

# Query Understanding in LLM-based Conversational Information Seeking

Yifei Yuan

University of Copenhagen  
Denmark  
yiya@di.ku.dk

Yang Deng

Singapore Management University  
Singapore  
ydeng@smu.edu.sg

Zahra Abbasiantaeb

University of Amsterdam  
The Netherlands  
z.abbasiantaeb@uva.nl

Mohammad Aliannejadi

University of Amsterdam  
The Netherlands  
m.aliannejadi@uva.nl

## Abstract

Query understanding in Conversational Information Seeking (CIS) involves accurately interpreting user intent through context-aware interactions. This includes resolving ambiguities, refining queries, and adapting to evolving information needs. Large Language Models (LLMs) enhance this process by interpreting nuanced language and adapting dynamically, improving the relevance and precision of search results in real-time. In this tutorial, we explore advanced techniques to enhance query understanding in LLM-based CIS systems. We delve into LLM-driven methods for developing robust evaluation metrics to assess query understanding quality in multi-turn interactions, strategies for building more interactive systems, and applications like proactive query management and query reformulation. We also discuss key challenges in integrating LLMs for query understanding in conversational search systems and outline future research directions. Our goal is to deepen the audience's understanding of LLM-based conversational query understanding and inspire discussions to drive ongoing advancements in this field.

## CCS Concepts

- **Information systems** → *Query suggestion; Query reformulation; Query intent.*

## Keywords

Query understanding, Large language models, Conversational search

## ACM Reference Format:

Yifei Yuan, Zahra Abbasiantaeb, Yang Deng, and Mohammad Aliannejadi. 2025. Query Understanding in LLM-based Conversational Information Seeking. In *Companion Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2025 (WWW Companion '25), April 28-May 2, 2025, Sydney, NSW, Australia*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3701716.3715869>

---

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

*WWW Companion '25, April 28-May 2, 2025, Sydney, NSW, Australia*

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-1331-6/2025/04

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3701716.3715869>

## 1 Introduction

Query understanding refers to a system's ability to accurately interpret a user's intent, even when expressed through incomplete, vague, or ambiguous queries [17]. This becomes especially critical in CIS, where users tend to express their needs in less direct or structured ways, compared to ad-hoc retrieval. In typical CIS scenarios, users often begin with vague or imprecise queries and progressively refine their queries, ask follow-up questions, or even shift contexts mid-conversation [38]. These dynamics pose significant challenges for the system, as it must engage in a dialogue to clarify and refine the user's intent, ensuring that the responses are both accurate and relevant throughout the interaction.

In recent years, LLMs, such as GPT-4, have demonstrated remarkable capabilities in tasks far beyond Natural Language Understanding (NLU), revolutionizing the way users interact with Information Retrieval (IR) systems. These models excel at handling natural language queries with exceptional accuracy and contextual awareness, greatly enhancing the overall user experience in retrieving relevant information. In the context of CIS systems, LLMs significantly impact query understanding in several key areas, such as conversational context understanding [31], query clarification [20], user simulation [36], query reformulation [34].

Despite the advancements LLMs have brought, several open challenges remain: (i) developing robust evaluation metrics, as it remains challenging to establish effective ways to measure how well a system understands and addresses user intent across dynamic, multi-turn conversations [42]; (ii) Improving conversational interaction by making exchanges smoother and more natural [29]; (iii) increasing user proactivity, encouraging users to take a more active role in refining and clarifying their searches [20]; and (iv) handling ambiguity in user queries, as users frequently submit vague or incomplete queries, requiring LLMs to strike a balance between generating appropriate responses and requesting clarifications [26]. This tutorial addresses these challenges by exploring advanced techniques to improve query understanding in LLM-based CIS.

## 1.1 Query Understanding Evaluation

Query understanding refers to the process where a system interprets the intent and context of a user's query to deliver more accurate and relevant search results [19]. Evaluating query understanding involves assessing how accurately a system interprets and responds to user queries in alignment with their intent [54]. We discuss two main sets of works:

- **End-to-end evaluation** utilizes human-judged benchmarks to assess the relevance of query-passage pairs. Among these benchmarks, QReCC [10] and TopioCQA [4] are two large-scale open-domain conversational question-answering datasets. TREC CAsT 19-22 [19, 35] and TREC iKAT 23 [5] benchmarks feature complex, knowledge-intensive conversations.
- **LLM-based relevance assessment** leverages LLMs to evaluate the relevance of retrieved information to a user's query [2, 27, 33]. However, using LLMs comes with challenges such as non-reproducibility, unpredictable outputs, and potential data leakage between fine-tuning and inference stages [37].

