

Supplementary Notes

Supplementary Note 1: Analysis of the stability landscape around $\beta_{=}$

Our detailed analysis of the stability landscape around the point $\beta_{=} = (\beta_{=}, \dots, \beta_{=})$, $\beta_{=} = 2\sqrt{\alpha_2}$, is divided into three parts. We first derive a formula for the maximum Lyapunov exponent λ^{\max} in terms of the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial in a neighborhood of $\beta_{=}$, which allows us to express a necessary condition for λ^{\max} to decrease along a given path (Sec. 1). We then derive equations that relate these coefficients to β (Sec. 2). Finally, we apply the Implicit Function Theorem (IFT) to these equations and show that, for a generic system, any descending path must be tangent to a system-specific hyperplane in the β -space (Sec. 3). In that section, we also derive an explicit formula for λ^{\max} in terms of the parameterization of the path when the path is transverse to the hyperplane. Two example cases, $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, are presented for illustration in Sec. 4.

1 Maximum Lyapunov exponent λ^{\max} on arbitrary path through $\beta_{=}$

In the main text, we introduced the $2n \times 2n$ Jacobian matrix \mathbf{J} that characterizes the linearized dynamics of the generators. Recall that

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{P} & -\mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S1})$$

where \mathbf{O} and \mathbf{I} denote the null and the identity matrix of size n , respectively, the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{P} = (P_{ik})$ is given by Eq. (3) in the main text, and \mathbf{B} is the diagonal matrix of elements β_i . We define

$$\bar{\mathbf{J}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{P}/\beta_{=}^2 & -\mathbf{B}/\beta_{=} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S2})$$

assuming $\beta_{=} > 0$ (or, equivalently, $\alpha_2 > 0$). Note that ν is an eigenvalue of $\bar{\mathbf{J}}$ if and only if $\beta_{=}\nu$ is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{J} , since the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{\mathbf{J}}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\bar{\mathbf{J}} - \nu\mathbf{I}) &= \det(\nu^2\mathbf{I} + \nu\mathbf{B}/\beta_{=} + \mathbf{P}/\beta_{=}^2) \\ &= \beta_{=}^{-2n} \det(\beta_{=}^2\nu^2\mathbf{I} + \beta_{=}\nu\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{P}) \\ &= \beta_{=}^{-2n} \det(\mathbf{J} - \beta_{=}\nu\mathbf{I}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3})$$

Consider a (possibly curved) path γ passing through the point $\beta_{=}$ in the space of all β , parametrized by ε through a differentiable vector function $\beta = \gamma(\varepsilon)$ satisfying $\gamma(0) = \beta_{=}$. We denote the parametrized eigenvalues of $\bar{\mathbf{J}}$ as $\nu_{j\pm} = \nu_{j\pm}(\varepsilon)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. The eigenvalues of \mathbf{P} are denoted by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, among which we have $\alpha_1 = 0$, and we assume that they are all real,

distinct, and indexed so that $0 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n$. The assumption that these eigenvalues are distinct will be crucial in the arguments that follow. When $\varepsilon = 0$, we have $\mathbf{B} = \beta_- \mathbf{I}$, so

$$\det(\bar{\mathbf{J}} - \nu \mathbf{I}) = \beta_-^{2n} \det[\beta_-^2(\nu^2 + \nu) \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{P}], \quad (\text{S4})$$

and this implies that $\beta_-^2(\nu^2 + \nu) = \alpha_j$, or equivalently $\nu = (-1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \alpha_j/\alpha_2})/2$, whenever ν is an eigenvalue of $\bar{\mathbf{J}}$. We thus index $\nu_{j\pm}(\varepsilon)$ so that

$$\nu_{j\pm}(\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nu_{j\pm}(0) = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \alpha_j/\alpha_2}}{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (\text{S5})$$

Note that $\nu_{1+}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \nu_{1+}(0) = 0$ and $\nu_{1-}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \nu_{1-}(0) = -1$ are not relevant for determining the stability of synchronous states since these eigenvalues are associated with perturbation modes that do not affect synchronization. Thus, the Lyapunov exponent λ^{\max} determining the stability is the largest real component among the remaining eigenvalues:

$$\lambda^{\max}(\varepsilon) = \beta_- \cdot \max_{2 \leq j \leq n} \max\{Re(\nu_{j+}(\varepsilon)), Re(\nu_{j-}(\varepsilon))\}. \quad (\text{S6})$$

For $\varepsilon = 0$, which corresponds to the point β_- , it follows from the formula for $\nu_{j\pm}(0)$ in Eq. (S5) and $\alpha_2 < \alpha_j, \forall j \geq 3$, that

$$\lambda^{\max}(0) = \beta_- \cdot Re(\nu_{2+}(0)) = -\frac{\beta_-}{2} = -\sqrt{\alpha_2} = \lambda_-^{\max}. \quad (\text{S7})$$

Since eigenvalues are continuous functions of the matrix elements and γ is a continuous function, $\nu_{j\pm}(\varepsilon)$ changes with ε continuously. Thus, for $\varepsilon \neq 0$, the eigenvalue $\nu_{2+}(\varepsilon)$ determines the maximum in Eq. (S6) for sufficiently small ε , and hence we have

$$\lambda^{\max}(\varepsilon) = \beta_- \cdot Re(\nu_{2+}(\varepsilon)) = \beta_- \cdot Re\left(-\frac{c_2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{c_2^2 - 4d_2}\right), \quad (\text{S8})$$

where we factored the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{\mathbf{J}}$ into quadratic factors as

$$\det(\bar{\mathbf{J}} - \nu \mathbf{I}) = (\nu^2 + c_1\nu) (\nu^2 + c_2\nu + d_2) \cdots (\nu^2 + c_n\nu + d_n), \quad (\text{S9})$$

