $p \wedge q \wedge \cdots \wedge r \rightarrow s$  involving only positive literals.
- ▶ Basic Horn with no negative literals are of the form  $p$  and are written as  $\top \rightarrow p$ .
- ▶ Basic Horn with no positive literals are written as  $p \wedge q \wedge \cdots \wedge r \rightarrow \perp$ .
- ▶ Thus, a Horn formula is written as a conjunction of implications.

# The Horn Algorithm

---

Given a Horn formula  $H$ ,

- ▶ Mark all occurrences of  $p$ , whenever  $\top \rightarrow p$  is a subformula.

# The Horn Algorithm

---

Given a Horn formula  $H$ ,

- ▶ Mark all occurrences of  $p$ , whenever  $\top \rightarrow p$  is a subformula.
- ▶ If there is a subformula of the form  $(p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_m) \rightarrow q$ , where each  $p_i$  is marked, and  $q$  is not marked, mark  $q$ . Repeat this until there are no subformulae of this form and proceed to the next step.

# The Horn Algorithm

---

Given a Horn formula  $H$ ,

- ▶ Mark all occurrences of  $p$ , whenever  $\top \rightarrow p$  is a subformula.
- ▶ If there is a subformula of the form  $(p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_m) \rightarrow q$ , where each  $p_i$  is marked, and  $q$  is not marked, mark  $q$ . Repeat this until there are no subformulae of this form and proceed to the next step.
- ▶ Consider subformulae of the form  $(p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_m) \rightarrow \perp$ . If there is one such subformula with all  $p_i$  marked, then say **Unsat**, otherwise say **Sat**.

# An Example

---

$$(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$$



# An Example

---

$$(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$$

►  $(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$

# An Example

---

$$(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$$

- ▶  $(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$
- ▶  $(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$

# An Example

---

$$(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$$

- ▶  $(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$
- ▶  $(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$
- ▶  $(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$

# An Example

---

$$(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$$

- ▶  $(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$
- ▶  $(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$
- ▶  $(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$
- ▶  $(\top \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\top \rightarrow B).$

# The Horn Algorithm

---

The Horn algorithm concludes **Sat** iff  $H$  is satisfiable.

# Complexity of Horn

---

- ▶ Given a Horn formula  $\psi$  with  $n$  propositions, how many times do you have to read  $\psi$ ?
- ▶ Step 1: Read once
- ▶ Step 2: Read atmost  $n$  times
- ▶ Step 3: Read once

# 2-CNF

---

- ▶ 2-CNF : CNF where each clause has at most 2 literals.

# Resolution

---

- ▶ Resolution is a technique used to check if a formula in CNF is unsatisfiable.



# Resolution

---

- ▶ Resolution is a technique used to check if a formula in CNF is unsatisfiable.
- ▶ CNF notation as set of sets :  $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \wedge p$  represented as  $\{\{p, q\}, \{\neg p, q\}, \{p\}\}$

# Resolution

---

- ▶ Resolution is a technique used to check if a formula in CNF is unsatisfiable.
- ▶ CNF notation as set of sets :  $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \wedge p$  represented as  $\{\{p, q\}, \{\neg p, q\}, \{p\}\}$
- ▶ Let  $C_1, C_2$  be two clauses. Assume  $p \in C_1$  and  $\neg p \in C_2$  for some literal  $p$ . Then the clause  $R = (C_1 - \{p\}) \cup (C_2 - \{\neg p\})$  is a **resolvent** of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .

# Resolution

---

- ▶ Resolution is a technique used to check if a formula in CNF is unsatisfiable.
- ▶ CNF notation as set of sets :  $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \wedge p$  represented as  $\{\{p, q\}, \{\neg p, q\}, \{p\}\}$
- ▶ Let  $C_1, C_2$  be two clauses. Assume  $p \in C_1$  and  $\neg p \in C_2$  for some literal  $p$ . Then the clause  $R = (C_1 - \{p\}) \cup (C_2 - \{\neg p\})$  is a **resolvent** of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .
- ▶ Let  $C_1 = \{p_1, \neg p_2, p_3\}$  and  $C_2 = \{p_2, \neg p_3, p_4\}$ . As  $p_3 \in C_1$  and  $\neg p_3 \in C_2$ , we can find the resolvent. The resolvent is  $\{p_1, p_2, \neg p_2, p_4\}$ .