## 1.2 LLM-based Conversational Interaction

LLM-based conversational interactions improve query understanding through dynamic, back-and-forth exchanges that clarify user intent and enhance search precision. Unlike static searches, conversational LLMs capture nuances and progressively build context. We focus on two key aspects:

- **LLM-based user simulation.** Simulating diverse user behaviors, intents, and query patterns helps LLMs learn to anticipate real-world conversational scenarios, preparing them to handle complex queries and varied user needs effectively [52, 53]. This approach has become essential in evaluating systems across domains such as information-seeking dialogues [40, 43], conversational question-answering [3], and task-oriented dialogues [44].
- **Multimodal conversational interactions.** Integrating beyond-text content (e.g., images, audio) into conversations enables multimodal interactions, allowing LLMs to interpret and respond across diverse media types. This capability has expanded applications in areas like e-commerce, healthcare, and spatial analysis, enhancing tasks such as LLM-powered multimodal fashion search [24, 58], medical image retrieval [48], and beyond.

## 1.3 LLM-based Proactive Query Management

Conventional CIS systems passively respond to user queries. For example, current CIS systems may refuse to answer or provide low-quality answers when encountering unanswerable user queries. Here, we will introduce recent advances in developing LLM-based proactive CIS systems that can further provide useful information to unanswerable queries, or clarify the uncertainty of the query for more efficient and precise information seeking. In particular, we will cover the following:

- **Unanswerable query mitigation.** Typically, the system handles unanswerable queries passively by responding with No Answer [16] if there is no direct information that matches the query. This undesired result will downgrade the user experience when

interacting with the CIS systems. Researchers investigate various proactive behaviors to mitigate this issue, including providing relevant information that can partially satisfy the user's information needs [55] or explanations on why the query is unanswerable [22], and suggesting other useful queries [41, 47].

- **Uncertain query clarification.** Asking clarifying questions allows the users to further clarify their queries in case the model is uncertain about their intent [8, 9, 61]. Recent studies develop various training paradigms to teach LLMs to ask clarifying questions, such as in-context learning [20], self-learning [11], reinforcement learning [15], and contrastive learning [14], as well as in multimodal scenarios [60].
- **Balancing user and system initiatives.** Taking the conversation initiative by the system introduces a great risk of harming user experience [62], while not necessarily leading to improved retrieval [28]. Therefore, it is of utmost importance to learn "when" to take the initiative in a conversation. Recent work argues that LLMs are not capable of effective planning for taking system-initiative actions [7, 45]. A solution is to predict for the system when to take the initiative in a conversation [32, 50] while simulating user-system interactions [6] is used to understand the dynamics of system initiative better.

## 1.4 LLM-based Query Enhancement

Query enhancement is the process of modifying a user's original query to improve retrieval performance and enhance the accuracy of search performance. By reformulating the query, systems can better match the user's intent, leading to more relevant and precise results. We cover several interactive query enhancement techniques where LLMs interpret and refine queries to capture deeper semantic nuances and better understand user intent, namely:

- **Resolving ambiguity in queries.** Query ambiguity has been investigated in many studies from various aspects such as automatic ambiguous query detection and introducing taxonomy of queries [26]. To resolve ambiguous queries, LLM-based techniques such as query expansion [25, 51], query refinement [23], and follow-up question suggestion [12] have proven effective. These approaches help clarify intent and guide users toward more precise search results.
- **Conversational query rewriting** is the process of rephrasing or modifying a user's query within a conversational context to improve retrieval accuracy and relevance [39, 49]. LLMs enhance query rewrite performance in several ways: (i) handling low-resource (few-shot or zero-shot) scenarios [31, 56, 57]; (ii) incorporating multimodal contents to improve the rewrites [59]; and (iii) generating LLM-based answer for better retrieval [1].