(noting that $d_1 = 0$ always holds because $\nu = 0$ is an eigenvalue of $\bar{\mathbf{J}}$ associated with $\alpha_1 = 0$). Equations (S8) and (S9) imply that λ^{\max} can be viewed as a function of c_2 and d_2 and thus defines a landscape over the (c_2, d_2) -plane, while the path $\gamma(\varepsilon)$ in the β -space corresponds a path on the (c_2, d_2) -plane defined by the functions $c_2 = c_2(\varepsilon)$ and $d_2 = d_2(\varepsilon)$. Defining $f(c_2, d_2) \equiv Re(-c_2 + \sqrt{c_2^2 - 4d_2})/2$, we see that the condition for λ^{\max} to be decreasing along the path $\gamma(\varepsilon)$ starting at β_- in the β -space is equivalent to the condition that the corresponding path $(c_2(\varepsilon), d_2(\varepsilon))$ starting at $(c_2, d_2) = (1, 1/4)$ immediately enters the following triangular region of the (c_2, d_2) -plane:

$$\{(c_2, d_2) : f(c_2, d_2) < -1/2\} = \{(c_2, d_2) : 2(d_2 - 1/4) > c_2 - 1 > 0\}. \quad (\text{S10})$$

The latter condition implies that the derivatives of $c_2(\varepsilon)$ and $d_2(\varepsilon)$ at $\varepsilon = 0$ satisfy $2d'_2(0) \geq c'_2(0)$. We may assume $c'_2(0) \geq 0$ without loss of generality. (If not, we simply need to make the change of variable, $\varepsilon \rightarrow -\varepsilon$.) In Sec. 3, we show that, for a generic choice of the matrix \mathbf{P} , we have $d'_2(0) = 0$. This implies that, if λ^{\max} decreases along the path, we have $c'_2(0) = 0$. In general, if $c'_2(0) = d'_2(0) = 0$, the nonlinearity of $c_2(\varepsilon)$ and $d_2(\varepsilon)$ determines whether λ^{\max} decreases along the path in either direction. We further show that the condition $c'_2(0) = 0$ translates to the path γ being tangent to a specific hyperplane, which we denote by L , at the point β_- .

2 Equations relating coefficients c_i and d_i to parameter ε

We now derive equations that define the coefficients c_i and d_i implicitly as functions $c_i = c_i(\varepsilon)$ and $d_i = d_i(\varepsilon)$. We first rewrite the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{\mathbf{J}}$ as

$$\det(\bar{\mathbf{J}} - \nu \mathbf{I}) = \det(\nu^2 \mathbf{I} + \nu \mathbf{B}/\beta_- + \mathbf{P}/\beta_-^2) = \det(\nu^2 \mathbf{I} + \nu \bar{\mathbf{B}} + \mathbf{D}), \quad (\text{S11})$$

where we used a similarity transformation $\mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{P}/\beta_-^2)\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{D}$ and \mathbf{B}/β_- as $\mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{B}/\beta_-)\mathbf{Q} = \bar{\mathbf{B}}$ (based on the diagonalization of the matrix \mathbf{P}), and \mathbf{D} is the diagonal matrix with diagonal elements $\bar{\alpha}_i \equiv \alpha_i/\beta_-^2 = \frac{1}{4}\alpha_i/\alpha_2$. Along the path $\gamma(\varepsilon) = (\gamma_1(\varepsilon), \dots, \gamma_n(\varepsilon))$, the components of the matrix $\bar{\mathbf{B}}$ can be expressed as

$$\bar{B}_{ij}(\varepsilon) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n u_{i\ell} v_{j\ell} \gamma_\ell(\varepsilon) / \beta_-, \quad (\text{S12})$$

where $u_{i\ell}$ and $v_{i\ell}$ are the ℓ th component of the left and right eigenvectors of \mathbf{P} associated with the eigenvalue α_i , respectively. We now use the definition of the determinant,

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) \equiv \sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n A_{i\sigma(i)} = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) \cdot A_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots A_{n\sigma(n)}, \quad (\text{S13})$$

where the summation \sum_{σ} is taken over all possible permutations σ of indices, and $\text{sign}(\sigma)$ is the sign of permutation σ (i.e., $\text{sign}(\sigma) = 1$ when σ is an even permutation and $\text{sign}(\sigma) = -1$ when σ is an odd permutation). With this definition, we can write the coefficient of the ν^k term (for $k = 1, \dots, 2n - 1$; no constant term corresponding to $k = 0$, since $\nu = 0$ is an eigenvalue) of the polynomial in Eq. (S11) as

$$\sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) \sum_{\{k_i\}} \prod_{i=1}^n E_{i\sigma(i)}^{(k_i)} \cdot \chi(\sum_i k_i = k), \quad (\text{S14})$$

where the summation $\sum_{\{k_i\}}$ is taken over all possible combinations of $k_i = 0, 1, 2, i = 1, \dots, n$. The function χ is an indicator function defined by $\chi(\sum_i k_i = k) = 1$ if $\sum_i k_i = k$ and