

- ☒ C2. Did you discuss the experimental setup, including hyperparameter search and best-found hyperparameter values?

4

- ☒ C3. Did you report descriptive statistics about your results (e.g., error bars around results, summary statistics from sets of experiments), and is it transparent whether you are reporting the max, mean, etc. or just a single run?

5

- ☒ C4. If you used existing packages (e.g., for preprocessing, for normalization, or for evaluation), did you report the implementation, model, and parameter settings used (e.g., NLTK, Spacy, ROUGE, etc.)?

We put in the footnotes

D ☒ Did you use human annotators (e.g., crowdworkers) or research with human participants?

Left blank.

- ☒ D1. Did you report the full text of instructions given to participants, including e.g., screenshots, disclaimers of any risks to participants or annotators, etc.?

Left blank.

- ☒ D2. Did you report information about how you recruited (e.g., crowdsourcing platform, students) and paid participants, and discuss if such payment is adequate given the participants' demographic (e.g., country of residence)?

Left blank.

- ☒ D3. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating? For example, if you collected data via crowdsourcing, did your instructions to crowdworkers explain how the data would be used?

Left blank.

- ☒ D4. Was the data collection protocol approved (or determined exempt) by an ethics review board?

Left blank.

- ☒ D5. Did you report the basic demographic and geographic characteristics of the annotator population that is the source of the data?

Left blank.