## 1.5 Open Challenges and Beyond

In the final part, we will explore key open challenges in integrating LLMs for query understanding within conversational search systems and outline research directions for future investigation.

- **Multilingual and cross-cultural query understanding.** While LLMs perform reasonably well in understanding English queries, challenges persist in handling queries across diverse languages

and cultural contexts. Expanding LLM capabilities to better support multilingual and culturally nuanced queries is essential for fostering more inclusive and accurate search experiences.

- **Real-time adaptation to evolving user intent.** Developing models that can dynamically adjust search strategies in response to evolving user intent throughout a conversation remains a significant challenge for CIS systems [46]. Under this context, instructing LLMs to accurately detect and adapt to shifts in user intent remains an important future direction.

## 2 Relevance to the Conference

This tutorial is highly relevant to The Web Conference as it addresses critical challenges in advancing conversational AI and IR systems — two fields that are integral to the future of web interactions. By exploring the latest techniques to improve LLM-based conversational systems, this tutorial aligns with the conference's mission to drive innovations in web technologies and enhance user experiences on the web.

Related tutorials in recent years include: (i) *Conversational Information Seeking: Theory and Application* (SIGIR22) [18]; (ii) *Proactive Conversational Agents in the Post-ChatGPT World* (SIGIR23) [30]; (iii) *Large Language Model Powered Agents in the Web* (WWW24) [21]; (iv) *Tutorial on User Simulation for Evaluating Information Access Systems on the Web* (WWW24) [13]. However, these tutorials mainly introduce applications of conversational IR and agent-based interactions. Our tutorial mainly focuses on enhancing query understanding within LLM-based conversational IR systems and beyond.

## 3 Detailed Schedule

This tutorial will be a **lecture-style** tutorial focusing on the latest advancements in query understanding based on LLM-powered CIS systems. The outline of this tutorial is summarized as follows:

- **Introduction** (20 min): ad-hoc search; preliminary of query understanding; adapting LLMs in query understanding.
- **Part I: conversational query understanding evaluation** (30 min): end-to-end evaluation; LLM-based relevance assessment.
- **Part II: LLM-based conversational interaction** (30 min): LLM-based user simulation; multimodal conversational interaction.
- **Part III: LLM-based proactive query management** (40 min): unanswerable query mitigation; ambiguous query clarification; balancing user and system initiatives.
- **Part IV: LLM-based query enhancement** (30 min): resolving ambiguity in queries; conversational query rewrite techniques.
- **Summary and outlook** (30 min): open challenges and beyond.

## 4 Target Audience and Materials

This tutorial is designed for researchers, students, and anyone interested in LLM-based conversational search, query understanding, IR, data mining, and NLP. The target audience includes **NLP and IR Researchers**: those exploring how LLMs enhance conversational query understanding and search. **Conversational AI Practitioners**: professionals developing AI-driven chatbots, virtual assistants, and support systems. **Graduate Students and Academics**: early-career researchers and students looking to apply LLMs in CIS.

We will create a website for all relevant materials: (i) **a presentation slide** covering the background, technique, and future directions discussed in the tutorial; (ii) **a video teaser** for public promotion<sup>1</sup>; and (iii) **annotated reference** to enable further study.

## 5 BIOGRAPHY OF PRESENTERS

**Yifei Yuan** is a Postdoctoral Research Fellow at the University of Copenhagen. She received her Ph.D. degree from the Chinese University of Hong Kong in 2023 and B.Eng. degree from Harbin Institute of Technology in 2019. Her research interests lie in Natural Language Processing (NLP) and IR, especially for conversational interactive search systems and image-text-based multimodal learning. She has published more than 15 papers on relevant topics at top conferences in NLP and Data Mining. She has been serving as a reviewer or program committee member of mainstream machine learning venues such as ICLR, ACL, SIGIR, and WWW.

**Zahra Abbasiantaeb** is a second-year Ph.D. student at the Information Retrieval Lab (IRLab), University of Amsterdam (UvA). She received her master's degree from Amirkabir University (Tehran), on Artificial Intelligence in 2021. Her research interests lie in IR and CIS systems. She has published several papers at top conferences including SIGIR and WSDM. She is co-organizing the interactive Knowledge Assistant Track (iKAT) at the Text REtrieval Conference (TREC), aiming to advance the development of personalized conversational search systems.