# Resolution

---

- ▶ Resolution is a technique used to check if a formula in CNF is unsatisfiable.
- ▶ CNF notation as set of sets :  $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \wedge p$  represented as  $\{\{p, q\}, \{\neg p, q\}, \{p\}\}$
- ▶ Let  $C_1, C_2$  be two clauses. Assume  $p \in C_1$  and  $\neg p \in C_2$  for some literal  $p$ . Then the clause  $R = (C_1 - \{p\}) \cup (C_2 - \{\neg p\})$  is a **resolvent** of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .
- ▶ Let  $C_1 = \{p_1, \neg p_2, p_3\}$  and  $C_2 = \{p_2, \neg p_3, p_4\}$ . As  $p_3 \in C_1$  and  $\neg p_3 \in C_2$ , we can find the resolvent. The resolvent is  $\{p_1, p_2, \neg p_2, p_4\}$ .
- ▶ Resolvent not unique :  $\{p_1, p_3, \neg p_3, p_4\}$  is also a resolvent.

# 3 rules in Resolution

---

- ▶ Let  $G$  be any formula. Let  $F$  be the CNF formula resulting from the CNF algorithm applied to  $G$ . Then  $G \vdash F$  (Prove!)

# 3 rules in Resolution

---

- ▶ Let  $G$  be any formula. Let  $F$  be the CNF formula resulting from the CNF algorithm applied to  $G$ . Then  $G \vdash F$  (Prove!)
- ▶ Let  $F$  be a formula in CNF, and let  $C$  be a clause in  $F$ . Then  $F \vdash C$  (Prove!)

# 3 rules in Resolution

---

- ▶ Let  $G$  be any formula. Let  $F$  be the CNF formula resulting from the CNF algorithm applied to  $G$ . Then  $G \vdash F$  (Prove!)
- ▶ Let  $F$  be a formula in CNF, and let  $C$  be a clause in  $F$ . Then  $F \vdash C$  (Prove!)
- ▶ Let  $F$  be a formula in CNF. Let  $R$  be a resolvent of two clauses of  $F$ . Then  $F \vdash R$  (Prove!)

# Completeness of Resolution

---

Show that resolution can be used to determine whether any given formula is unsatisfiable.

- ▶ Given  $F$  in CNF, let  $Res^0(F) = \{C \mid C \text{ is a clause in } F\}$ .



# Completeness of Resolution

---

Show that resolution can be used to determine whether any given formula is unsatisfiable.

- ▶ Given  $F$  in CNF, let  $Res^0(F) = \{C \mid C \text{ is a clause in } F\}$ .
- ▶  $Res^n(F) = Res^{n-1}(F) \cup \{R \mid R \text{ is a resolvent of two clauses in } Res^{n-1}(F)\}$

# Completeness of Resolution

---

Show that resolution can be used to determine whether any given formula is unsatisfiable.

- ▶ Given  $F$  in CNF, let  $Res^0(F) = \{C \mid C \text{ is a clause in } F\}$ .
- ▶  $Res^n(F) = Res^{n-1}(F) \cup \{R \mid R \text{ is a resolvent of two clauses in } Res^{n-1}(F)\}$
- ▶  $Res^0(F) = F$ , there are finitely many clauses that can be derived from  $F$ .

# Completeness of Resolution

---

Show that resolution can be used to determine whether any given formula is unsatisfiable.

- ▶ Given  $F$  in CNF, let  $Res^0(F) = \{C \mid C \text{ is a clause in } F\}$ .
- ▶  $Res^n(F) = Res^{n-1}(F) \cup \{R \mid R \text{ is a resolvent of two clauses in } Res^{n-1}(F)\}$
- ▶  $Res^0(F) = F$ , there are finitely many clauses that can be derived from  $F$ .
- ▶ There is some  $m \geq 0$  such that  $Res^m(F) = Res^{m+1}(F)$ . Denote it by  $Res^*(F)$ .

# Example

---

Let  $F = \{\{p_1, p_2, \neg p_3\}, \{\neg p_2, p_3\}\}$ .

►  $Res^0(F) = F$

# Example

---

Let  $F = \{\{p_1, p_2, \neg p_3\}, \{\neg p_2, p_3\}\}$ .

- ▶  $Res^0(F) = F$
- ▶  $Res^1(F) = F \cup \{p_1, p_2, \neg p_2\} \cup \{p_1, \neg p_3, p_3\}$ .

# Example

---

Let  $F = \{\{p_1, p_2, \neg p_3\}, \{\neg p_2, p_3\}\}$ .

- ▶  $Res^0(F) = F$
- ▶  $Res^1(F) = F \cup \{p_1, p_2, \neg p_2\} \cup \{p_1, \neg p_3, p_3\}$ .
- ▶  $Res^2(F) = Res^1(F) \cup \{p_1, p_2, \neg p_3\} \cup \{p_1, p_3, \neg p_2\}$