**Yang Deng** is an Assistant Professor at Singapore Management University. His research lies in NLP and IR, especially for conversational and interactive systems. He has published over 50 papers on relevant topics at top venues such as WWW, SIGIR, ACL, EMNLP, and ICLR, and serves as Area Chair for ACL, EMNLP, and NAACL. He has rich experience in organizing tutorials at top conferences, including WWW 2024, SIGIR 2024, and ACL 2023.

**Mohammad Aliannejadi** is an Assistant Professor at IRLab, University of Amsterdam. His research interests include conversational information access, recommender systems, and LLM-based data augmentation and evaluation. Mohammad has co-organized various evaluation campaigns such as TREC CAsT, TREC iKAT, CLEF Touché, ConvAI3, and IGLU, focusing on different aspects of user interaction with conversational agents. Moreover, Mohammad has held multiple tutorials and lectures on CIS, such as ECIR, SIGIR-AP, WSDM, CHIIR, SIKS, and ASIRF.

## References

- [1] Zahra Abbasiantaeb and Mohammad Aliannejadi. 2024. Generate then Retrieve: Conversational Response Retrieval Using LLMs as Answer and Query Generators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.19302* (2024).
- [2] Zahra Abbasiantaeb, Chuan Meng, Leif Azzopardi, and Mohammad Aliannejadi. 2024. Can We Use Large Language Models to Fill Relevance Judgment Holes? *arXiv:2405.05600* [cs.IR]
- [3] Zahra Abbasiantaeb, Yifei Yuan, E. Kanoulas, and Mohammad Aliannejadi. 2023. Let the LLMs Talk: Simulating Human-to-Human Conversational QA via Zero-Shot LLM-to-LLM Interactions. *WSDM* (2023).
- [4] Vaibhav Adlakha, Shehzaad Dhuliawala, Kaheer Suleman, Harm de Vries, and Siva Reddy. 2022. Topiocqa: Open-domain conversational question answering with topic switching. *TACL* 10 (2022), 468–483.
- [5] Mohammad Aliannejadi, Zahra Abbasiantaeb, Shubham Chatterjee, Jeffrey Dalton, and Leif Azzopardi. 2024. TREC iKAT 2023: A Test Collection for Evaluating Conversational and Interactive Knowledge Assistants. In *SIGIR*. ACM, 819–829.

<sup>1</sup><https://drive.google.com/file/d/1UMki52eKDXMnph3ifl9bM0lhV55wFYc9/view?usp=sharing>

- [6] Mohammad Aliannejadi, Leif Azzopardi, Hamed Zamani, Evangelos Kanoulas, Paul Thomas, and Nick Craswell. 2021. Analysing Mixed Initiatives and Search Strategies during Conversational Search. In *CIKM*. ACM, 16–26.
- [7] Mohammad Aliannejadi, Jacek Gwizdka, and Hamed Zamani. 2024. Interactions with Generative Information Retrieval Systems. *CoRR* abs/2407.11605 (2024).
- [8] Mohammad Aliannejadi, Julia Kiseleva, Aleksandr Chuklin, Jeff Dalton, and Mikhail Burtsev. 2021. Building and Evaluating Open-Domain Dialogue Corpora with Clarifying Questions. In *EMNLP*.
- [9] Mohammad Aliannejadi, Hamed Zamani, Fabio Crestani, and W. Bruce Croft. 2019. Asking Clarifying Questions in Open-Domain Information-Seeking Conversations. In *SIGIR*. 475–484.
- [10] Raviteja Anantha, Svitlana Vakulenko, Zhucheng Tu, Shayne Longpre, Stephen Pulman, and Srinivas Chappidi. 2021. Open-Domain Question Answering Goes Conversational via Question Rewriting. In *NAACL*. ACL, 520–534.
- [11] Chinmaya Andukuri, Jan-Philipp Fränken, Tobias Gerstenberg, and Noah D. Goodman. 2024. STAR-GATE: Teaching Language Models to Ask Clarifying Questions. *CoRR* abs/2403.19154 (2024).
- [12] Jinheon Baek, Nirupama Chandrasekaran, Silviu Cucerzan, Allen Herring, and Sujay Kumar Jauhar. 2023. Knowledge-Augmented Large Language Models for Personalized Contextual Query Suggestion. *WWW* (2023).
- [13] Krisztian Balog and ChengXiang Zhai. 2024. Tutorial on User Simulation for Evaluating Information Access Systems on the Web. *WWW* (2024).
- [14] Maximilian Chen, Ruoxi Sun, Sercan Ö. Arik, and Tomas Pfister. 2024. Learning to Clarify: Multi-turn Conversations with Action-Based Contrastive Self-Training. *CoRR* abs/2406.00222 (2024).
- [15] Yue Chen, Chen Huang, Yang Deng, Wenqiang Lei, Dingnan Jin, Jia Liu, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2024. STYLE: Improving Domain Transferability of Asking Clarification Questions in Large Language Model Powered Conversational Agents. In *Findings of ACL*.
- [16] Eunsol Choi, He He, Mohit Iyyer, Mark Yatskar, Wen-tau Yih, Yejin Choi, Percy Liang, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2018. QuAC: Question Answering in Context. In *EMNLP*. 2174–2184.
- [17] Zhuyun Dai and Jamie Callan. 2019. Deeper Text Understanding for IR with Contextual Neural Language Modeling. *SIGIR* (2019).
- [18] Jeffrey Dalton, Sophie Fischer, Paul Owoicho, Filip Radlinski, Federico Rossetto, Johanne R. Trippas, and Hamed Zamani. 2022. Conversational Information Seeking: Theory and Application. *SIGIR* (2022).
- [19] Jeffrey Dalton, Chenyan Xiong, and Jamie Callan. 2020. TREC CAsT 2019: The conversational assistance track overview. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.13624* (2020).
- [20] Yang Deng, Lizi Liao, Liang Chen, Hongru Wang, Wenqiang Lei, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2023. Prompting and Evaluating Large Language Models for Proactive Dialogues: Clarification, Target-guided, and Non-collaboration. In *Findings of EMNLP*.
- [21] Yang Deng, An Zhang, Yankai Lin, Xu Chen, Ji-Rong Wen, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2024. Large Language Model Powered Agents in the Web. *WWW* (2024).
- [22] Yang Deng, Yong Zhao, Moxin Li, See-Kiong Ng, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2024. Gotcha! Don't trick me with unanswerable questions! Self-aligning Large Language Models for Responding to Unknown Questions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.15062* (2024).
- [23] Kaustubh D. Dhole, Ramraj Chandradevan, and Eugene Agichtein. 2023. An Interactive Query Generation Assistant using LLM-based Prompt Modification and User Feedback. *ArXiv* abs/2311.11226 (2023).
- [24] Sonam Goenka, Zhao-Heng Zheng, Ayush Jaiswal, Rakesh Chada, Yuehua Wu, Varsha Hedau, and Pradeep Natarajan. 2022. FashionVLP: Vision Language Transformer for Fashion Retrieval with Feedback. *CVPR* (2022), 14085–14095.
- [25] Rolf Jagerman, Honglei Zhuang, Zhen Qin, Xuanhui Wang, and Michael Bendersky. 2023. Query Expansion by Prompting Large Language Models. *ArXiv* abs/2305.03653 (2023).
- [26] Kimiya Keyvan and J. Huang. 2022. How to Approach Ambiguous Queries in Conversational Search: A Survey of Techniques, Approaches, Tools, and Challenges. *Comput. Surveys* 55 (2022), 1 – 40.
- [27] Ekaterina Khramtsova, Shengyao Zhuang, Mahsa Baktashmotlagh, and Guido Zuccon. 2024. Leveraging LLMs for Unsupervised Dense Retriever Ranking. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.04853* (2024).
- [28] Antonios Minas Krasakis, Mohammad Aliannejadi, Nikos Voskarides, and Evangelos Kanoulas. 2020. Analysing the Effect of Clarifying Questions on Document Ranking in Conversational Search. In *ICTIR*. ACM, 129–132.
- [29] Wenqiang Lei, Xiangnan He, Yisong Miao, Qingyun Wu, Richang Hong, Min-Yen Kan, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2020. Estimation-Action-Reflection: Towards Deep Interaction Between Conversational and Recommender Systems. *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining* (2020).
- [30] Lizi Liao, Grace Hui Yang, and Chirag Shah. 2023. Proactive Conversational Agents in the Post-ChatGPT World. *SIGIR* (2023).
- [31] Kelong Mao, Zhicheng Dou, Haonan Chen, Fengran Mo, and Hongjin Qian. 2023. Large Language Models Know Your Contextual Search Intent: A Prompting Framework for Conversational Search. In *EMNLP*.
- [32] Chuan Meng, Mohammad Aliannejadi, and Maarten de Rijke. 2023. System Initiative Prediction for Multi-turn Conversational Information Seeking. In *CIKM*. ACM, 1807–1817.
- [33] Chuan Meng, Negar Arabzadeh, Arian Askari, Mohammad Aliannejadi, and Maarten de Rijke. 2024. Query Performance Prediction using Relevance Judgments Generated by Large Language Models. *arXiv:2404.01012* (2024).
- [34] Fengran Mo, Kelong Mao, Yutao Zhu, Yihong Wu, Kaiyu Huang, and Jian-Yun Nie. 2023. ConvGQR: Generative Query Reformulation for Conversational Search. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. ACL, 4998–5012.
- [35] Paul Owoicho, Jeff Dalton, Mohammad Aliannejadi, Leif Azzopardi, Johanne R. Trippas, and Svitlana Vakulenko. 2022. TREC CAsT 2022: Going Beyond User Ask and System Retrieve with Initiative and Response Generation.. In *TREC*.
- [36] Paul Owoicho, Ivan Sekulic, Mohammad Aliannejadi, Jeffrey Stephen Dalton, and Fabio A. Crestani. 2023. Exploiting Simulated User Feedback for Conversational Search: Ranking, Rewriting, and Beyond. *SIGIR* (2023).
- [37] Ronak Pradeep, Sahel Sharifmoghaddam, and Jimmy Lin. 2023. RankZephyr: Effective and Robust Zero-Shot Listwise Reranking is a Breeze!. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02724* (2023).
- [38] Filip Radlinski and Nick Craswell. 2017. A Theoretical Framework for Conversational Search. In *CIIR*. ACM, 117–126.
- [39] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. 2020. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *Journal of machine learning research* 21, 140 (2020), 1–67.
- [40] Ruiyang Ren, Peng Qiu, Yingqi Qu, Jing Liu, Wayne Xin Zhao, Huaqin Wu, Ji-Rong Wen, and Haifeng Wang. 2024. BASES: Large-scale Web Search User Simulation with Large Language Model based Agents. *ArXiv* abs/2402.17505 (2024).
- [41] Corbin Rosset, Chenyan Xiong, Xia Song, Daniel Campos, Nick Craswell, Saurabh Tiwary, and Paul N. Bennett. 2020. Leading Conversational Search by Suggesting Useful Questions. In *WWW*. 1160–1170.
- [42] Ivan Sekulic, Mohammad Aliannejadi, and Fabio A. Crestani. 2022. Evaluating Mixed-initiative Conversational Search Systems via User Simulation. *WSDM* (2022).
- [43] Ivan Sekulić, Mohammad Alinannejadi, and Fabio Crestani. 2024. Analysing Utterances in LLM-Based User Simulation for Conversational Search. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology* 15 (2024), 1 – 22.
- [44] Ivan Sekulić, Silvia Terragni, Victor Guimaraes, Nghia Khau, Bruna Guedes, Modestas Filipavicius, André Ferreira Manso, and Roland Mathis. 2024. Reliable LLM-based User Simulator for Task-Oriented Dialogue Systems. *ArXiv* abs/2402.13374 (2024).
- [45] Omar Shaikh, Kristina Gligoric, Ashna Khetan, Matthias Gerstgrasser, Diyi Yang, and Dan Jurafsky. 2024. Grounding Gaps in Language Model Generations. In *NAACL-HLT*. ACL, 6279–6296.
- [46] Yu Sun, Nicholas Jing Yuan, Xing Xie, Kieran McDonald, and Rui Zhang. 2017. Collaborative Intent Prediction with Real-Time Contextual Data. *TOIS* 35 (2017), 1 – 33.
- [47] Anuja Tayal and Aman Tyagi. 2024. Dynamic Contexts for Generating Suggestion Questions in RAG Based Conversational Systems. In *WWW*. 1338–1341.
- [48] Abhishek Tiwari, Anisha Saha, Sriparna Saha, Pushpak Bhattacharyya, and Monikshi Dhar. 2023. Experience and Evidence are the eyes of an excellent summarizer! Towards Knowledge Infused Multi-modal Clinical Conversation Summarization. *CIKM* (2023).
- [49] Svitlana Vakulenko, Shayne Longpre, Zhucheng Tu, and Raviteja Anantha. 2021. Question rewriting for conversational question answering. In *WSDM*. 355–363.
- [50] Somin Wadhwa and Hamed Zamani. 2021. Towards System-Initiative Conversational Information Seeking. In *DESires*, Vol. 2950. CEUR-WS.org, 102–116.
- [51] Liang Wang, Nan Yang, and Furu Wei. 2023. Query2doc: Query Expansion with Large Language Models. In *EMNLP*.
- [52] Lei Wang, Jingsen Zhang, Hao Yang, Zhiyuan Chen, Jiakai Tang, Zeyu Zhang, Xu Chen, Yankai Lin, Ruihua Song, Wayne Xin Zhao, Jun Xu, Zhicheng Dou, Jun Wang, and Ji rong Wen. 2023. User Behavior Simulation with Large Language Model based Agents.
- [53] Zhenduo Wang, Zhichao Xu, Qingyao Ai, and Vivek Srikumar. 2023. An In-depth Investigation of User Response Simulation for Conversational Search. *WWW* (2023).
- [54] Zhongyuan Wang, Kejun Zhao, Haixun Wang, Xiaofeng Meng, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2015. Query Understanding through Knowledge-Based Conceptualization. In *IJCAI*.
- [55] Zeqiu Wu, Ryu Parish, Hao Cheng, Sewon Min, Prithviraj Ammanabrolu, Mari Ostendorf, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. 2022. INSCIT: Information-Seeking Conversations with Mixed-Initiative Interactions. *CoRR* abs/2207.00746 (2022).
- [56] Fanghua Ye, Meng Fang, Shenghu Li, and Emine Yilmaz. 2023. Enhancing Conversational Search: Large Language Model-Aided Informative Query Rewriting. In *Findings of EMNLP*. ACL, 5985–6006.
- [57] Shi Yu, Jiahua Liu, Jingqin Yang, Chenyan Xiong, Paul Bennett, Jianfeng Gao, and Zhiyuan Liu. 2020. Few-shot generative conversational query rewriting. In *SIGIR*. 1933–1936.
- [58] Yifei Yuan and Wai Lam. 2021. Conversational Fashion Image Retrieval via Multiturn Natural Language Feedback. *SIGIR* (2021).

- [59] Yifei Yuan, Chen Shi, Runze Wang, Liyi Chen, Feijun Jiang, Yuan You, and Wai Lam. 2022. McQueen: a Benchmark for Multimodal Conversational Query Rewrite. In *EMNLP. ACL*, 4834–4844.
- [60] Yifei Yuan, Clemencia Siro, Mohammad Aliannejadi, Maarten de Rijke, and Wai Lam. 2024. Asking Multimodal Clarifying Questions in Mixed-Initiative Conversational Search. *WWW* (2024).
- [61] Tong Zhang, Peixin Qin, Yang Deng, Chen Huang, Wenqiang Lei, Junhong Liu, Dingnan Jin, Hongru Liang, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2024. CLAMBER: A Benchmark of Identifying and Clarifying Ambiguous Information Needs in Large Language Models. In *ACL*.
- [62] Jie Zou, Aixin Sun, Cheng Long, Mohammad Aliannejadi, and Evangelos Kanoulas. 2023. Asking Clarifying Questions: To benefit or to disturb users in Web search? *Inf. Process. Manag.* 60, 2 (2023), 103176.